

0

Second Workshop on Beyond 3rd Generation Standard Model

Vector-like Quarks:

What are they?

What can they do for you?

G. C. Branco
IST and CFTP
Lisboa, Portugal

(See Miguel Nebot's talk)

1
What they are:

Consider the Standard Model (SM) and add New Quarks with charges either $Q = 2/3$ or $Q = -1/3$ which are $SU(2)_L$ isosinglets.

U_L, U_R
 D_L, D_R \rangle Singlets under $SU(2)_L$!

Vector-like as above defined, violate the Principle of Natural Flavor Conservation (Glashow and Weinberg) in Z -mediated neutral currents.

No Problem!! Vector-like quarks provide a Mechanism, for Natural Suppression of FCNC entirely analogous to the Seesaw mechanism for ν masses!

What **vector-like** quarks can do for you:

- Introduce "naturally small" violations of 3×3 unitarity of V_{CKM}
- Imply the appearance of **tree-level** Z -mediated FCNC, with couplings "naturally suppressed"
- Provide a simple solution to the "Strong CP problem"
- Imply "New Physics" which may be "visible" at the next round of experiments at
 Tevatron
 LHCb, Atlas, CMS
 Super-B factory
 etc...
- Arise in a variety of extensions of the **SM**

The case of a $Q = -1/3$ vector-like 3

quark :

Charged currents interactions :

$$\mathcal{L}_W = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu V d_L W_\mu^\dagger + \text{h.c.}$$

$$u = (u, c, t) ; d = (d, s, b, D)$$

$$\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u} \ \bar{c} \ \bar{t}) \begin{bmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} & V_{uD} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} & V_{cD} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} & V_{tD} \end{bmatrix} \gamma^\mu \begin{bmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \\ D \end{bmatrix}$$

V is a 3×4 matrix, consisting of the first three lines of a 4×4 unitary matrix. Without loss of generality, one can go to the weak-basis where m_u is diagonal, real.

Then :

$$\begin{bmatrix} d^0 \\ s^0 \\ b^0 \\ D^0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & V & & \\ \cdots & & & \\ U_{41} & U_{42} & U_{43} & U_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \\ D \end{bmatrix}$$

Example :

Non-orthogonality of first two columns of V :

$$V_{ud}V_{us}^* + V_{cd}V_{cs}^* + V_{td}V_{ts}^* = -U_{41}U_{42}^* \\ = Z_{ds}$$

$$U_{41} \sim m/M$$

$$U_{42} \sim m/M$$

$m \rightarrow$ standard quark mass

$M \rightarrow$ vector-like quark mass

$$Z_{ds} \approx m^2/M^2$$

Important point : "Deviations of unitarity" and FCNC are related and they are both

naturally suppressed by a mechanism entirely analogous to the seesaw mechanism.

No fine-tuning is required!!

The case of a $Q = 2/3$ quark

5

$$\mathcal{L}_W = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu V d_L W_\mu^\dagger + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_Z = -\frac{g}{2 \cos \theta_W} \left[\bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu V V^\dagger u_L - \bar{d}_L \gamma^\mu d_L - 2 \sin^2 \theta_W J_{lm}^\mu \right] Z_\mu$$

where $u \equiv (u, c, t, T)$, $d \equiv (d, s, b)$

V is a 4×3 submatrix of the 4×4 unitary matrix which enters the diagonalization of the up-type quark mass matrix:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \\ V_{Td} & V_{Ts} & V_{Tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

$VV^\dagger \neq 1 \rightarrow$ FCNC in the up sector.

Consider a quark mixing matrix of arbitrary size :

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} & \dots \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} & \dots \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} & \dots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

In the 3x3 sector of the CKM matrix there are, obviously, 9 phases. Of these, 5 phases can be removed by rephasing of quark fields.

N° independent physical phases : 9 - 5 = 4

It is convenient to express these phases in terms of 4 rephasing invariant phases.

$$\gamma \equiv \arg(-V_{ud} V_{cb} V_{ub}^* V_{cd})$$

$$\beta \equiv \arg(-V_{cd} V_{tb} V_{cb}^* V_{td}^*)$$

$$\beta_S \leftarrow \chi \equiv \arg(-V_{cb} V_{ts} V_{cs}^* V_{tb}^*)$$

$$\beta_K \leftarrow \chi' \equiv \arg(-V_{us} V_{cd} V_{ud}^* V_{cs}^*)$$

A convenient phase convention:

7

G.C.B., L. Lavoura, J. Silva

$$\arg V^{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \chi' & -\delta & \dots \\ \pi & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -\beta & \pi + \chi & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

If 3×3 unitarity is assumed

One can reconstruct the full CKM matrix from the knowledge of the 4 rephasing invariant phases $\beta, \delta, \chi, \chi'$.

