

ATLAS STATUS REPORT LHCC MEETING

NIKOLINA ILIC ON BEHALF OF THE ATLAS COLLABORATION

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

NOV 30, 2017



OUTLINE

OPERATION

PHYSICS & PERFORMANCE

PHASE I UPGRADE

PHASE II UPGRADE



OPERATION

ATLAS Performance

New systems In Commissioning

ATLAS Performance

- Thanks LHC and accelerator teams for 50 fb⁻¹ and the special low pileup (μ) 5 &13 TeV runs!
- Challenging conditions: Luminosity up to 2×10^{34} cm⁻²s⁻¹, μ up to 80
- ATLAS requested levelling on 29th of Sept
- We can handle $\mu \sim 60$ for trigger optimized for $1.7 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and 80 kHz Level-I rate
 - Limitations are due to High-Level Trigger CPUs new machines on the way to give additional capability*



*Thanks to IT for loan of machines!

ATLAS Performance

- ATLAS achieved a high data taking efficiency (93.3%) and high data quality (>94%)
- All subdetectors ran smoothly and made improvements to deal with higher pileup
- Trigger & DAQ system performs well under stress
- Tier0 was enhanced for 2017 and is under constant load, but managing



ATLAS pp 25ns run: June 5-October 8 2017										
Inner Tracker		Calorimeters		Muon Spectrometer			Magnets			
Pixel	SCT	TRT	LAr	Tile	MDT	RPC	CSC	TGC	Solenoid	Toroid
100 9	99.9	99.6	99.2	99.9	99.9	98.0	99.8	100	100	98.7
Good for physics: 94.1% (28.7 fb ⁻¹)										

Systems in Commissioning : ATLAS Forward Proton (AFP) Detector



Systems in Commissioning : Fast TracKer (FTK)

FTK is designed to take Pixel & SCT data on Level I Triggers, reconstruct tracks with pT > I GeV; $|\eta| < 2.5$



Hardware status:

- Associative memory boards (AMB) and chip production complete. Assembly of chip mezzanines to be completed in December.
- Second Stage board (SSB) production encountered some low rate of bit errors on high speed data transmission lines. Assessment of impact on-going.

All other boards installed/undergoing installation

Systems in Commissioning : Fast TracKer (FTK)

Commissioning and Installation progress

- Still working on firmware stability. Since Dec 2016 have put in extensive additions to firmware processing, but high pile-up conditions have been challenging for commissioning
- Can process ~I Billion events, building 8-layer tracks and writing output to the ATLAS data stream (in low pile-up conditions)
 - Slice looking at window: $2.3 < \phi < 2.9$ &&
 - $-0.1 < \eta < 1.6$
 - Data under validation

Plan:

 2018: Commission the slice in high pileup conditions, continue firmware development and deployment of full system

AUX boards











PHYSICS & PERFORMANCE

In past meetings many beyond SM searches were presented. Now finalizing 2015/16 searches, starting 2017

Presenting today:

- ttH search
- Top Mass Measurement
- Triple Differential Drell-Yan Cross Section
- $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ Cross Section & TGC Search
- Performance from 2017 data

For first investigation of $H \rightarrow cc$ see ATLAS-CONF-2017-078 Limit on $\sigma(pp \rightarrow ZH) \times B(H \rightarrow c\bar{c})$ is 2.7 pb

ttH Measurement

Higgs couples to fermions through Yukawa couplings (proportional to masses, hence top is largest)



 λ_t measured from ggF production and $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decays (SM assumed in loops)

н

leee

ell

t,b

$g \longrightarrow t$ $g \longrightarrow H$ $g \longrightarrow \overline{t}$

 $t\bar{t}H$ is best direct way to measure λ_t

Higgs boson decays

Difficult Measurement

- *t*t*H* production: O(0.5 pb)
- Large irreducible backgrounds
 - $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ O(15)pb, $t\bar{t}W/Z$ O(1.5)pb

Lots of possible signatures

H

- $t\bar{t} \rightarrow 1 \text{ or } 2\ell, H \rightarrow bb$ NEW
- $t\bar{t} \rightarrow 1 \text{ or } 2\ell, H \rightarrow WW, \tau\tau, ZZ \text{ NEW}$
- Combined with $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$



$t\bar{t}H: t\bar{t} \rightarrow 1 \text{ or } 2\ell, H \rightarrow bb$

- Main challenge: $t\overline{t}$ +heavy flavour is large irreducible background
 - Difficult to model in MC, relying on data-driven techniques to reduce uncertainties on background
- Signal/control regions defined by number of jets, b-jets and tightness of btagging criteria (8 SRs)
- Signal region is binned in classification BDT that includes kinematic variables and other MVAs as input



