#### **Experimental Review**

A glance at a (very) few selected highlights from this week

Dave Charlton (U of Birmingham) LHCP 2018, Bologna

11

#### **Experimental Review**

A glance at a (very) few selected highlights from this week

Dave Charlton (U of Birmingham) LHCP 2018, Bologna

We have been spoilt by so many excellent presentations this week - talks and posters - as well as this exceptional environment for the meeting

With thanks to many people for discussions this week, including but not limited to: Roberto Carlin, Gabriel Facini, Iwona Grabowska-Bold, Beate Heinemann, Phil Ilten, Roman Kogler, Alex Lenz, Andrea Mogini, Giovanni Passaleva, Shahram Rahatlou, Pierre Savard, Bjoern Schenke, Luca Silvestrini, Nick Styles, Dan Tovey, Vincenzo Vagnoni, Iacopo Vivarelli

Any mistakes - and provocations - are mine, not theirs!

#### Themes of the week

Approaching a decade after the start, the LHC is now a mature machine, and the detectors are stable, and very well understood

Very large 13 TeV & ion samples and exquisite detector performance enable:

- Major progress on "our scalar"
- A huge range of detailed measurements from all four experiments which further our understanding of many parts of the Standard Model and challenge state-of-the-art calculations
- Continuing searches:
  - For ATLAS and CMS this LHCP catches the tail of the (2015+)2016-data studies, and only the very first of the 2016+2017 searches
  - For LHCb, we have the Run-1 analyses but Run-2 results to come

# Large samples and exquisite detector performance









Ingenious use of levelling to optimise ATLAS+CMS luminosity delivery

- "Continuous" beam crossing angle reduction to inch up lumi during fill
- Increase squeeze at end of fills (for now)
- All the time using continuous separation levelling for LHCb and ALICE



#### The flexible LHC: ions et al

Run-2 samples for the heavy-ion programme, so far

- √s<sub>NN</sub>=5.02 TeV Pb+Pb 2015 (2018 to come)
- √s<sub>NN</sub>=5.02, 8.16 TeV **p+Pb** 2016
- √s<sub>NN</sub>=5.44 TeV Xe+Xe 2016 (6h)
- √s<sub>pp</sub> =5.02 TeV
- pp data 2015, 2016 and 2017



Plus other special runs (few days / year) mainly for forward physics (elastic scattering, diffraction)

#### **Detector performance**

The stunning performance of the LHC detectors continues to repay the thousands of staff-years and meticulous care put into building them - matched by the ingenuity of old and new analysis techniques



### **Detector performance**

The stunning performance of the LHC detectors continues to repay the thousands of staff-years and meticulous care put into building them - matched by the ingenuity of old and new analysis techniques



## **Detector performance**

The stunning performance of the LHC detectors continues to repay the thousands of staff-years and meticulous care put into building them - matched by the ingenuity of old and new analysis techniques



# e bosone di Higgs:

#### dai protagonisti, il racconto di due grandi scoperte

#### **Progress with our scalar**





#### Fabiola Gianotti

Direttore Generale del CERN

ore 21.00, Aula Magna di Santa Lucia Via Castiglione 36, Bologna

#### We have been in the news...

#### World » U.S. | Africa | Americas | Asia | China | Europe | Middle East | Opinion

#### International Edition +

# To grasp the latest physics breakthrough, think of sumo wrestlers and barracudas

#### By Don Lincoln

O Updated 2119 GMT (0519 HKT) June 4, 2018



Source: CN

CERN: what they are looking for next 02:53



D Charlton / Birmingham - LHCP 2018

(CNN) — Scientific understanding proceeds by fits and starts, with an occasional detour down a wrong path. However, today the world's physicists have a real advance to celebrate. They have observed the most massive known fundamental subatomic particle directly interacting with an energy field that gives mass to the building blocks of the universe.



This has never been done before and it gives increased insight into a phenomenon that was only discovered just a few short years ago. This energy field is important because, without it, atoms couldn't exist.