R. Aleksan, B. Kayser, D. London

One can also reconstruct the full CKM matrix from 4 independent moduli,

with a two-fold ambiguity, reflecting the fact that one can evaluate, from the moduli, the strength of CP violation,

but not the sign.

One has 13 rephasing invariant quantities in the 3×3 sector of a CKM matrix of arbitrary size:

9 moduli + 4 rephasing inv. phases.

The SM with 3 generations predicts exact relations among these quantities:

Examples:

(db)
$$\frac{|V_{ub}|}{|V_{td}|} = \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin \gamma} \frac{|V_{tb}|}{|V_{ud}|}$$
 $\chi = \beta_s$

(ct)
$$\sin \chi = \frac{|V_{td}|}{|V_{ts}|} \frac{|V_{cd}|}{|V_{cs}|} \sin \beta$$

(uc)
$$\sin \chi' = \frac{|V_{ub}| |V_{cb}|}{|V_{us}| |V_{cs}|} \sin \gamma$$

(db)
$$|V_{ub}| = \frac{|V_{cd}| |V_{cb}|}{|V_{ud}|} \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\gamma + \beta)}$$

Important point:

Prior to the measurement of δ there was no solid evidence for the fact that V^{CKM} is complex, independently of the presence of New Physics.

One can calculate:

$$|\text{Im } Q| = F \text{ (4 independent moduli)}$$

where Q is any invariant quartet of V^{CKM}

Can take as independent "moduli":

$$|V_{us}|, |V_{cb}|, |V_{ub}|, |V_{td}|$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} |V_{ud}| & |V_{us}| & |V_{ub}| \\ |V_{cd}| & |V_{cs}| & |V_{cb}| \\ |V_{td}| & |V_{ts}| & |V_{tb}| \end{bmatrix}$$

General formula for $\text{Im} Q$

$$|\text{Im} Q|^2 = |V_{\alpha i}|^2 |V_{\beta j}|^2 |V_{\alpha j}|^2 |V_{\beta i}|^2 - (\text{Re} Q_{\alpha i \beta j})^2$$

$$\text{Re} Q_{\alpha i \beta j} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - |V_{\alpha i}|^2 - |V_{\beta j}|^2 - |V_{\alpha j}|^2 - |V_{\beta i}|^2 + |V_{\alpha i}|^2 |V_{\beta j}|^2 + |V_{\alpha j}|^2 |V_{\beta i}|^2 \right\}$$

$$Q_{\alpha i \beta j} \equiv V_{\alpha i} V_{\beta j} V_{\alpha j}^* V_{\beta i}^*$$

If one uses the present "data" on $|V_{us}|, |V_{cb}|, |V_{ub}|, |V_{td}|$

one obtains indeed

$$\text{Im} Q \neq 0$$

However, this conclusion is **not valid** because **New Physics** may contribute to **$B_d - \bar{B}_d$ mixing**, thus affecting the extraction of $|V_{td}|$ from the experimental value of $B_d - \bar{B}_d$ mixing

The measurement of

$$\gamma \equiv \arg(-V_{ud}V_{ub}^*V_{cd}^*V_{cb})$$

provides clear evidence for a complex CKM matrix, even if one allows for New Physics contributions to

$B_d-\bar{B}_d$, $B_s-\bar{B}_s$ mixings

EPS prize to Kobayashi and Maskawa!!

- There is room for New Physics, say at 20% level in $B_d-\bar{B}_d$ and $B_s-\bar{B}_s$ mixings

• Contributions to χ could be large !!

↓
Excellent probe of New Physics

In most of tests of the
Flavour sector of the SM

3×3 unitarity of V^{CKM} plays
a crucial rôle.

Can one have a self-consistent
extension of the SM, where there are
naturally small violations of
 3×3 unitarity? Yes!

