Peculiar features of $O\left(\alpha_{s}^{4}\right)$ estimates of the relation between pole and running heavy quak masses
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Some comments on the work in collaboration with
Sergey Mikhailov (JINR, Dubna) on the interesting
features of perturbative series do be discussed at RADCOR-09 (Ascona, 25-30 October, 2009)

There are different definitions of heavy quark masses: pole and $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$-scheme running. The letter ones are commonly used. BUT in characteristics of DIS processes (including parton distributions) pole masses of $c$ and $b$-quark masses are used and $t$-quark mass is defined from experiment. QUESTION: what is there relation? ANSWER: known analytically at $\alpha_{s}^{3}$ level. Aim: Study of structure of perturbative series for the relations between different definitions of masses up to $\alpha_{s}^{4}$ estimated -term Kataev, Kim(09). The procedure : Chetyrkin-Kniehl-Sirlin (97) analog of effective charges motivated approach by Kataev-Starshenko (94-95)

1) Concrete peculiar features: existence of Minkowskian-type $\pi^{2}$-terms in $\alpha_{s}$ corrections (are they seen in analytical results?).
2) Results for mass relations of $c, b, t$-quarks at the $\alpha_{s}^{4}$-level.
3) A.Kataev,S. Mikhailov, CERN-PH-TH/2009-203; 23.10. 09.

New extended Crewther-type relation. Waiting for $\alpha_{s}^{4}$ to $D^{e+e-}$ and Bjorken SR :Dr. P.Baikov, Prof.K.Chetyrkin, Prof. H.Kuhn...

Part 1: Definitions:
$M_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}=\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\left(\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{4} t_{n}^{M} a_{s}^{n}\left(\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}^{2}\right)$ where
$N_{L}$-number of quarks, lighter, than considered heavy quark $(c, b, t)$ Chetyrkin-Kniehl-Sirlin dispersion relation- model:
$M_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\left(\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\right)-i \varepsilon}^{-\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right.}\left(\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\right)+i \varepsilon} d s^{\prime} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s \frac{T(s)}{\left(s+s^{\prime}\right)^{2}}$
$T(s)=\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}(s) \sum_{n=0}^{4} t_{n}^{M} a_{s}^{n}\left(\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}^{2}\right)$. Its Euclidian analog is $F\left(Q^{2}\right)=\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\left(Q^{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{4} f_{n}^{E} a_{s}^{n}\left(Q^{2}\right)$
The coefficients are related as : $f_{0}^{E}=t_{0}, f_{i}^{E}=t_{i}^{M}+e_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ $e_{1}=0, e_{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} t_{0} \gamma_{0}\left(\beta_{0}+\gamma_{0}\right)\left(\beta_{i}\right.$ and $\gamma_{i}$ are the coefficients of QCD $\beta$-function and mass anomalous dimension function ).
$e_{3}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\left\{t_{1}\left(\beta_{0}+\gamma_{0}\right)\left(\beta_{0}+\frac{\gamma_{0}}{2}\right)+t_{0}\left[\frac{\beta_{1} \gamma_{0}}{2}+\gamma_{1}\left(\beta_{0}+\gamma_{0}\right)\right]\right\}$
$e_{4}=\pi^{2}\left\{t_{2}\left(\beta_{0}+\frac{\gamma_{0}}{2}\right)+t_{1}\left[\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{5}{3} \beta_{0}+\gamma_{0}\right)+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}\left(2 \beta_{0}+\gamma_{0}\right)\right]+t_{0}\left[\frac{\beta_{2} \gamma_{0}}{6}+\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\frac{\gamma_{1}}{3}\left(\beta_{1}+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\right)+\gamma_{2}\left(\frac{\beta_{0}}{2}+\frac{\gamma_{0}}{3}\right)\right]\right\}+\frac{7 \pi^{4}}{60} t_{0} \gamma_{0}\left(\beta_{0}+\gamma_{0}\right)\left(\beta_{0}+\frac{\gamma_{0}}{2}\right)\left(\beta_{0}+\frac{\gamma_{0}}{3}\right)$