The discovery was announced at the Large Hadron Collider Physics (LHCP) 2018 conference in Bologna. Two independent experiments (ATLAS and CMS) searched for this phenomenon and both found clear evidence that it occurs. The two experiments have made their results available to the public and the scientific community, with the ATLAS paper being

Don Lincoln

#### submitted and the CMS paper being published.

Our understanding of the origins of the mass of fundamental (e.g. containing no structure within them) subatomic particles is incomplete. In 1964, British physicist Peter Higgs and Belgian physicist Francois Englert independently developed ideas leading to what we now call the Higgs field, an energy field that permeates the universe and gives fundamental subatomic particles their mass. Mass is related to weight, and also to why it's hard to move heavy objects in outer space, where there is no weight. Without this field, these particles would have no mass at all.

#### https://edition.cnn.com/2018/06/04/opinions/physics-discovery-lincoln/index.html

# ttH coupling

While we were confident of the ttH vertex from the production cross-section, this is model-dependent, and the direct observation was missing



# ttH observation



## ttH observation



### ttH observation



### H decays to ττ

Again complex analyses, systematics have to be under excellent control

A striking success of the Run-1 ATLAS+CMS combination: Observation at 5.5σ (5.0 exp)

Now complemented by individual CMS and ATLAS 50's (Run-1+Run-2 / each 36 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

- CMS 5.9σ (5.9σ)
- ATLAS 6.4σ (5.4σ) new

Time to move on to measurements!



#### H decays to bb

Results with 2016 data mainly released last year
Difficult analyses with many tough systematic errors, e.g. (W/Z)+HF backgrounds, b-tagging ...
Run-1+Run-2 signal strengths:

 $\mu_{V\!H}^{\rm CMS} = 1.06^{+0.31}_{-0.29} \qquad \mu_{V\!H}^{\rm ATLAS} = 0.90^{+0.28}_{-0.26}$ 

Both correspond to evidence at  $3.6-3.8\sigma$ 





New this week: ATLAS update on  $H\rightarrow$ bb from vector-boson fusion in 13 TeV data CERN-EP-2018-140

## Higgs to bosons - entering precision era

Run-2 analyses with 80 fb<sup>-1</sup> for the first time – higher precision is coming!



#### H differential cross-sections

Measurements of fiducial and differential cross-section distributions made already at Run-1 with low statistics

Much physics in  $p_{_{T,H}}$  , for example





#### Standardised fiducial cross-sections

Simplified template cross-sections (STXS) defined by common effort in LHC Higgs cross-section group

Finer-grained cross-sections ("Stage-1") becoming accessible now...





Using these, and/or individual experimental measurements, EFT fits will allow more detailed SM tests – and perhaps provide hints of BSM structure

#### H: rare decays, more scalars?





#### A superabundance of measurements



#### Standard Model Production Cross Section Measurements

Status: March 2018



#### **Precision EW mass measurements**



## W + charm



#### Top pair production



#### Single top



t-channel and Wt production measured differentially s-channel still unobserved at LHC - observed at Tevatron Other associated production channels tZq and tqq should be seen soon

# Spectroscopy of $\chi_{h}(3P)$



D Charlton / Birmingham - LHCP 2018



 $m_x \, [\text{MeV}/c^2]$ 

LHCB-PAPER-2018-027

D Charlton / Birmingham - LHCP 2018

#### Heavy hadron lifetimes



LHCb  $\Omega_c$  lifetime measurement much higher than measured in previous experiments



# Measuring CKM $\gamma$ with LHCb

Precision measurement of CKM angle  $\gamma$  a key LHCb goal, using B $\rightarrow$ DK decays, and requiring excellent understanding of D decay kinematics



#### Measuring CKM $\gamma$ with LHCb

Status since last combination [3]

Precision measurement of CKM angle  $\gamma$  a key LHCb goal, using B→DK decays, and requiring excellent understanding of D decay kinematics