WW 99 21% 9% 5% cc 3% 22 3% Other 1%

Significance: I.4 σ (exp. I.6 σ)

Ņ

CONF-2017-076

$t\bar{t}H:t\bar{t} \rightarrow 1 \text{ or } 2\ell/\tau_{had}, H \rightarrow WW, \tau\tau, ZZ$

- Main challenge is predicting backgrounds that fake taus and leptons
- Signal/control regions categorised by the number and flavour of leptons (7 SRs)
- BDT shape is used as discriminant fit
- Uncertainties: Signal modelling (scale), Jet, non-prompt lepton estimation (limited CR statistics)



WW 21%

6% cc

ZZ

3%

Other

$t\bar{t}H$ Combined Result

• Combined with: $H \to \gamma \gamma$ and $H \to ZZ \to 4\ell$

 $\sigma(t\bar{t}H) = 590^{+160}_{-150} \text{ fb}$ SM $\sigma(t\bar{t}H) = 507^{+35}_{-50} \text{ fb}$

_	Channel	Significance			
_		Observed	Expected		
	Multilepton	4.1σ	2.8σ		
	$H \to b \bar{b}$	1.4σ	1.6σ		
	$H\to\gamma\gamma$	0.9σ	1.7σ		
	$H \to 4\ell$		0.6σ		
_	Combined	4.2σ	3.8σ		

EVIDENCE of $t\bar{t}H$ production!



Top Mass

- *m*_{top} provides information for global fits of EW parameters which are used to test the consistency of SM
- Top mass in lepton+jets $(t\bar{t} \rightarrow WWbb \rightarrow \ell \nu qq bb)$: NEW

 $m_{top} = 172.08 \pm 0.39_{stat} \pm 0.82_{syst}$ GeV

• Top mass in dilepton $(t\bar{t} \rightarrow WWbb \rightarrow \ell\ell\nu\nu bb)$:

 $m_{top} = 172.99 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.74 \text{ GeV}_{stat}$

ATLAS combined measurement (with 7/8 TeV data):

 $m_{top} = 172.51 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.42 \text{ GeV}_{stat}$

 Systematic uncertainties reduced in combined measurement thanks to careful evaluation of correlations





Top Mass from differential cross section

- Can precisely measure differential cross sections in $t\bar{t} \rightarrow WWbb \rightarrow e\nu\mu\nu bb$ for various observables: $p_T^{\ell}, p_T^{e\mu}, m^{e\mu}, p_T^e + p_T^{\mu}, E^e + E^{\mu}$
- Measuring different observables is interesting since they all have different sensitivities to higher order and nonperturbative effects
- By directly comparing fixed order calculations to crosssection, the top mass in the pole renormalisation scheme can be measured:

$$m_t^{pole} = 173.2 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.2 \, GeV$$

stat. syst theory



າormalised Δσ/σ(m_{top}=172.5 GeV)

Triple Differential Drell – Yan Cross Section

- Earlier in the year we presented 7 TeV results of W mass (19 MeV precision, arXiv:1701.07240) and W/Z differential cross section (reaching 0.3% precision, arXiv:1612.03016)
- Benefiting from excellent understanding of 7 TeV data, triple differential cross sections were measured in $Z / \gamma^* \rightarrow \ell \ell$



Sensitive to Z / γ interference PDF Weak coupling constant

 Sub-percent precision is reached and the increased statistics of the 2012 data allows for much more detailed measurements



$ZZ \to 4\ell \ Cross \, Section \, \& \, TGC \, Search$

 ZZ production tests electroweak sector of SM at high energies. Large Run-2 data sample allows to probe differential distributions

 Integrated and differential cross sections measured and agree with NNLO predictions

 $\sigma_{inclusive} = 17.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6 \text{ pb}$ stat. syst lumi



$ZZ \to 4\ell \ Cross \, Section \, \& \, TGC \, Search$

 ZZ production tests electroweak sector of SM at high energies. Large Run-2 data sample allows to probe differential distributions

 Integrated and differential cross sections measured and agree with NNLO predictions

 $\sigma_{inclusive} = 17.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6 \text{ pb}_{stat.}$



Bonus

A search for BSM neutral triple gauge couplings is performed. No evidence for couplings is found but more stringent limits compared to previous measurements have been set for neutral aTGC and EFT parameters