Are $\pi^{2}$ - analytical continuation terms observed in reality?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\bar{m}(M)}{M}=1-\frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)+\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left(N_{L}\left(\frac{71}{144}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{18}\right)-\frac{3019}{288}+\frac{1}{6} \zeta_{3}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{9} \log 2-\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{3}\left(N_{L}^{2}\left(-\frac{2353}{23328}-\frac{7}{54} \zeta_{3}-\frac{13}{324} \pi^{2}\right)+N_{L}\left(\frac{246643}{23328}+\frac{241}{72} \zeta_{3}+\frac{11}{81} \pi^{2} \log 2-\frac{2}{81} \pi^{2} \log ^{2} 2\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{967}{648} \pi^{2}-\frac{61}{1944} \pi^{4}-\frac{1}{81} \log ^{4} 2-\frac{8}{27} a_{4}\right)-\frac{9478333}{93312}+\frac{1439}{432} \zeta_{3} \pi^{2}-\frac{61}{27} \zeta_{3}-\frac{1975}{216} \zeta_{5} \\
& \left.+\frac{587}{162} \pi^{2} \log 2+\frac{22}{81} \pi^{2} \log ^{2} 2-\frac{644201}{38880} \pi^{2}+\frac{695}{7776} \pi^{4}+\frac{55}{162} \log ^{4} 2+\frac{220}{27} a_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Result of Melnikov, van Ritbergen (2000) in agreement with
Chetyrkin, Steinhauser (2000). We were not able to separate effects of analytical continuation from $\pi^{2}$-terms typical to OS calculations. Further studies?

Part 2. Study of the asymptotic structure of perturbative relations. We are interested in the following series
$M_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}=\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\left(M_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\right)\left[1+\sum_{i=1}^{4} v_{i}^{M} a_{s}^{i}\left(M_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\right)\right]$
$M_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}=\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\left(\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\right)\left[1+\sum_{i=1}^{4} t_{i}^{M} a_{s}^{i}\left(\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\right)\right]$
The coefficients $v_{i}^{M}\left(N_{L}\right), u_{i}^{M}\left(N_{L}\right)$ and $t_{i}^{M}\left(N_{L}\right)(1 \geq i \geq 3)$ are known analytically (and numerically). How to estimate $v_{4}^{M}, t_{4}^{M_{-}}$ ???
Effective charges motivated approach (Kataev-Starshnko (94-95)):
using $f_{1}^{E}=t_{1}^{M}, f_{2}^{E}\left(N_{L}\right)=t_{2}^{M}\left(N_{L}\right)+e_{2}\left(N_{L}\right), \beta_{0}\left(N_{L}\right), \beta_{1}\left(N_{L}\right)$
estimate $f_{3}^{e s t}\left(N_{L}\right)$ for fixed $N_{L}=3,4,5$, compare with
$f_{3}\left(N_{L}\right)=t_{3}\left(N_{L}\right)+e_{3}\left(N_{L}\right)$. In case agreement is go- go one step further - add $f_{3}^{E}\left(N_{L}\right)$ and $\beta_{2}\left(N_{L}\right)$ and get
$f_{4}^{E}(M)=t_{4}^{e s t}\left(N_{L}\right)+e_{4}\left(N_{L}\right)$ and thus $t_{4}^{e s t}$ and then $v_{4}^{\text {est }}$.

Chetyrkin-Kniehl- Sirlin (97) used this approach for the quantities, which are proportional to running mass. In the case we are interested in they got :

$$
f_{3}^{e s t}\left(N_{L}\right)=f_{2}\left(N_{L}\right)\left(\frac{f_{2}\left(N_{L}\right)}{f_{1}}+\frac{\beta_{1}\left(N_{L}\right)}{\beta_{0}\left(N_{L}\right)}\right)
$$

