Status of the WIMP

Felix Kahlhoefer Dark Matter at the Dawn of Discovery? Heidelberg 9-11 April 2018

On behalf of the GAMBIT collaboration gambit.hepforge.org









WIMPs before dawn

Romance?









WIMPs before dawn

Romance? Or massacre?







Status of the WIMP Felix Kahlhoefer | 10 April 2018





Quantifying the "status of the WIMP"

- Need to consider a wide range of experimental results and associated uncertainties
 - Cosmology
 - Direct detection
 - Indirect detection
 - Collider constraints
 - Precision measurements
- Need to consider a wide range of different models
 - Effective (low-energy) theories
 - Simple models (minimal DM, scalar singlets, ...)
 - Complicated models (e.g. MSSM)
- Need to study different statistical approaches
 - Frequentist (e.g. goodness of fit)
 - Bayesian (e.g. model comparison)







Quantifying the "status of the WIMP"

- Need to consider a wide range of experimental results and associated uncertainties
 - Cosmology
 - Direct detection
 - Indirect detection
 - Collider constraints
 - Precision measurements
- Need to consider a wide range of different models
 - Effective (low-energy) theories
 - Simple models (minimal DM, scalar singlets, ...)
 - Complicated models (e.g. MSSM)
- Need to study different statistical approaches
 - Frequentist (e.g. goodness of fit)
 - Bayesian (e.g. model comparison)

- Need database of constraints
- Simple overplotting of different constraints insufficient
- Need to construct composite likelihood functions to combine all data in a consistent way







Quantifying the "status of the WIMP"

- Need to consider a wide range of experimental results and associated uncertainties
 - Cosmology
 - Direct detection
 - Indirect detection
 - Collider constraints
 - Precision measurements
- Need to consider a wide range of different models
 - Effective (low-energy) theories
 - Simple models (minimal DM, scalar singlets, ...)
 - Complicated models (e.g. MSSM)
- Need to study different statistical approaches
 - Frequentist (e.g. goodness of fit)
 - Bayesian (e.g. model comparison)

- Need database of constraints
- Simple overplotting of different constraints insufficient
- Need to construct composite likelihood functions to combine all data in a consistent way
- Potentially complicated parameter spaces
- Need highly efficient scanning algorithms
- Need a framework that can handle many different models in a flexible and modular way







GAMBIT

The Global And Modular BSM Inference Tool

- New software framework for global fits developed over the past five years
- Optimized for parallel computing & fully open source
- First public code release in May 2017 (gambit.hepforge.org)!
 - Code papers: arXiv:1705.07936, arXiv:1705.07933, arXiv:1705.07919, arXiv:1705.07959, arXiv:1705.07920, arXiv:1705.07908
 - Physics papers: arXiv:1705.07917, arXiv:1705.07935, arXiv:1705.07931



 Collaboration with 31
members from 11
countries (9 experiments, 12 major theory codes)







GAMBIT

The Global And Modular BSM Inference Tool

- **DarkBit** dark matter observables
 - DDCalc (constantly updated database for likelihoods from direct detection experiments)
 - gamlike (Fermi likelihoods)
 - nulike (Icecube likelihoods)
- **ColliderBit** collider observables (Higgs + SUSY searches from ATLAS, CMS, LEP)
- **FlavBit** flavour physics (g 2, b \rightarrow s γ , B decays)
- **SpecBit** RGE running, masses, mixings, ...
- **DecayBit** decay widths for all relevant particles
- **PrecisionBit** SM likelihoods, electroweak precision tests
- ScannerBit manages statistics, sampling and optimisation
- Coming soon: NeutrinoBit & CosmoBit







How does GAMBIT work?

- User specifies the model, parameter space, observables and scanning technique
- GAMBIT then performs the *dependency resolution*
 - Identification of all functions necessary to calculate requested observables
 - Dynamic adaptation to the user's system
 - Determination of the required inputs for each function
 - Construction of the optimum order of function evaluation
- A scan then consists of calling all necessary modules and external libraries in the required order for each parameter point









Global fits of WIMP models

- Remaining problem: Talk too short to cover full range of WIMP models
- Today's focus: Higgs portal models
 - DM particles interact with SM by coupling to the Higgs field
 - Extremely simple model (essentially only two parameters mass and coupling)
 - Rich phenomenology many relevant constraints





Global fits of WIMP models

- Remaining problem: Talk too short to cover full range of WIMP models
- Today's focus: Higgs portal models
 - DM particles interact with SM by coupling to the Higgs field
 - Extremely simple model (essentially only two parameters mass and coupling)
 - Rich phenomenology many relevant constraints

Simple but representative WIMP model

Ideal to asses the viability of the WIMP idea







Scalar singlet dark matter

• Simplest realisation of the Higgs portal: real scalar singlet stabilised by Z_2 symmetry

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{S}^{2}S^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{hs}S^{2}|H|^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{S}S^{4} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}S\partial^{\mu}S.$$

- Constraints
 - Relic density (underabundance OK)
 - LHC: Higgs invisible width
 - Direct detection: LUX, PandaX, ...
 - Indirect detection: Fermi-LAT (dwarfs)
 - IceCube solar neutrinos

- Uncertainties / nuisance parameters
 - Local DM density
 - Nuclear physics parameters
 - Quark masses
 - Higgs mass
 - Gauge couplings







