Constraint on 4th Generation Leptons from Muon g - 2

Fei-Fan Lee (李非凡) National Taiwan University

BSM3 2010

January 14-16, 2010, Taipei, Taiwan

In collaboration with

Wei-Shu Hou, Chien-Yi Ma

Phys. Rev. D 79, 2009



Outline

Introduction

• 4th generation and muon g-2

♦ Effects of neutral lepton N
 SM4 ● W+W-N loop contribution
 ● H+H-N loop contribution



- *EEh*⁰, *EEH*⁰, *EEA*⁰ loop contribution
- EEZ′loop contribution
- Comparison with MSSM

Summary



Is a fourth generation allowed ?

At least 3 generations for CP violation

Direct measurement of invisible Z width:

- N(v) = 2.92 ± 0.05
- Does not exclude the existence of heavy neutrino (m $_{\rm N}$ > m $_{\rm Z}$ /2)

• 4th generation is not excluded by EW precision data



- SM source of CP violation is too small to account for the asymmetry between matter and anti-matter

An extra generation of quarks may resolve this problem

W.-S. Hou Chinese Journal of Phys. 2009

0.3

Muon g-2

Experimental value :

E821 Collab, Phys. Rev. D73, 2006

$$a_{\mu}^{\exp} = \frac{g-2}{2} = 116592080(63) \cdot 10^{-11}$$

SM3 prediction :



 $a_{\mu}^{\text{SM}} = a_{\mu}^{\text{QED}} + a_{\mu}^{\text{EW}} + a_{\mu}^{\text{Had}} = 116591788(2)(46)(35)\cdot10^{-11}$

Difference between experiment and theory:

$$\Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} = 292 (86) \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ (3.4 σ deviation)}$$
PDG 2009 from E, **N PDG 2009 4**

Muon g-2 and lepton flavor violating decay (LFV)

• Diagram for a_{μ} and $\mathcal{B}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ are similar, giving similar structures,

 $\varepsilon_{\lambda}q_{\nu}\sigma^{\lambda\nu}(C_{L}L+C_{R}R)$



Very stringent bounds on LFV decays,

 $\mathcal{B}(\mu \to e\gamma) < 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$

 $\mathcal{B}(\tau \to e\gamma) < 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$

 $\mathcal{B}(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma) < 4.5 \times 10^{-8}$ PDG 2009

at 90% C.L.

Diagrammatic point of view

Two types of contributions: N and E in loop



Effects of neutral lepton *N*

W⁺*W*⁻*N* loop contribution

From weak Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\overline{\mu} \gamma^{\alpha} \left(1 - \gamma^{5} \right) V_{N\mu} N \right) W_{\alpha} + h.c.$$

we find

$$a_{\mu}(W^{+}W^{-}N) = \frac{G_{F}m_{\mu}^{2}}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}} |V_{N\mu}|^{2}F(x),$$

where $V_{N\mu}$ is the lepton mixing matrix element, and $x = m_N^2 / M_W^2$

$$F(x) = \int_0^1 du \, \frac{u(1-u)(2-u)x + 2u^2(u+1)}{(1-u)x + u} = \frac{3x^3 \log x}{(x-1)^4} + \frac{4x^3 - 45x^2 + 33x - 10}{6(x-1)^3}$$

Replace **N** by v_{μ} ,

$$V_{N\mu} \rightarrow V_{\nu_{\mu}\mu} \cong 1$$
, $m_N \rightarrow m_{\nu_{\mu}} = 0 \implies F(x=0) = \frac{5}{3}$

recover SM contribution,

$$a_{\mu}^{\rm SM} \left(W^{+} W^{-} v_{\mu} \right) = \frac{5G_{F} m_{\mu}^{2}}{12\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}} \qquad \left(\frac{G_{F} m_{\mu}^{2}}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}} \approx 233 \times 10^{-11} \sim \Delta a_{\mu} \right)$$



SM4

- F(x), an Inami-Lim loopfunction, is well-behaved and bounded.
- F(1) = 17/12 : not singular

Incorrectly rendered in W. Huo and T. F. Feng arXiv:0301153 and K. R. Lynch arXiv:0108081