Performance from 2017 data

- The efficiency of reconstructing photons and muons remains high even at large pileup
 - Dependence on pileup is well modelled by MC



60

Performance from 2017 data

- Fake (pile-up) jet rates under control with the application of pileup reduction techniques (Jet Vertex Tagger (JVT) - based on tracking information)
- B-tagging is stable as pileup increases

PHASE I UPGRADE

New Small Wheel

LAr Electronics Upgrade

TDAQ Upgrade

- New JD shielding assembly completed at CERN
- All major mechanical elements now under production, including the Hubs Electronics for Trigger and Readout:
- Preproduction version of 4 ASICs (VMM, ART, TDS, ROC) sent for fabrication
 - test of ROC will define whether a new version is needed
- On detector cards passed review (2/3 types of L1DDCs, ADDC, Router)
- Final prototypes of front-end boards are in the final design stages
 - still some trouble with noise that will be clarified

MicroMegas (MMs) - on track to assemble first wedge in Feb 2018!

- Readout board production progressing steadily
- Chamber series production started after summer, first chambers to be shipped to CERN end of 2017
- Received pre-series for spacer frames
- Small TGC (sTGC) on track for wedge integration at CERN in spring 2018!
- Cathode board production ongoing with 3 vendor chains
- Chamber series construction started, first chamber assembled

LAr Electronics Upgrade

Baseplane: Prototype testing/ production ongoing

Baseplane

LAr Trigger Digitizer Board (LTDB)

Pre-production boards being cabled. ASICs production engineering run submitted

LAr Digital Processing System (LDPS)

PRR beginning of 2018, production to follow (35 carriers, 150 LATOME)

LArC v2.1

LATOME v1

LArC v3.0

LATOME v2 (x3)

LATOME v3 (x4)

Firmware PC

(Quartus)

LAr Electronics Upgrade

27

TDAQ Electronics Upgrade

Barrel

Interface

Endcap trigger: preproduction prototype under test

MUCTPI

Endcap

trigger

Barrel Interface – Integration tests with MUCTPI done

MUCTPI – preproduction prototype almost fully tested

CTP

FELIX – preproduction prototype under test

FELIX

TDAQ Electronics Upgrade

Endcap trigger: preproduction Barrel Interface – Integration prototype under test tests with MUCTPI done All activities on track: production and testing are making good progress reproduction FELIX – preproduction stotype almost fully tested prototype under test

PHASE II UPGRADE

Status of Phase – II Technical Design Reports (TDR)

Status of Phase – II Technical Design Reports (TDR)

- ITk Strip Tracker TDR: approved (May)
 - MoU being developed
- **Muon TDR:** Final version with LHCC
 - Muon UCG Review was on Tues
- LAr and Tile TDRs: proceeded through LHCC reviews (Mon)
 - UCG Packages submitted mid-Nov
- **TDAQ and Pixel TDRs:** expected submission on Dec 15
 - UCG Packages very advanced, on track for Jan 2018 submission
 - High Granularity Timing Detector: expression of Interest submitted to LHCC on Nov 20
- Everything on schedule for timely completion of TDR reviews in Apr 2018!

SUMMARY

- ATLAS maintained high data taking efficiency and data quality despite challenging conditions
- All detectors optimized their systems for high pileup data taking, and performed exceptionally well
- High pile-up conditions affect object reconstruction, but performance is as expected and well modelled by MC
- We are exploiting the exciting physics opportunities of the large Run-2 dataset, while continuing to capitalise on the extremely well understood Run-1 data for high-precision measurements
- Phase I upgrade plans progressing well. New Small Wheel undergoing intense activities and schedule remains tight
- Phase II TDRs are progressing well, most TDRs submitted, remaining will be by the end of the year
- ATLAS is well prepared for consolidation over YETS and we are looking forward to looking at new 2017 data sample!

BACKUP

Performance: MET

The missing transverse energy is calculated using reconstructed objects (muons, electrons, photons, jets) and, to mitigate pileup contributions, with tracks associated with the primary vertex

To further mitigate effects of pileup:

•Require jets to have large fraction of their p_T from primary vertex tracks

•Contributions from pileup jets outside of tracking volume reduced by increasing jet p_T threshold:

•"Loose" jet $E_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$

•"Tight" jet E_T > 30 GeV

Jet Vertex Fraction (JVF)

$$JVF(jet_j, vtx_k) = \frac{\mathring{a}_i pt(trk_i^{jet_j}, vtx_k)}{\mathring{a}_n \mathring{a}_i pt(trk_i^{jet_j}, vtx_n)}$$

- JVF = 1 -> all the trks that match* to jet 2 have originated from vtx 2
- JVF = 0 -> none of the trks that match to jet 2 come from vtx I

 JVF = f -> a fraction f of the trks matched to jet I come from vtx 2

* jet are matched to trks via a simple ΔR matching in the $\eta {-} \phi$ plane.