Ref. Dataset

#### Many LHCb measurements, 3 new:

D decay

B decay

	$B^+ \rightarrow DK^+$	$D \rightarrow h^+ h^-$	GLW	[14]	Run 1 & $2$	Minor update
	$B^+ \to DK^+$	$D \to h^+ h^-$	ADS	[15]	$\operatorname{Run} 1$	As before
	$B^+ \to DK^+$	$D \to h^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\mathrm{GLW}/\mathrm{ADS}$	[15]	Run 1	As before
	$B^+ \to DK^+$	$D \to h^+ h^- \pi^0$	$\mathrm{GLW}/\mathrm{ADS}$	[16]	Run 1	As before
	$B^+ \to DK^+$	$D \to K^0_{\rm s} h^+ h^-$	GGSZ	[17]	Run 1	As before
	$B^+ \to D K^+$	$D \to K^0_{\rm s} h^+ h^-$	GGSZ	[18]	$\operatorname{Run}2$	New
	$B^+ \to DK^+$	$D \to K^0_{\rm s} K^+ \pi^-$	GLS	[19]	Run 1	As before
	$B^+ \to D^* K^+$	$D \to h^+ h^-$	GLW	[14]	$\operatorname{Run} 1 \ \& \ 2$	Minor update
	$B^+ \to D K^{*+}$	$D \to h^+ h^-$	$\mathrm{GLW}/\mathrm{ADS}$	[20]	Run 1 & 2	Updated results
	$B^+ \to D K^{*+}$	$D \to h^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\mathrm{GLW}/\mathrm{ADS}$	[20]	$\mathrm{Run} \ 1 \ \& \ 2$	New
	$B^+ \to D K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$D \to h^+ h^-$	$\mathrm{GLW}/\mathrm{ADS}$	[21]	Run 1	As before
	$B^0 \to D K^{*0}$	$D \to K^+ \pi^-$	ADS	[22]	Run 1	As before
	$B^0\!\to DK^+\pi^-$	$D \to h^+ h^-$	$\operatorname{GLW-Dalitz}$	[23]	Run 1	As before
	$B^0 \to DK^{*0}$	$D\to K^0_{\rm S}\pi^+\pi^-$	GGSZ	[24]	Run 1	As before
	$B^0_s \to D^\mp_s K^\pm$	$D_s^+\!\to h^+h^-\pi^+$	TD	[25]	Run 1	Updated results
	$B^0\!\to D^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}$	$D^+\!\to K^+\pi^-\pi^+$	TD	[26]	Run 1	New
	† Run 1 corresponde	to on internated lung	inesity of 2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	talson	at contro of n	and energies of 7 an

Method

<sup>†</sup> Run 1 corresponds to an integrated luminosity of  $3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  taken at centre-of-mass energies of 7 and 8 TeV. Run 2 corresponds to an integrated luminosity of  $2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  taken at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV.



LHCb combination

 $\gamma = (74.0\,{}^{+5.0}_{-5.8})^\circ$ 

Most precise single-experiment average Precision now close to that on  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ 

 $B_{\rm s}^0$  decays

 $B^0$  decays

 $B^+$  decays

# Heavy ions: collectivity and system size

Collective behaviour in large systems (e.g. central Pb+Pb) dominated by hydrodynamic flow, well established

Many results available on correlations in different systems (pp, pPb, XeXe, PbPb), different probe particles  $\rightarrow$  improve understanding of collective dynamics in smaller systems





#### Heavy ions: XeXe "microsample"



#### Heavy ions: hard probes [qn] Guzey et al. Fwd J/ψ LHCb Preliminary pPb (174 nb<sup>-1</sup>, √s<sub>NN</sub> = 8.16 TeV) st 35 30 30 -LTA W production ➡ Pb-Pb √s<sub>NN</sub>=5 TeV e<sup>±</sup>/μ<sup>±</sup> + ≥4j (≥2b) -LTA\_S dơ/dy may be 3.5 Data -EPS09 sensitive to tt correct Goncalves et al 25 tī wrona nuclear gluon -IP-SAT 2.5background •• IIM pdf at low-x 20<sup>–</sup> Cepila et al. 15 -GG-hs GS-hs 10 0.5 ■ pp (same each panel) 5 0 2 3 Pb+Pb $y_{J/\psi}$ 200 250 100 150 300 350 400 m<sub>top</sub> [GeV] √s<sub>NN</sub> = 8.16 TeV 1.8 ATLAS Preliminary 50-80% 1/N<sub>y</sub>)(dN/dx<sub>Jy</sub> pPb 173.4 nb<sup>-1</sup> Observation of tt 1.6 1.4 production in pPb collisions Peripheral CMS $\rightarrow \mu^{+}$ + V. Preliminary 1.2 p\_ > 25 GeV/c PRL 119 (2017) 242001 1.3 ${}^{MO}_{\mu} {}^{U}_{\mu} {}^{U}_{$ 0.6 0.4 $p_{\tau}^{\gamma} = 79.6-100 \text{ GeV}$ 0-10% Central Data CT14 = free nucleon PDF Jet quenching probed with CT14+EPPS16 photon+jet events 0.6 CT14+nCTEQ15 0.5 0.5 1.5 $\eta^{\mu}$ 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 **х**<sub>Јү</sub> ATLAS-CONF-2018-009 W production sensitive to nuclear

effects on nucleon PDFs

D Charlton / Birmingham - LHCP 2018

#### **Searches continue**

alarse .