• Since $F(x) \approx 1.4$, $|V_{N\mu}|$ needs to be ≥ 0.7 to reach within 2σ of $\triangle a_{\mu}$



On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu \to e\gamma} \left(W^{\pm} W^{\pm} N \right) = \frac{3\alpha \left| V_{Ne}^{*} V_{N\mu} \right|^{2}}{8\pi} F^{2} \left(x \right)$$
$$\approx \frac{1.2 \times 10^{-11}}{\text{upper bound}} \left(\frac{\left| V_{N\mu} \right|}{0.7} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{\left| V_{Ne} \right|}{10^{-4}} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{F(x)}{1.6} \right)^{2}$$

If $|V_{N\mu}| \ge 0.7 \implies |V_{Ne}| \le 10^{-4} \implies \text{Unrealistic!}$

 $\triangle a_{\mu}$ unlikely from W^+W^-N

because of $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$

Two Higgs Doublet Model (2HDM) BSM4

• One Higgs doublet \rightarrow Two Higgs doublets

• Neutral components of the Higgs boson doublets Φ_1 and Φ_2 acquire vevs v_1 and v_2 and ratio is tan $\beta = v_2/v_1$.

Electroweak breaking leaves:

CP-odd scalar A⁰
 Charged Higgs bosons H[±]
 CP-even Higgs scalars h⁰, H⁰

• Type I : only Φ_2 couples and gives masses to leptons

 Type II : Φ₁ and Φ₂ couple and give masses to charged and neutral leptons respectively. This model occurs for MSSM.

 Type III : Φ₁ and Φ₂ couple and yield masses to both charged and neutral leptons. This model possess flavor changing neutral couplings (FCNC) effect.

H⁺*H*⁻*N* loop contribution in 2HDM II

In 2HDM II, the relevant Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}M_{W}} V_{N\mu}\overline{\mu} \left[\left(\cot\beta m_{N} + \tan\beta m_{\mu} \right) + \left(\cot\beta m_{N} - \tan\beta m_{\mu} \right) \gamma^{5} \right] NH^{-} + h.c.$$

we get

$$a_{\mu}^{2\text{HDM-II}}(H^{+}H^{-}N) = -\frac{G_{F}m_{\mu}^{2}}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}} |V_{N\mu}|^{2} [f_{H^{+}}(x) + g_{H^{+}}(x)\cot^{2}\beta + x_{\mu}q_{H^{+}}(x)\tan^{2}\beta],$$

$$\begin{cases} f_{H^+}(x) = \int_0^1 du \, \frac{2u(1-u)x}{(1-u)x+u} = -\frac{2x^2 \log x}{(x-1)^3} + \frac{x(x+1)}{(x-1)^2} \\ g_{H^+}(x) = \int_0^1 du \, \frac{u^2(1-u)x}{(1-u)x+u} = -\frac{x^3 \log x}{(x-1)^4} + \frac{x(2x^2+5x-1)}{6(x-1)^3} \\ q_{H^+}(x) = \int_0^1 du \, \frac{u^2(1-u)}{(1-u)x+u} = -\frac{x^2 \log x}{(x-1)^4} + \frac{2x^2+5x-1}{6(x-1)^3} \\ x = \frac{m_N^2}{M_{H^+}^2}, \ x_\mu = \frac{m_\mu^2}{M_{H^+}^2} <<1 \end{cases}$$

www.z

Ν

H-

μ

 \mathbf{H}^+

μ



$$f_{H^+}(x=0)=0, g_{H^+}(0)=0, q_{H^+}(0)=\frac{1}{6}$$

we get

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{2HDM-II}} \left(H^{+} H^{-} \nu_{\mu} \right) = - \frac{G_{F} m_{\mu}^{2}}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}} \cdot \frac{x_{\mu} \tan^{2}\beta}{6}$$
A. Dedes and H.E. Haber JHEP 2001

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{2HDM-II}} \left(H^{+} H^{-} N \right) = - \frac{G_{F} m_{\mu}^{2}}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}} \left| V_{N\mu} \right|^{2} \left[\underbrace{f_{H^{+}}(x)}_{\mathbf{A}} + \underbrace{g_{H^{+}}(x) \cot^{2} \beta}_{\mathbf{B}} + \underbrace{x_{\mu} q_{H^{+}}(x) \tan^{2} \beta}_{\mathbf{C}} \right],$$

A: For $1 \le x \le 10$, so $0.4 \le f_{H^+}(x) \le 0.8$. Suffer from $|V_{N\mu}|^2$ suppression.