So: $f_{3}^{e s t}\left(N_{L}=3,4,5\right) \approx\left[\begin{array}{llll}201 & ; & 166 & 133.97\end{array}\right]$. Compare with explicit results: $[\mathbf{1 9 3 . 4 5}, 163.03,133.97]$. For $t$-quark the agreement is fantastic! : -) One step further to $f_{4}^{\text {est }}\left(N_{L}\right)$ :
$f_{4}^{e s t}\left(N_{L}\right)=f_{2}\left(N_{L}\right)\left(3 \frac{f_{3}\left(N_{L}\right)}{f_{1}}-2 \frac{f_{2}^{2}\left(N_{L}\right)}{f_{1}^{2}}-\frac{f_{2} \beta_{1}\left(N_{L}\right)}{2 f_{1} \beta_{0}\left(N_{L}\right)}+\frac{\beta_{2}\left(N_{L}\right)}{\beta_{0}\left(N_{L}\right)}\right)$

Results: $f_{4}^{e s t}\left(N_{L}=3,4,5\right) \approx[\mathbf{2 5 0 2}, \mathbf{1 9 4 3}, 1434]$ and
$t_{4}^{e s t}\left(N_{L}\right)=f_{4}^{e s t}\left(N_{L}\right)-e_{4}\left(N_{L}\right) \approx\left[\begin{array}{lll}1264 & , 976 & , 704\end{array}\right]$
Lower order coefficients:
$f_{3}^{e x a c t}\left(N_{L}=3,4,5\right) \approx[\mathbf{1 9 3}, \mathbf{1 6 3}, \mathbf{1 3 4}]$ and
$t_{3}\left(N_{L}=3,4,5\right)=f_{3}^{\text {exact }}\left(N_{L}\right)-e_{3}\left(N_{L}\right) \approx\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathbf{1 1 6} & , & \mathbf{9 4}\end{array}, \mathbf{7 4}\right]$
$f_{2}\left(N_{L}=3,4,5\right) \approx[\mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 3}]$ and
$t_{2}\left(N_{L}=3,4,5\right)=f_{2}\left(N_{L}\right)-e_{2}\left(N_{L}\right) \approx\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathbf{1 0} & , & \mathbf{9}\end{array}, \mathbf{8}\right]$
The effects of analytical continuation, contained in the the values of $e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ numerically are important. Obviously $f_{i}^{E}$ are growing fast! Their ratio $f_{4} / f_{3}$ should be compared with IR renormalon predictions. (Indeed, $t_{i}$ are defined for the Minkowskian-type PT series ).

Next step: the comparison with the values of $v_{i}$ in
$M_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}=\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\left[1+\sum_{i=1}^{4} v_{i} a_{s}^{i}\left(M_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\right)\right]$
The coefficients $v_{i}$ can be calculated using their relations with $t_{i}^{M}$ and $\beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}$-terms of RG-functions
$M_{c} \approx \bar{m}_{c}\left(M_{c}\right)\left[1+\frac{4}{3} a_{s}\left(M_{c}\right)+13 a_{s}^{2}\left(M_{c}\right)+156 a_{s}^{3}\left(M_{c}\right)+1836 a_{s}^{4}\left(M_{c}\right)\right]$
$M_{b} \approx \bar{m}_{b}\left(M_{b}\right)\left[1+\frac{4}{3} a_{s}\left(M_{b}\right)+12 a_{s}^{2}\left(M_{b}\right)+131 a_{s}^{3}\left(M_{b}\right)+1450 a_{s}^{4}\left(M_{b}\right)\right]$
$M_{t} \approx \bar{m}_{t}\left(M_{t}\right)\left[1+\frac{4}{3} a_{s}\left(M_{t}\right)+11 a_{s}^{2}\left(M_{t}\right)+107 a_{s}^{3}\left(M_{t}\right)+1086 a_{s}^{4}\left(M_{t}\right)\right]$
In these series coefficients are growing up similar to those in the case. $M_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}=\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\left(\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\right)\left[1+\sum_{i=1}^{4} t_{i}^{M} a_{s}^{i}\left(\bar{m}_{\left(N_{L}+1\right)}\right)\right]$