#### Searching fast...



41.4 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)

## Searching fast...



### Searching fast...



- Z'→ee (CMS)
- W' $\rightarrow$   $\ell$ v (ATLAS)
- VV→JJ resonances (ATLAS)
- Dijet resonances with a { (ATLAS)
- Type-III seesaw heavy & (ATLAS)
- $L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}$  light boson in 4µ final state (CMS)



#### W'



#### **Probing new regions**

LHCb search for dark photons decaying to dimuons - either prompt or displaced

Analysis enabled by the data reduction possible with offline-quality reconstruction at trigger

Results use 2016 data big improvements expected with 2017

First constraints on dark photons using displaced vertex signature



#### Searching widely and deeply



#### Tensions over flavour

Recent excitement about tensions in leptonflavour universality (LFU) in B decays

Two different cases

- Tau's in B decays (tree-level)
  - "Tensions" mainly from B factories
  - LHCb results so far consistent with both B factories and SM
  - Hadronic (3-prong) tau decay channel agrees with SM at  $1\sigma$
- µµ:ee flavour ratios in FCNC loop decays
  - Tensions at the  $2.x \sigma$  level

Combined fits give striking "NP significances" - *caveat emptor* with a posteriori fits to complex analyses

Such combined analyses provide interesting areas to look while new LHCb/Bfactory results are gestating



As of yet, modest input here from LHC(b) Sensitive to common assumptions?

#### Tensions over flavour

#### Recent excitement about tensions in leptonflavour universality (LFU) in B decays

#### Two different cases

- Tau's in B decays (tree-level)
  - "Tensions" mainly from B factories
  - LHCb results so far consistent with both B factories and SM
  - Hadronic (3-prong) tau decay channel agrees with SM at 1σ
- µµ:ee flavour ratios in FCNC loop decays
  - Tensions at the 2.2-2.6  $\sigma$  level

Combined fits give striking "NP significances" - *caveat emptor* with a posteriori TH fits to complex analyses

Such combined analyses provide interesting areas to look while new LHCb/Bfactory results are gestating ( $\rightarrow$ Run-2 data!)