B: Finite contribution for large $\cot\beta$ and x, such that $|V_{N\mu} \cot\beta|^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. But *negative*. [Large $\cot\beta$ gives $|\mathcal{G}_{t\bar{t}H^0(h^0)}| >> 1$, which become nonperturbative]

C: Suppressed by
$$x_{\mu} \left(= m_{\mu}^2 / M_H^2\right) << 1$$
. Compare to **B**



Can not give rise to $\triangle a_{\mu}$

H⁺*H*⁻*N* loop contribution in 2HDM I

In 2HDM I, the relevant Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \frac{g \cot \beta}{2\sqrt{2}M_{W}} V_{N\mu} \overline{\mu} \left[\left(m_{N} - m_{\mu} \right) + \left(m_{N} + m_{\mu} \right) \gamma^{5} \right] N H^{-} + h.c.$$



we get



By same argument, insufficient for $\triangle a_{\mu}$

Effects of charged lepton E

EEh^{0,}EEH⁰,EEA⁰ loop contribution in 2HDN III

In 2HDM III, tanβ is no longer a physical parameter. The relevant Lagrangian is

$$-\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \frac{\zeta_{\mu E}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\mu} \left(-\sin\alpha H^{0} + \cos\alpha h^{0} + i\gamma^{5} A^{0} \right) E + h.c.$$



where $\zeta_{\mu E}$ is FCNC Yukawa coupling. α is mixing angle in CP-even Higgs.

• Extend Cheng-Sher ansatz to charged lepton

$$\zeta_{ij} \sim \Delta_{ij} \sqrt{m_i m_j} / \upsilon, \quad \Delta_{ij} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

$$a_{\mu}^{2\text{HDM-III}} = \frac{G_F m_{\mu}^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \left(C_{H^0} + C_{h^0} + C_{A^0} \right) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} C_{H^0} = \sin^2 \alpha \int_0^1 du \frac{u^2 \left[m_E^2 + (1-u) m_{\mu} m_E \right]}{u m_E^2 + (1-u) M_{H^0}^2} > 0 \\ C_{h^0} = \cos^2 \alpha \int_0^1 du \frac{u^2 \left[m_E^2 + (1-u) m_{\mu} m_E \right]}{u m_E^2 + (1-u) M_{h^0}^2} > 0 \\ C_{A^0} = -\int_0^1 du \frac{u^2 \left[m_E^2 - (1-u) m_{\mu} m_E \right]}{u m_E^2 + (1-u) M_{A^0}^2} < 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Because $C_{A^0} < 0$, we assume $M_{A^0}, M_{H^0} >> M_{h^0} \implies C_{A^0}, C_{H^0}$ can be ignored

Then we get
$$a_{\mu}^{2HDM-HI} \sim 233 \times 10^{-11} F_{h^0}(x), \quad x = \frac{m_h^2}{M_{h^0}^2}$$

$$F_{h^0}(x) = \int_0^1 du \frac{u^2 x}{ux + (1 - u)} = \frac{x \log x}{(x - 1)^3} + \frac{x(x - 3)}{2(x - 1)^2}$$
If we assume $a_{\mu}^{2HDM-HI} \approx \Delta a_{\mu}$, we have $F_{h^0}(x) = \mathcal{O}(1)$

$$\mathfrak{B}^{2HDM-HI}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = \frac{3\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{m_e}{m_{\mu}} |F_{h^0}(x)|^2 \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-11} \left(\frac{|F_{h^0}(x)|}{10^{-3}} \right)^2$$
we get $F_{h^0}(x) < 10^3$

$$\mathfrak{B}^{2HDM-HI}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = \frac{3\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{m_e}{m_{\mu}} |F_{h^0}(x)|^2 \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-11} \left(\frac{|F_{h^0}(x)|}{10^{-3}} \right)^2$$
The $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ constraint rules out Cheng-Sher ansatz + 4th generation lepton in loop
Suppose eEh^0 vanish,

$$\mathcal{B}^{\text{2HDM-III}}(\tau \to \mu \gamma) = \mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_{\tau}) \frac{3\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{\tau}} |F_{h^0}(x)|^2 \sim 4.5 \times 10^{-8} \left(\frac{|F_{h^0}(x)|}{10^{-1}}\right)^2$$

we get $F_{h^0}(x) < 10^{-1}$

The $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$ constraint rules out Cheng-Sher ansatz + 4th generation lepton in loop