$t\bar{t}H: t\bar{t} \rightarrow 1-2\ell, H \rightarrow bb$

- Signal/Control regions defined by number of jets, b-jets and tightness of b-tagging criteria (4 working points)– boosted and resolved 3 SRs ($2 \ell + \ge 4j$ (3b)) and 5 SRs SRs ($1\ell + \ge 5/6j$ (4b))
- Separate CRs to target $\bar{t}t + \ge c / b / light$ backgrounds by employ looser b-tag requirements
- SRs binned in 'classification BDT

$t\bar{t}H: t\bar{t} \rightarrow 1-2\ell, H \rightarrow bb$

- classification BDT" is final discriminant and includes general kinematic variables such as such as invariant masses and angular separations of jets/leptons, as well as outputs of intermediate MVA in different SRs
 - 'reconstruction BDT': selects best combination of jet-parton for H/t candidates : all resolved
 - Likelihood Discriminant (LHD): signal/background probability using discriminating variables: resolved 1ℓ SR
 - Matrix Element Method (MEM): signal/background probability using matrix element calculation at parton level : 1ℓ , 6j SR I
- Dominant uncertainties: difference between generators and uncertainties on $t\bar{t} + \ge 1b$ modelling, limited background modelling stats, b-tagging, jet

$t\bar{t}H:t\bar{t} \rightarrow 1-2\ell/\tau_{had}, H \rightarrow WW, \tau\tau, ZZ$

- Seven final states, categorised by the number and flavour of lepton (CRs and SRs)
- Backgrounds: fake/non-prompt leptons (mostly from b decay in $t\bar{t}$, photon conversions), q_e misidentified, jet (mostly light) misidentified as τ , Diboson, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}Z$

$t\bar{t}H:t\bar{t} \rightarrow 1-2\ell/\tau_{had}, H \rightarrow WW, \tau\tau, ZZ$

- BDT shape is discriminant in 5 SRs, single bin event count in 2 SRs with lower stats
- Main uncertainties: Signal modelling (dominated by scale uncertainties), Jet energy scale and resolution, Non-prompt lepton estimation (large contribution from limited CR statistics)

Performance

Tracks

Vertices

50

60

70

 $\left< \mu \right>_{
m bunch}$

Top Mass from differential cross section

42

$ZZ \to 4\ell\,$ Cross Section & TGC Search

Inclusive cross section

Triple Differential Drell – Yan Cross Section

Inclusive and triple differential cross sections measured in $Z / \gamma^* \rightarrow \ell \ell$ and agree well with predictions

$$d^{3}\sigma = \frac{d^{3}\sigma}{dm_{\ell\ell}d|y_{\ell\ell}|d\cos\theta^{*}}$$

Sensitive to Z / γ interference PDF A_{FB}

Parity violation in weak coupling (g_W) leads to different numbers of forward and backward events. This asymmetry (A_{FB}) can be used to get $\sin^2 \theta_W^{eff}$

• $d^3\sigma$ allow for simultaneous measurement of $A_{FB}(\sin^2\theta_W^{eff})$ and PDF ! Can reduce the large PDF uncertainty on the last extracted value of $\sin^2\theta_W^{eff}$

Triple Differential Drell – Yan Cross Section

The triple-differential cross section is calculated as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}m_{\ell\ell}\,\mathrm{d}|y_{\ell\ell}|\,\mathrm{d}\cos\theta^{*}}\bigg|_{l,m,n} = \mathcal{M}_{ijk}^{lmn} \cdot \frac{N_{ijk}^{\mathrm{data}} - N_{ijk}^{\mathrm{bkg}}}{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}} \frac{1}{\Delta_{m_{\ell\ell}} \cdot 2\Delta_{|y_{\ell\ell}|} \cdot \Delta_{\cos\theta^{*}}} , \qquad (4)$$

where *i*, *j*, *k* are the bin indices for reconstructed final-state kinematics; *l*, *m*, *n* are the bin indices for the generator-level kinematics; and \mathcal{L}_{int} is the integrated luminosity of the data set. Quantity N^{data} is the number of candidate signal events observed in a given bin of width $\Delta_{m_{\ell\ell}}$, $\Delta_{|y_{\ell\ell}|}$, and $\Delta_{\cos\theta^*}$, while N^{bkg} is the number of background events in the same bin. The factor of two in the denominator accounts for the modulus in the rapidity bin width. Integrated single- and double-differential cross sections are measured by summing over the corresponding indices of equation (4).