Consider an extension of the SM,
with the addition of a $Q = 2/3$
isosinglet quark.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} T_L \\ T_R \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{both isosinglets} \\ \text{under } SU(2)_L \end{array}$$

In the mass eigenstate basis, the charged and neutral currents can be written:

$$\mathcal{L}_W = - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu V d_L W_\mu^\dagger + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_Z = - \frac{g}{2 \cos \theta_W} \left[\bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu (V V^\dagger) u_L - \bar{d}_L \gamma^\mu d_L - 2 \sin^2 \theta_W J_{em}^\mu \right] Z_\mu$$

Let U be the 4×4 unitary matrix U which enters in the diagonalization of the up-type mass matrix (in the weak-basis where down quark mass matrix is diagonal, real)

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} & U_{14} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} & U_{24} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} & U_{34} \\ V_{Td} & V_{Ts} & V_{Tb} & U_{44} \end{pmatrix} \quad V V^\dagger \neq 1$$

$V \rightarrow 4 \times 3$ matrix entering in charged currents

There are naturally small violations of 3×3 unitarity leading to small FCNC couplings in the up quark sector.

$$\bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu (V V^\dagger) u_L =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} & \bar{c} & \bar{t} & \bar{T} \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |U_{14}|^2 & -U_{14} U_{24}^* & -U_{14} U_{34}^* & -U_{14} U_{44}^* \\ & 1 - |U_{24}|^2 & -U_{24} U_{34}^* & -U_{24} U_{44}^* \\ & & 1 - |U_{34}|^2 & -U_{34} U_{44}^* \\ & & & 1 - |U_{44}|^2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \gamma_\mu \begin{pmatrix} u \\ c \\ t \\ T \end{pmatrix}_L$$

In this extension of the SM, one may have :

$$\sin \chi = O(\lambda)$$

From orthogonality of the second and third columns of V , one obtains

$$\sin \chi = \frac{|V_{ub}| |V_{us}|}{|V_{cb}| |V_{cs}|} \sin(\gamma - \chi + \chi') + \frac{|V_{tb}| |V_{ts}|}{|V_{cb}| |V_{cs}|} \sin(\sigma - \chi)$$

NP

In order to obtain χ of order λ one needs:

$$V_{tb} = O(\lambda)$$

$$V_{ts} = O(\lambda^2)$$

$$\sigma \equiv \arg(V_{ts} V_{cb} V_{tb}^* V_{cs}^*) = O(1)$$

From orthogonality of second and third rows:

$$\sin \chi = \frac{|V_{cd}| |V_{td}|}{|V_{cs}| |V_{ts}|} \sin \beta + \frac{|U_{24}| |U_{34}|}{|V_{cs}| |V_{ts}|} \sin \delta$$

$$\delta = \arg(V_{tb}^* U_{24}^* V_{cb} U_{34})$$

χ of order λ requires

$$|U_{24}| |U_{34}| = O(\lambda^3)$$

$$\sin \delta = O(1)$$

Clear-cut prediction:

FCNC couplings of the type:

$$\bar{c}_L \gamma^\mu t_L Z^\mu$$

are proportional to

$$|U_{24} U_{34}|$$

On the other hand, in order to have

$$\chi = O(1)$$

one requires, in the context of the model

$$|U_{24} U_{34}| \approx \lambda^3$$

\Downarrow

this leads to rare top decays

$t \rightarrow c Z$ at rates such that they
can be observed at **LHC**

- J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, J. F. Botella, M. Nebot, G.C.B
Nud. Phys. B706, 204 (2005)
- F. J. Botella, M. Nebot, GCB arXiv 0805.3995 (2008)

See M. Neubert

Recent exciting result !!

Observation of a large phase in B_s mixing amplitude at CDF and $D\phi$ collaborations, recently analysed by the UTfit collaboration

Model independent parametrization of New Physics contributions to B_s mixing:

$$\frac{\langle B_s | H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{full}} | \bar{B}_s \rangle}{\langle B_s | H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}} | \bar{B}_s \rangle} = \frac{A_s^{\text{SM}} e^{-i(2\beta_s)} + A_s^{\text{NP}} e^{2i(\phi_s^{\text{NP}} - \beta_s)}}{A_s^{\text{SM}} e^{-2i\beta_s}}$$

$$\equiv C_{B_s} e^{2i\phi_s}$$

ϕ_s (rad.) = $(.60 \pm .27)$ rad

In the SM, $\beta_s = 0.018 \pm 0.001$

Some details of the model

(18) 29

$$m_T < 500 \text{ GeV}$$

$$B(t \rightarrow c Z) \approx 10^{-4}$$

There are $\Delta C = 2$ FCNC contributing to $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing

One may account for the observed size of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing without having to invoke long distance contributions

The model accommodates all present experimental data on:

$$E_K \quad \varepsilon'/\varepsilon_K, \quad \Delta M_{B_d} \quad \Delta M_{B_s} \quad A_{J/\psi K_s},$$

$$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$$

$$B \rightarrow X_s e^+ e^- \quad \text{etc}$$

$$B \rightarrow X_s \mu^+ \mu^-$$