If 4th generation found, Cheng-Sher ansatz can not hold for lepton sector

EEZ' loop contribution

For extra U(1) with FCNC coupling, the relevant Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z'} = g_{Z'} \overline{e}_a \gamma^{\mu} \left[\varepsilon_{ab}^L L + \varepsilon_{ab}^R R \right] e_b Z'_{\mu}$$



we get

$$a_{\mu}(EEZ') = \frac{g_{Z'}^2}{8\pi^2} \Big[x_{\mu}^{1/2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\varepsilon_{\mu E}^L \varepsilon_{\mu E}^R\right) f_Z(x) - x_{\mu}\left(\left|\varepsilon_{\mu E}^L\right|^2 + \left|\varepsilon_{\mu E}^R\right|^2\right) q_Z(x) \Big]$$

where $x = \frac{m_E^2}{M_{Z'}^2}, \ x_\mu = \frac{m_\mu^2}{M_{Z'}^2}$ $f_Z(x) = \int_0^1 du \, \frac{4u(1-u)x^{1/2} + u^2 x^{3/2}}{ux + (1-u)} = -\frac{3x^{3/2}\log x}{(x-1)^3} + \frac{x^{1/2}(x^2 + x + 4)}{2(x-1)^2}$ $q_Z(x) = \int_0^1 du \, \frac{u(1-u)(2-u)}{ux + (1-u)} = -\frac{x(2x-1)\log x}{(x-1)^4} + \frac{5x^2 + 5x - 4}{6(x-1)^3}$

can recover SM by proper substitution.



On the other hand

$$\mathcal{B}^{Z'}(\mu \to e\gamma) = \frac{3\alpha g_{Z'}^4}{8\pi G_F^2 m_\mu^2 M_{Z'}^2} |f_Z(x)|^2 \left[\left| \varepsilon_{eE}^R \varepsilon_{\mu E}^L \right|^2 + \left| \varepsilon_{eE}^L \varepsilon_{\mu E}^R \right|^2 \right]$$
$$\mathcal{B}^{Z'}(\tau \to \mu\gamma) = \mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu \overline{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau) \frac{3\alpha g_{Z'}^4}{8\pi G_F^2 m_\tau^2 M_{Z'}^2} |f_Z(x)|^2 \left[\left| \varepsilon_{\mu E}^R \varepsilon_{\tau E}^L \right|^2 + \left| \varepsilon_{\mu E}^L \varepsilon_{\tau E}^R \right|^2 \right]$$

• We take $M_{Z'} = 1 \text{ TeV}, M_E = 250 \text{ GeV}, g_{Z'} = 0.105$ (P. Langacker *et al.* Phys.Rev. D 2000)

and denote $\varepsilon_{\mu E}^{L} = \varepsilon_{\mu E}^{R} \equiv \varepsilon_{\mu E}$, $\varepsilon_{e E}^{L} = \varepsilon_{e E}^{R} \equiv \varepsilon_{e E}$, $\varepsilon_{\tau E}^{L} = \varepsilon_{\tau E}^{R}$ and all ε are real; then

$$a_{\mu}(EEZ') \sim 620 \times 10^{-11} \varepsilon_{\mu E}^2$$
 If we assume $\varepsilon_{\mu E} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ $a_{\mu}(EEZ') \approx \Delta a_{\mu}$

•
$$\mathcal{B}^{Z'}(\mu \to e\gamma) \sim \frac{1.2 \times 10^{-11}}{\text{upper bound}} \varepsilon_{\mu E}^2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{eE}}{10^{-4}}\right)^2$$
 • $\varepsilon_{\mu E} \sim 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \varepsilon_{eE} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$