$$\cos \theta^* = \frac{p_{z,\ell\ell}}{m_{\ell\ell}|p_{z,\ell\ell}|} \frac{p_1^+ p_2^- - p_1^- p_2^+}{\sqrt{m_{\ell\ell}^2 + p_{T,\ell\ell}^2}} ,$$

Triple Differential Drell – Yan Cross Section

$$\frac{(1) - d^{3}\sigma(\cos\theta^{*} < 0)}{(1) + d^{3}\sigma(\cos\theta^{*} < 0)}$$

$$5(\text{stat}) \pm 0.0006(\text{syst}) \pm 0.0009(\text{PDF})$$

$$\int (1 + 1) + 0.0006(\text{syst}) \pm 0.0009(\text{PDF}))$$

$$\int (1 + 1) + 0.0006(\text{syst}) \pm 0.0009(\text{PDF})$$

$$A_{\rm FB} = \frac{d^3 \sigma(\cos \theta^* > 0) - d^3 \sigma(\cos \theta^* < 0)}{d^3 \sigma(\cos \theta^* > 0) + d^3 \sigma(\cos \theta^* < 0)}$$

From 7 TeV

 $\sin^2\theta_{lept}^{eff} = 0.2308 \pm 0.0005$

Combined Top Mass Uncertainties

	Consistency evaluation				Measurement
	m_{top}^{7TeV} [GeV]	$m_{\rm top}^{\rm 8 TeV}$ [GeV]	m_{top}^{l+jets} [GeV]	$m_{\rm top}^{\rm dil}$ [GeV]	$m_{\rm top}$ [GeV]
Results	172.99	172.56	172.11	173.02	172.51
Statistics	0.48	0.28	0.36	0.39	0.27
Method	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.06
Signal Monte Carlo generator	0.24	0.12	0.17	0.10	0.14
Hadronisation	0.34	0.05	0.06	0.22	0.07
Initial- and final-state QCD radiation	0.04	0.16	0.07	0.26	0.07
Underlying event	0.06	0.09	0.01	0.11	0.05
Colour reconnection	0.01	0.07	0.17	0.03	0.08
Parton distribution function	0.17	0.04	0.13	0.05	0.07
Background normalisation	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03
W/Z+jets shape	0.16	0.05	0.12	0.01	0.07
Fake leptons shape	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.03
Jet energy scale	0.41	0.26	0.33	0.52	0.21
Relative <i>b</i> -to-light-jet energy scale	0.34	0.17	0.01	0.32	0.15
Jet energy resolution	0.03	0.11	0.16	0.09	0.10
Jet reconstruction efficiency	0.10	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.03
Jet vertex fraction	0.00	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.05
<i>b</i> -tagging	0.25	0.18	0.31	0.04	0.17
Leptons	0.05	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.09
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	0.08	0.03	0.07	0.01	0.04
Pile-up	0.01	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.06
Total systematic uncertainty	0.77	0.48	0.61	0.74	0.42
Total	0.91	0.56	0.71	0.84	0.50

47

$ZZ \to 4\ell \ Uncertainties$

Source	Effect on total predicted yield [%]
MC statistical uncertainty	0.4
Electron efficiency	0.9
Electron energy scale & resolution	< 0.1
Muon efficiency	1.7
Muon momentum scale & resolution	< 0.1
Pileup modeling	1.2
Luminosity	3.2
QCD scales	+5.2 -4.7
PDFs	+2.7 -1.7
Background prediction	0.9
Total	+7.4 -6.6

SUSY search with MET and b – *jets*

 $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

b

W+jets

Diboson

SR-Gbb-C

SR-Gbb-VC

Dark Matter and Doubly Charged Higgs

Search for fermonic DM through production of: colourneutral scalar/pseudoscaler mediator AND colourcharged scalar mediator (bottom flavour DM proposed to explain excess of gamma rays from galactic centers)

Subdetector Summary

- All subdetectors have high live fraction
- Stable magnet

 operation (3 toroid and
 2 solenoid cycles
 compared to 9 in 2016)

