DT-TK EXTRAPOLATION ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENT

Ignazio Lazzizzera & Sara Vanini & Pierluigi Zotto (INFN Padova)

28.10.2009

OUTLINE

- Review of work done till May workshop
- Update since May workshop
- Outlook

REVIEW OF WORK DONE TILL MAY WORKSHOP

- Worked with Strawman B (CMSSW_1_8_4) using single muon samples
- Match of φ and θ in DT chambers
- Extrapolation to Strawman B layers
- Match with stubs of stacked layers

DT φ - θ MATCHING

- Associated trigger primitives within each muon station
- Decided a quality ranking
- Developed a cancellation policy for duplicates

DT φ - θ matching efficiencies



Multiplicities



Cancellation policy: duplicates deleted using ST1 & ST2 TPs compatibility comparison use of a 2D external coincidence + rejection of out of time ghost triggers + ambiguities rejection

The 2D coincidence could be used also to recover the ϕ only TPs (6%)

Cancellation of duplicates

Candidates are compared after extrapolation

1. Check 3 σ compatibility in position 2. Check 3 σ compatibility in bending angle 3. Reject lower quality candidates if both position and bending angle are compatible



Dimuons dead area about 120 cm (15°) at 10 GeV about 30 cm (4°) at 50 GeV

Second tracks and out of time TPs are not rejected

EXTRAPOLATION

- extrapolate to tracker layers
- define the size of the search region around the extrapolated position
- create a list of tracker stubs inside the search reagion

The extrapolation done exploiting the linear relationship between the ϕ deviation ($\phi_{\,\mu}$ - ϕ_{TK}) and ϕ_B

 $\varphi_{EXT} = \varphi_{\mu} - (m\varphi_B + q)$



Line coefficients are computed by wheel/layer and digitized



φ search windows

The resolutions of DT Trigger Primitives are dominated by multiple scattering, showing a quadratic dependence on momentum The size of the search window is given by error propagation on the extrapolation function

 $\sigma_{extr} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\varphi}^2 + m^2 \sigma_{\varphi_R}^2}$

for a cut at 3 σ and Station 1 at 100 GeV ~ ±15 mrad at 10 GeV ~ ±90 mrad

No extrapolation is needed in θ



Resolution dominated by the uncertainty on z-vertex position of the collision

Size of matching window is

- + independent of momentum
- + dependent on tracker layer
- + slightly dependent on barrel wheel

at r = 35 cm size is ± 300 mrad at r = 55 cm size is ± 180 mrad at r = 100 cm size is ± 90 mrad

DT -Tracker matching efficiencies





PROGRESS SINCE MAY WORKSHOP

- Studies with lower theta resolution
- First results on muon momentum measurement using matched stubs
- Upgrade to CMSSW_2_2_6
- Software for Strawman B released
- Transition to Long Barrel almost completed

TPs in θ projection are currently OR-ed every 8 devices Resolution worsens from ~1.5 mrad to ~40 mrad



Matching window size still dominated by z-vertex uncertainity

Worse resolution brings large benefit to duplicates reduction θ TPs may even not be needed if a 2D detector is added



Use matched stubs to estimate muon momentum

- Choose always stub closer to DT extrapolation
- Several choices compared
- Still using Strawman B



Vertex inclusion systematically overestimates momentum

Small lever arm underestimates momentum



Large dependence on lever arm
innermost and outermost points position are driving result
middle point position almost negligible
Vertex constraint really strong
should we dare to impose it? Caution needed with long lived particles ...
Even small lever arm useful to improve resolution at low P₁
Early FastSim results confirmed



First attempt to define a combined DT-TK muon All muons with \geq 3 common stubs



19

OUTLOOK

- Optimize cancellation algorithms
- Include new 2D detector
- Study combined DT-Tracker P_T calculation
- Start working with pile-up events