•
$$\mathscr{B}^{Z'}(\tau \to \mu \gamma) \sim \frac{4.5 \times 10^{-8}}{\text{upper bound}} \varepsilon_{\mu E}^2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\tau E}}{10^{-2}}\right)^2$$
 • $\varepsilon_{\mu E} \sim 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \varepsilon_{\tau E} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$

Z' unlikely the source for muon g - 2

unless $\varepsilon_{\mu E} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ but ε_{eE} , $\varepsilon_{\tau E} << 1$

Why MSSM works?





n.b. subtlety of mass degeneracy condition (A) $m_{\text{Higgsino}} = m_{\text{Wino}} = M_{\tilde{v}_{\mu}} = M_{\text{SUSY}} \implies \sum_{k} a_{\mu} (\chi_{k}^{+} \chi_{k}^{-} \tilde{v}_{\mu}) \sim \frac{g_{2}^{2} m_{\mu}^{2} \operatorname{sgn}(\mu M_{2}) \tan \beta}{32 \pi^{2} M_{\text{SUSY}}^{2}}$ (Moroi) (O) (B) $m_{\text{chargino}} = M_{\tilde{v}_{\mu}} = M_{\text{SUSY}} \implies \sum_{k} a_{\mu} (\chi_{k}^{+} \chi_{k}^{-} \tilde{v}_{\mu}) \sim 837 \times 10^{-5} x_{\mu}, \quad x_{\mu} = \frac{m_{\mu}^{2}}{M_{\text{SUSY}}^{2}} \leq 10^{-7}$ too small (X) (Czarnecki and Marciano)

Summary

Coupling strength is crucial factor, not the loop function.

• In SM, 2HDM-I and II, the 4th generation is irrelevant to Δa_{μ} puzzle, because of smallness of $|V_{N\mu}|$.

If 4th generation found, Cheng-Sher ansatz can not hold in lepton sector.

• Enhancement to a_{μ} and suppression to $\mathcal{B}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ in MSSM both similar to SM.

Since large tanβ suppresses the *negative* contribution from H⁺H⁻N,
 MSSM and 4th generation can coexist.

Back up

H + **H** - **N** loop contribution in 2HDM II

In the 2HDM II, the Yukawa's Lag. of lepton sector is

$$-\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \eta_{ij}^{e,0} \bar{l}_{iL}^{0} \Phi_{1} e_{jR}^{0} + \xi_{ij}^{\nu,0} \bar{l}_{iL}^{0} \overline{\Phi}_{2} \nu_{jR}^{0} + h.c.$$



When we expand in terms of mass eigenstates, the relevant Lag. with H^{\pm} reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}M_{W}} V_{N\mu}\overline{\mu} \left[\left(\cot\beta m_{N} + \tan\beta m_{\mu} \right) + \left(\cot\beta m_{N} - \tan\beta m_{\mu} \right) \gamma^{5} \right] NH^{-} + h.c.$$

Then we can get

$$a_{\mu}^{2\text{HDM-II}}\left(H^{+}H^{-}N\right) = -\frac{G_{F}m_{\mu}^{2}}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}}\left|V_{N\mu}\right|^{2}\left[f_{H^{+}}(x) + g_{H^{+}}(x)\cot^{2}\beta + x_{\mu}q_{H^{+}}(x)\tan^{2}\beta\right], \ x = \frac{m_{N}^{2}}{M_{H^{+}}^{2}}, \ x_{\mu} = \frac{m_{\mu}^{2}}{M_{H^{+}}^{2}}$$

$$\begin{cases} f_{H^+}(x) = \int_0^1 du \, \frac{2u(1-u)x}{(1-u)x+u} = -\frac{2x^2 \log x}{(x-1)^3} + \frac{x(x+1)}{(x-1)^2} \\ g_{H^+}(x) = \int_0^1 du \, \frac{u^2(1-u)x}{(1-u)x+u} = -\frac{x^3 \log x}{(x-1)^4} + \frac{x(2x^2+5x-1)}{6(x-1)^3} \\ q_{H^+}(x) = \int_0^1 du \, \frac{u^2(1-u)}{(1-u)x+u} = -\frac{x^2 \log x}{(x-1)^4} + \frac{2x^2+5x-1}{6(x-1)^3} \end{cases}$$