Part 3. Structure of perturbative expansions for the D-function and Bjorken sum rule at $\alpha_{s}^{4}$ : Broadhurst-Kataev (93) :
generalization of Crewther relation $D^{N S} K_{B j p}=1$
$D^{N S}\left(Q^{2}\right) K_{B j p}\left(Q^{2}\right)=$
$1+\frac{\beta^{(2)}\left(a_{s}\right)}{a_{s}}\left[S_{1} C_{F} a_{s}+\left(S_{2} T_{f} N_{f}+S_{3} C_{A}+S_{4} C_{F}\right) a_{s}^{2}+O\left(a_{s}^{3}\right)\right]$,
$S_{1}=-\frac{21}{8}+3 \zeta_{3}, S_{2}=\frac{163}{24}-\frac{19}{3} \zeta_{3}, S_{3}=-\frac{629}{32}+\frac{221}{12} \zeta_{3}$
$S_{4}=\frac{397}{96}+\frac{17}{2} \zeta_{3}-15 \zeta_{5}$
Crewther (97) - proof that $\beta$-function is factorized indeed in all orders (in $x$-space). The consideration in $p$-space - Gabadadze,
Kataev (95); Essential point in both considerations- study of OPE application to AVV triangle diagram. Attempt to use generalized Crewther in phenomenolgy-Brodsky, Gabadadze, Kataev, Lu (96).
Calculations of $\alpha_{s}^{4}$ may add new insight to study of Crewther relation.
However, even beffore these studies we (KM) are proposing NEW extended Crewther-type relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{N S} \cdot K & =1+\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{\beta\left(a_{s}\right)}{a_{s}}\right)^{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}\left(a_{s}\right)  \tag{1}\\
, \mathcal{P}_{1}\left(a_{s}\right) & =a_{s} 3 C_{F}\left\{\left(\frac{7}{2}-4 \zeta_{3}\right)+a_{s}\left[\left(\frac{43}{9}-\frac{16}{3} \zeta_{3}\right) C_{A}-\left(\frac{397}{18}+\frac{136}{3} \zeta_{3}-80 \zeta_{5}\right) C_{F}\right]+O\left(a_{s}^{3}\right)\right\} \\
\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(a_{s}\right) & =a_{s} 3 C_{F}\left(\frac{163}{6}-\frac{76}{3} \zeta_{3}\right)+O\left(a_{s}^{2}\right)  \tag{2}\\
\mathcal{P}_{3}\left(a_{s}\right) & =0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

At $\alpha_{s}^{4}$ we expect:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{P}_{1}^{(3)}\left(a_{s}\right)=a_{s}^{3} C_{F}\left[C_{F}^{2} \mathrm{as}_{1}+C_{F} C_{A} \mathrm{as}_{2}+C_{A}^{2} \mathrm{as}_{3}\right]  \tag{4}\\
& \mathcal{P}_{2}^{(2)}\left(a_{s}\right)=a_{s}^{2} C_{F}\left[C_{F} \mathrm{as}_{4}+C_{A} \mathrm{as}_{5}\right]  \tag{5}\\
& \mathcal{P}_{3}^{(1)}\left(a_{s}\right)=a_{s} C_{F} \mathrm{as}_{6} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

$a s_{i}$ may be fixed from $\mathrm{BChK} \alpha_{s}^{4}$ with Casimir's. Waiting the Talk.

## CONCLUSION

It is the pleasure to participate at RADCOR-2009 and to discuss the NEW results, closely related to the talk at RADCOR-1994-
15 Years After- still not 20 ! From Conclusion of this talk:
"QCD is in good condition. However a lot of problems are still
waiting for a solution" Among 9 mentioned were 3, discussed today:

- More rigorous and precise determinations of the values of light and heavy quark masses
- The breakthrough in the understanding of theoretical structure of perturbative series in QCD and gauge models
- Future more detailed studies of the Crewther relation and its different generalization might give us the opportunity of comparing theoretical results for the DIS SR's with the ones for the annihilation process on the new level
QCD still provide new intriguing results! Waiting for the Talks.

