ROOT's Runtime C++ Modules

Vassil Vassilev, Raphael Isemann

Status Quo

- 2016, 1 FTE (Vassil): ROOT compiles and passes roottest when compiled with -Dcxxmodules=On
 - Significant amount of work put into infrastructure, outreach, setting up the right discussion forums to advance the C++ modules feature in clang
- 2017, 1.5 FTE (1.0 Raphael, 0.5 Vassil): ROOT compiles and almost passes (50 failing out of 1650 tests) with -Druntime_cxxmodules=On. Big thanks for the great work done by Raphael!

Future Directions

- Polish the existing support for runtime C++ modules;
 - Optimize the redundant deserializations in both ROOT and LLVM
 - Rethink rootmap-related code in terms of cxxmodules
 - Make clean non-cling-dependent modules (see <u>RE-0003</u>).

Future Directions

- Keep various modules-related nightly builds ensuring correctness and tracking regressions in ROOT but also LLVM;
- Continuous performance monitoring (mainly memory and execution speed);
- Define a path forward for experiments to adopt that feature (preliminary talks with 2 experiments, potentially allocating resources in spring and summer 2018);
- Find potential use-cases of the feature outside the scope of dictionaries, such as package management based on modules infrastructure (see slide "Going Beyond Dictionaries");
- Provide experiments migration support;
- Work on a long-term community support plan.

Going Beyond Dictionaries

- C++ Modules can be used to give stronger component encapsulation;
- C++ Modules (and their modulemaps) can give enough information for a lean implementation of a distributed package manager (Oksana, Brian and I are working on a proposal)

FAQ

1. Why it is needed?

It is expected to reduce the memory footprint and increase the performance of code using ROOT. We can improve correctness of library autoloading and simplify the plenitude of callback invocations.

2. Who is expected to use it?

Runtime C++ Modules a core feature and the target user group is all users of ROOT, including experiment software stacks.

3. Why in 2018; can it wait?

This is a long waited and long advertised feature. Technically, people can wait one more year (they have been waiting for it for maybe 5 years now). The risk is that waiting more might stamp the project as not being technically feasible to implement and revoke funding.

4. Timing

In 2016 the deliverable was a complete build of ROOT -fmodules flags and successfully passing test suite. It included approx. 100% of Vassil's work time (including a lot of scaffolding being set on the ROOT side but also on the LLVM side). We created a forum where regressions could be tracked and fixed in a timely manner (by cxxmodules engineers). In 2017 we are converging towards enabling modules-aware dictionaries in ROOT and we are working on the last ~50 (out of ~1650) failing tests. Many thanks to Raphael who made excellent progress since Feb 2017. The estimate work is approx 1.5FTE (Vassil's work plan shifted a little because of changed employers). You can read more on the technical part work being conducted by Oct 2016 here. In 2018 the expectancy is 1.5FTE.

5. Communicating results

Using the standard forums root-planning meeting combined with informal chats with the parties involved. Perhaps writing a publication for a HEP conference.