H + **H** - **N** loop contribution in 2HDM I

In the 2HDM I, the Yukawa's Lag. of lepton sector is

$$-\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \xi_{ij}^{e,0} \bar{l}_{iL}^{0} \Phi_{2} e_{jR}^{0} + \xi_{ij}^{\nu,0} \bar{l}_{iL}^{0} \overline{\Phi}_{2} \nu_{jR}^{0} + h.c.$$



When we expand in terms of mass eigenstates, the relevant Lag. with H^{\pm} reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \frac{g \cot \beta}{2\sqrt{2}M_{W}} V_{N\mu} \overline{\mu} \left[\left(m_{N} - m_{\mu} \right) + \left(m_{N} + m_{\mu} \right) \gamma^{5} \right] N H^{-} + h.c$$

Then we can get

$$a_{\mu}^{2\text{HDM-I}} (H^{+}H^{-}N) = \frac{G_{F}m_{\mu}^{2}}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}} |V_{N\mu}|^{2} \cot^{2}\beta [h_{H^{+}}(x) - x_{\mu}q_{H^{+}}(x)],$$

$$h_{H^{+}}(x) = \int_{0}^{1} du \frac{u(1-u)(2-u)x}{(1-u)x+u}$$

$$= -\frac{x^{2}(x-2)\log x}{(x-1)^{4}} + \frac{x(4x^{2}-5x-5)}{6(x-1)^{3}}$$

$$q_{H^{+}}(x) = \int_{0}^{1} du \frac{u^{2}(1-u)}{(1-u)x+u} = -\frac{x^{2}\log x}{(x-1)^{4}} + \frac{2x^{2}+5x-1}{6(x-1)^{3}}$$



EEh⁰, EEA⁰ loop contribution in 2HDN III

Ε

h⁰, A⁰

μ

Е

μ

In the 2HDM III, the Yukawa's Lag. of lepton sector is

$$-\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \eta_{ij}^{\nu,0} \bar{l}_{iL}^{0} \overline{\Phi}_{1} \nu_{jR}^{0} + \eta_{ij}^{e,0} \bar{l}_{iL}^{0} \Phi_{1} e_{jR}^{0} + \xi_{ij}^{\nu,0} \bar{l}_{iL}^{0} \overline{\Phi}_{2} \nu_{jR}^{0} + \xi_{ij}^{e,0} \bar{l}_{iL}^{0} \Phi_{2} e_{jR}^{0} + h.c.$$

where η and ξ are 4×4 matrices and *i*, *j* are flavor indices. In this model, by redefining Φ_1 , Φ_2 and η , ξ simultaneously, which still leaves the Lag. Invariant. We may assume $\langle \Phi_1^{0} \rangle = v/\sqrt{2}$ and $\langle \Phi_2^{0} \rangle = 0$, and $\tan \beta$ is not a physical parameter.

In terms of physical field, η^v and η^e can be diagonalized to be mass matrix. ξ^v and ξ^e are trasformed to ζ^v and ζ^e. The relevant Lag. with ζ_{μE}

$$-\mathcal{L}_{Y} = \frac{\zeta_{\mu E}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\mu} \left(-\sin\alpha H^{0} + \cos\alpha h^{0} + i\gamma^{5}A^{0}\right) E + \frac{\zeta_{\mu E}}{2} \overline{N} \left(1 + \gamma^{5}\right) \mu H^{+} + h.c.$$

• We extend Cheng and Sher ansatz for quark sector to charged lepton sector with 4th gen.

$$\zeta_{ij} \sim \Delta_{ij} \sqrt{m_i m_j} / \upsilon, \quad \Delta_{ij} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

•
$$a_{\mu}^{2\text{HDM-III}} = \frac{G_F m_{\mu}^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \times (C_{EEH^0} + C_{EEA^0}) + C_{EEA^0})$$

