



Philippe Charpentier CERN





- In fact not so much, unfortunately!
 - Mostly due to manpower limitation
 - In ... and pressure from operations

o Outline

- LHCbDirac operations in the last year
- What still needs to be commissioned
- What still needs to be developed

• Apologies

- Many slides are exactly the same as last year!
 - $\boldsymbol{\ast}$ This indicates LHCb is struggling with manpower





LHCb activities in the last year



LHCb activities, DUW, Lyon, May 2018



Handling the CNAF outage







LHCb activities, DUW, Lyon, May 2018



Usage of the LHCb HLT farm





DIRAC.HLTFarm.lhcb

100.0%



- Always dominated by MC simulation
 - Heavily using the HLT farm
 - \ast Even during data taking (background of MC tasks in parallel with HLT1/HLT2)
 - * Top CPU provided integrated over the year
 - Graceful stop using signalling to Gaudi (stop after current event)
 - * Heavy load on CERN EOS storage as the HLT farm has no external access
 - * Heavy load at CERN for MCReconstruction jobs (done on the CERN batch)
- Steady user load (average ~16000 jobs, was 5000 last year!)
 - 482 unique users have used LHCbDirac in the year (was 470 last year)!
- Data reconstruction and stripping campaigns
 - Took place when processing (application and calibration) was ready
 - Stripping started quite late in 2017 (mid-July)
 - Huge full Run2 re-stripping campaign during the YETS
 - $\boldsymbol{\ast}$ Due to a bug in the code of the previous stripping passes
 - * Main load was on data management for pre-staging (see earlier talk on DM in LHCb)



Possible improvements

or 201

Less deterministic site assignment

- Currently sites are assigned at job creation (mesh processing)
 - Impossible to react to site downtime or overload once jobs are waiting
- What about late site binding, e.g. at matching time
 - Assign jobs to sites hosting the data
 - At matching time, check whether there are jobs for the originating site
 - > If yes, get it
 - > If not, use the "mesh processing" information to check other task queues
 - This would allow much more flexibility and reactivity
- Task queue agnostic pilot submission
 - Use feedback from pilots for throttling pilot submission
 - Rather than use task queue information
- Locomissioned T * Anyway pilots don't match jobs that they have been submitted "for"
 - * "VAC-like" model for site director
 - Being worked on by Andrew
 - Would allow a better pilot dissemination
 - And faster pilot submission

7



What is left from 20167?

com 2

Bulk submission is not yet there

- □ This is a MUST: user jobs, MC productions
- Currently ganga playing tricks with input sandbox uploaded to User storage 2000 contributions
 Pb if SE is overloaded or in downtime
 Improve submission time at the client level
 Mostly for user index

 - - > Mostly for user jobs
- Pilot filling mode is far from optimal
 - No maintenance of TimeLeft utility
 - MJF usage still very limited (also at site level)
- Multiprocessor jobs still not in use
 - Not a must as LHCb jobs are not too much memory-hungry, but still...
- Split jobs and tasks statuses
 - This is VO-dependent, but should be implemented
 - Task final status is not necessarily that of the job
 - A job may be failed but the task successful and vice-vers (more rare but still exists)



• "Completed" job status should be changed !!!

What are we expecting to come soon?

- Pilot logging is more and more eagerly expected
 - Large fraction of jobs without any pilot logfiles (not using a CE)
 - $\,\, \star \,$ And even on CE, the lifetime of logfiles is short (a week)
- New FTS system still not commissioned
 - Hopefully will be more reliable
 - Was already developed 2 years ago, just after Ferrara WS...
- Extend multi-protocol usage
 - Still very limited now
 - Careful deployment as there may be site-related issues
- Usage of priorities for jobs should be revisited
 - Better documentation first: how does it work is far from clear
 - In LHCb we have hard time to get top priority jobs running and use MCSimulation for filling up sites
 - Need to better control user jobs and shares

om 2C



- LHCb is running successfully a lot of workflows through LHCbDirac
- More and more new platforms are popping up
 - Usage of Pilots 3.0 (see Andrew's talk) should be generalized
- Some improvements / simplifications may help scaling
 - Late site binding
 - Vac-like pilot submission
 - Bulk job submission
- Long-standing developments should be included ASAP
 - Pilot logging
 - New FTS system
- All is a matter of lack of manpower
 - A lot of development for DIRAC and LHCbDIRAC done in LHCb
 - Most developers also participate in certification and operations
 - In ... and we need to keep an efficiently running system!