 Very few infrastructure problems and addressed quickly

Subdetector Summary

Pixel

- Many firmware & software updates to deal whith higher μ
- Deadtime reduced (1.2% \rightarrow 0.1-0.2%), DQ efficiency increased (98.9% \rightarrow 100%)

SCT

• Very smooth operations with negligible dead time. Limit is μ =70@100kHz, imposed by the Slink bandwidth limit. Dynamic FE chip masking ensures optimum hit efficiency beyond μ =70

TRT

- Able to sustain rates up to μ = 77 thanks to replacement of HOLAs which increased Slink readout speed. Dead time < 0.1%
- Gas leakes slowly increasing, as expected but stable configuration in Run 2
- More aggressive readout compression is on the way to run at μ =80

DQ Efficiency June - Oct

Inner Tracker					
Pixel	SCT	TRT			
100	99.9	99.6			

Subdetector Summary

LAr

- 8b4e scheme is not optimal due to degraded out-of-time vs in-time pile-up cancellation - baseline correction in place, performs very well
- Many special runs taken allowing LAr to study the space-charge effects and signal degradation expected at high luminosities

Tile

- Only 3 problematic modules
- No busy at high pile-up/rate thanks to doubling of ROD processing units and HOLAs
- Tile-Muon trigger being commissioned (for reduction of fake muon triggers in transition region)

Muons

- RPC had 48V PS failure 25% of RPC off (Oct 29, Nov3)
- RPC leak increasing slowly as expected- mitigation measures taken on the gas system. Leak repair campaign during YETS

LAr Barrel: correction for baseline flucutation

DQ Efficiency June - Oct

Calori	meters	Muon Spectrometer				
LAr	Tile	MDT	RPC	CSC	TGC	
99.2	99.9	99.9	98.0	99.8	100	

YETS Activities

- Access granted to all systems:
 - Maintenance of all infrastructure (CV, Electricity, Detector Cooling) + standard maintenance of all systems
 - No major installation this year
 - Refurbishment of the Lar Hadronic End-Cap power supplies
 - Tile repairs on the few non working modules
 - RPC leak repairs

New Small Wheel Electronics

NSW Electronics Trigger & DAQ dataflow

Legend of acronyms

VMM: NSW front end ASIC

ART: MM trigger data serializer

TDS: sTGC trigger data serializer

ROC: NSW readout controller

L1DDC: Front end to GBT readout fiber aggregator

ADDC: MM trigger data from Front end to fiber driver

Router: sTGC trigger data from Front end to fiber router

LL_NSW_ElxOvr_v09

TDAQ Phase 1

Figure 1: Schematic overview of the Trigger and DAQ system in 2012 (Run 1)

Figure 2: Schematic overview of the Trigger and DAQ system after the Phase-I upgrade

Inner TracKer (ITK) & Muon Upgrade

- ITK Strip TDR approved, Pixel submission Dec 15
- Motivation: need to maintain performance in difficult tracking environment
- Install new pixel and strip inner tracker that covers $\eta < 4$
- Strip: Market Survey still in progress. Approaching final design of FE ASICs
- Pixel: RD53A FE chip submitted, no results before Dec 15
- Progress in understanding: surface integration, commissioning, testing and installation in the pit

Muon – under review by LHCC and UCG

- Motivation: reduce trigger fake rate in barrel & endcap regions, increase trigger performance/geometrical coverage
- On-detector readout electronics upgrade (MDT, RPC, TGC)
- New Layer of trigger chambers in barrel (BI RPC +sMDT), upgrade chambers in transition region, include precision chambers in L0 trigger

LAr & TileCal Electronics

Motivation: need for better radiation tolerance, precision, finer trigger granularity and new L0 rate and latency requirements

Front & backend electronics will be replaced

- LAr electronics TDR submitted end of Sept
- Progressing well in all areas: FEB pre-amplifier, shaper and ADC development ongoing. ASIC development, LASP prototype being developed

TileCal – TDR submitted Oct I

- Upgrade activities are progressing very well in testing reliability/stability of prototypes
- Demonstrator project aims to validate the new T/DAQ architecture with testbeam before ATLAS installation

LHCC review this week Monday

Drawer modules

Back-end electronics

TDAQ – submit TDR to LHCC Dec 15

- Motivation: keep rates and thresholds low despite high pileup
- Many advances in design details of the Trigger, DAQ and EF systems
- Already testing promising technologies for online software