Status of scalar singlets



• Overplotting of exclusion limits

Cline et al., arXiv:1306.4710







Status of scalar singlets



Profile likelihood from global analysis

GAMBIT collaboration, arXiv:1705.07931







Status of scalar singlets



- Two viable parameter regions:
 - $-m_s \sim m_h/2$ (relic density via resonantly enhanced annihilation into quarks)
 - $-m_s \sim \text{TeV}$ (relic density via annihilation into gauge and Higgs bosons)







Status of scalar singlets – a closer look

• Instructive to consider predictions for specific observables



16

Emmy

DFG Deutsche Forschung







Status of scalar singlets – a closer look

• Instructive to consider predictions for specific observables



Will be tested in nextgeneration direct detection experiments

Emmy Noether-Programm DFG Peische Forschingsgemeischet





Status of scalar singlets – a closer look

• Instructive to consider predictions for specific observables









Assessing fine-tuning with Bayesian scans

- In case of a non-observation, experimental data will push WIMP models into more and more finely tuned regions of parameter space
- How do we assess whether WIMPs remain viable in spite of such tuning?
- Possible answer: Penalise fine-tuning with Bayesian statistics









Fermionic Higgs portal

• Interesting variation: Fermionic DM instead of scalar

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \overline{\psi}(i\partial \!\!\!/ - \mu_{\psi})\psi - \frac{\lambda_{h\psi}}{\Lambda_{\psi}} \Big(\cos\theta\,\overline{\psi}\psi + \sin\theta\,\overline{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi\Big)H^{\dagger}H$$

- Higgs portal operator is now dimension 5: description only valid at low energies
- DM particle can be Dirac or Majorana fermions (phenomenology similar)
- Additional parameter: CP phase θ , which distinguishes scalar and pseudoscalar coupling
- For $\theta \sim \pi/2$, direct detection constraints are momentum-dependent and hence suppressed
- GAMBIT analysis in preparation!
 - Full calculation of direct detection constraints and solar capture & many new experiments
 - Including additional nuisance parameters for the DM velocity distribution







Status of the fermionic Higgs portal







Status of the fermionic Higgs portal









The status of WIMPs

- There are many strong constraints on WIMP models
- Even some of the simplest WIMP models are still viable
- Example: (Scalar) Higgs portal
 - High-mass region will soon be probed by direct detection experiments
 - Resonance region difficult to probe, but somewhat fine-tuned
- Constraints can be further relaxed by introducing additional parameters (e.g. CPviolating phases)
- Essential to quantify the complexity and fine-tuning of WIMP models to assess their viability (e.g. using Bayesian evidence and model comparison)







What if it's not WIMPs?

- GAMBIT can also be used to explore a wide range of alternatives to the WIMP idea
 - Axions
 - Sterile neutrinos
 - Asymmetric dark matter









A short advertisement

- Home
- Download
- Source Code
- Report issue
- Mailing list
- Contact



Interested in DM direct detection? Try DDCalc!

DDCalc

Dark matter direct detection phenomenology package

DDCalc is a software package for performing various dark matter direct detection calculations, including signal rate predictions and likelihoods for several experiments.

A full description of this package and the physics framework behind it can be found in the GAMBIT DarkBit paper:

 T Bringmann, J Conrad, JM Cornell, LA Dal, J Edsjö, B Farmer, F Kahlhoefer, A Kvellestad, A Putze, C Savage, P Scott, C Weniger, M White & S Wild 2017, EPJC 77 (2017) 831. arXiv:1705.07920

If you write a paper that uses DDCalc, please cite this paper.

Version history:

- v1.2.0 January 2018: Added implementation of PandaX (2017).
- v1.1.0 June 2017: Added implementation of Xenon1T (2017) and PICO-60 (2017).
- v1.0.0 May 2017: Initial release in combination with GAMBIT v1.0.0.

DDCalc releases can be obtained as tarballs from Hepforge. The latest and greatest version, along with a full revision history, can always be found in the git repository. Compilation and usage instructions, as well as a number of example programs, can be found in the code release.

Maintainers: The GAMBIT Dark Matter Workgroup (ddcalc@projects.hepforge.org) Many of the routines in DDCalc were originally contributed by Chris Savage (chris@savage.name)







A short advertisement

- Home
- Download
- Source Code
- Report issue
- Mailing list
- Contact



Interested in DM direct detection? Try DDCalc!

Dark matter direct detection phenomenology package

DDCalc is a software package for performing various dark matter direct detection calculations, including signal rate predictions and likelihoods for several experiments.

A full description of this package and the physics framework behind it can be found in the GAMBIT DarkBit paper:

• T Bringmann, J Conrad, JM Cornell, LA Dal, J Edsjö, B Farmer, F Kahlhoefer, A Kvellestad, A Putze, C Savage, P Scott, C Weniger, M White & S Wild 2017, EPJC 77 (2017) 831, arXiv:1705.07920

If you write a paper that uses DDCalc, please cite this paper.

Version history:

- v1.2.0 January 2018: Added implementation of PandaX (2017).
- v1.1.0 June 2017: Added implementation of Xenon1T (2017) and PICO-60 (2017).
- v1.0.0 May 2017: Initial release in combination with GAMBIT v1.0.0.

DDCalc releases can be obtained as tarballs from Hepforge. The latest and greatest version, along with a full revision history, can always be found in the git repository. Compilation and usage instructions, as well as a number of example programs, can be found in the code release.

Maintainers: The GAMBIT Dark Matter Workgroup (ddcalc@projects.hepforge.org) Many of the routines in DDCalc were originally contributed by Chris Savage (chris@savage.name)

Coming soon DDCalc v2.0.0

including the full set of non-relativistic effective operators