 $\times (C_{EEH^0} + C_{EEA^0} + C_{EEA^0})$
 $C_{EEA^0} = \cos^2 \alpha \int_0^1 du \frac{u^2 [m_E^2 + (1-u)m_{\mu}m_E]}{um_E^2 + (1-u)M_{\mu^0}^2} > 0$
 $C_{EEA^0} = -\int_0^1 du \frac{u^2 [m_E^2 - (1-u)m_{\mu}m_E]}{um_E^2 + (1-u)M_{A^0}^2} < 0$

$$\sum_{k} a_{\mu} (\chi_{k}^{+} \chi_{k}^{-} \tilde{\nu}_{\mu}) = \frac{m_{\mu}}{16\pi^{2}} \sum_{k} \left\{ \frac{m_{\mu}}{12M_{\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}}^{2}} (g_{2}^{2} |V_{k1}|^{2} + y_{\mu}^{2} |U_{k2}|^{2}) F_{1}^{C}(x_{k}) - g_{2} y_{\mu} \frac{2m_{\chi_{k}^{\pm}}}{3M_{\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}}^{2}} \operatorname{Re}[U_{k2} V_{k1}] F_{2}^{C}(x_{k}) \right\}, \quad (30)$$

where $x_k = m_{\chi_k^{\pm}}^2/M_{\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}}^2$, and we follow the notations of Ref. [11], except for a factor of 2 for $F_2^C(x)$. The biunitary transformation matrices U and V diagonalize the chargino mass matrix X:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & M_W \sqrt{2} \sin\beta \\ M_W \sqrt{2} \cos\beta & \mu \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (31)

Assuming $|\mu| = |M_2| = M_{\bar{\nu}_{\mu}} = M_{SUSY}$ and taking the large $\tan\beta$ limit, we make a Taylor expansion of the factor $\sum_k \operatorname{Re}(U_{k2}V_{k1})m_{\chi^{\pm}_{\mu}}F_2^C(x_k)$ in Eq. (30) around $x_k = 1$ and express it in terms of X:

$$\sum_{k} \operatorname{Re}(U_{k2}V_{k1})m_{\chi_{k}^{\pm}}F_{2}^{C}(x_{k}) = \left(X - \frac{3}{4}XE + \frac{6}{5}XE^{2} + \cdots\right)_{21}.$$
(32)

Since $E = X^{\dagger}X/M_{SUSY}^2 - I$ is a matrix at $O(M_W/M_{SUSY})$, we can neglect high order terms. Approximating $X_{22}E_{21} = \text{sgn}(\mu M_2)\sqrt{2}M_W \sin\beta$ in Eq. (32), we obtain a sizable contribution

$$\sum_{k} a_{\mu} (\chi_{k}^{+} \chi_{k}^{-} \tilde{\nu}_{\mu}) \sim \frac{g_{2}^{2} m_{\mu}^{2} \mathrm{sgn}(\mu M_{2}) \tan \beta}{32 \pi^{2} M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}.$$
 (33)

However, if one takes the degeneracy limit $m_{\chi_{1,2}^{\pm}} = M_{\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}} = M_{\text{SUSY}}$, one gets $F_2^C(x_{1,2}) = 1$, the factor $\sum_k \text{Re}(U_{k2}V_{k1})m_{\chi_k^{\pm}}F_2^C(x_k)$ becomes exactly equal to $X_{21} = \sqrt{2}M_W \cos\beta$, and one inadvertently loses the tan β enhancement. After some calculation, we get

$$\sum_{k} a_{\mu} (\chi_{k}^{+} \chi_{k}^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\mu}) \sim 837 \times 10^{-5} x_{\mu}.$$
(34)

With $x_{\mu} = m_{\mu}^2/M_{\rm SUSY}^2 \lesssim 10^{-7}$ typically, the chargino-

chargino- $\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}$ loop contribution would become insufficient for the $g_{\mu} - 2$ discrepancy.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper, we consider the existence of fourth generation leptons and discussed their impact on a_{μ} . In the SM, 2HDM-I and II, the fourth generation seems irrelevant to the Δa_{μ} puzzle because of the smallness of $|V_{N\mu}|$. However, this off-diagonal factor also protects these models from the stringent $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ constraints. In the 2HDM-III, applying the Cheng-Sher ansatz with a fourth generation to charged leptons, one has a strong conflict with $\mathcal{B}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ and even $\mathcal{B}(\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma)$. Hence, if a fourth generation is found, the Cheng-Sher ansatz cannot hold for the lepton sector. This may be reasonable since the lepton mixing pattern seems different from quarks.