#### One example... $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}^0) \setminus \mathcal{K}$



### **High-energy consequences?**



#### Searching widely and deeply

#### ATLAS SUSY Searches\* - 95% CL Lower Limits

December 2017

	Model	$e, \mu, \tau, \gamma$	Jets	$E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	∫ <i>L dt</i> [fb	<sup>-1</sup> ] Mass limit	$\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$ $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$	Reference
Inclusive Searches	$ \begin{array}{l} \bar{q}\bar{q}, \; \bar{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{q}\bar{q}, \; \bar{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \; (\text{compressed}) \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} (\ell \tilde{x}) \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} (\ell \tilde{x}) \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q q (\ell \tilde{x}) \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q W Z \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{y} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{y} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g}\bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{y} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{y} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g}\bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{y} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{y} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{y} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{\chi} \bar{\chi} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{\chi} \bar{\chi} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}, \; \bar{\chi} \bar{\chi} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{g}\bar{\chi} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{\chi} \bar{\chi} \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{\chi} \bar{\chi} \bar{\chi} \tilde{\chi} \tilde{\chi} \tilde{\chi} \tilde{\chi} \tilde{\chi} \tilde{\chi} \tilde{\chi} \tilde$	0 mono-jet 0 ee,μμ 3 e,μ 0 1-2 τ + 0-1 ℓ 2 γ γ 0	2-6 jets 1-3 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 2 jets 4 jets 7-11 jets 0-2 jets - 2 jets mono-jet	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	36.1 36.1 36.1 14.7 36.1 36.1 36.1 3.2 36.1 36.1 20.3	\$\vec{q}\$       \$\vec{q}\$       \$\vec{k}\$       \$\vec{k}\$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	1712.02332 1711.03301 1712.02332 1712.02332 1611.05791 1706.03731 1708.02794 1607.05979 ATLAS-CONF-2017-080 ATLAS-CONF-2017-080 1502.01518
s <sup>rd</sup> gen. <u>ē</u> med.	$ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{g}\tilde{g},  \tilde{g} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g}\tilde{g},  \tilde{g} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \end{array} $	0 0-1 <i>e</i> ,μ	3 b 3 b	Yes Yes	36.1 36.1	ē ē	1.92 TeV         m( $\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ <600 GeV           1.97 TeV         m( $\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ <200 GeV	1711.01901 1711.01901
3 <sup>rd</sup> gen. squarks a direct production	$ \begin{split} \bar{b}_{1}\bar{b}_{1}, \bar{b}_{1} \to b \bar{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{b}_{1}\bar{b}_{1}, \bar{b}_{1} \to b \bar{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{t}_{1}\bar{b}_{1}, \bar{b}_{1} \to b \bar{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{t}_{1}\bar{t}_{1}, \bar{t}_{1} \to b \bar{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{t}_{1}\bar{t}_{1}, \bar{t}_{1} \to b \bar{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{t}_{1}\bar{t}_{1}, \bar{t}_{1} \to b \bar{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{t}_{1}\bar{t}_{1} (n tatural GMSB) \\ \bar{t}_{2}\bar{t}_{2}, \bar{t}_{2} \to \bar{t}_{1} + Z \\ \bar{t}_{2}\bar{t}_{2}, \bar{t}_{2} \to \bar{t}_{1} + h \end{split} $	$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 2 \ e, \mu \ (SS) \\ 0-2 \ e, \mu \\ 0-2 \ e, \mu \end{matrix} \\ 0 \\ 2 \ e, \mu \ (Z) \\ 3 \ e, \mu \ (Z) \\ 1-2 \ e, \mu \end{matrix}$	2 b 1 b 1-2 b 0-2 jets/1-2 mono-jet 1 b 1 b 4 b	Yes Yes 4 Yes 2 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	36.1 36.1 .7/13.3 0.3/36.1 36.1 20.3 36.1 36.1 36.1	b1         950 GeV           b1         275-700 GeV           i1         117-170 GeV         200-720 GeV           i1         90-198 GeV         0.195-1.0 TeV           i1         90-430 GeV         0.195-1.0 TeV           i1         90-430 GeV         0.195-1.0 TeV           i2         290-790 GeV         290-790 GeV           i2         320-880 GeV         320-880 GeV	$\begin{split} m(\tilde{k}_1^0){<}420 \ \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_1^0){<}200 \ \text{GeV}, m(\tilde{k}_1^+){=}\ m(\tilde{k}_1^0){+}100 \ \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}\ 2m(\tilde{k}_1^0), m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}55 \ \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}\ 15 \ \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}\ 5 \ \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}\ 50 \ \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}\ 0 \ \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}\ 0 \ \text{GeV} \end{split}$	1708.09266 1706.03731 1209.2102, ATLAS-CONF-2016-077 1506.08616, 1709.04183, 1711.11520 1711.03301 1403.5222 1706.03966 1706.03986
EW direct	$ \begin{array}{l} \bar{\ell}_{LR}\bar{\ell}_{LR}, \bar{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{\chi}_{1}^{+} \bar{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \bar{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{\ell} \nu (\ell \bar{\nu}) \\ \bar{\chi}_{1}^{+} \bar{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \bar{\chi}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \bar{\ell}_{L} \nu \ell (\ell \bar{\nu}), \bar{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \bar{\tau} \tau (\nu \bar{\nu}) \\ \bar{\chi}_{1}^{+} \bar{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \bar{\ell}_{L} \nu \ell_{L} \ell (\bar{\nu}\nu), \ell \bar{\nu} \bar{\ell}_{L} \ell (\bar{\nu}\nu) \\ \bar{\chi}_{1}^{+} \bar{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{\chi}_{1}^{+} \bar{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \bar{h} \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{\chi}_{2}^{+} \bar{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \bar{h} \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{\chi}_{2}^{+} \bar{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \bar{h} \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{\chi}_{2}^{0} \bar{\chi}_{2}^{-} \bar{\chi}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \bar{\ell}_{R} \ell \\ GGM (wino NLSP) weak prod., \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \\ GGM (bino NLSP) weak prod., \bar{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \tau \\ 3 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ -3 \ e, \mu \\ e, \mu, \gamma \\ 4 \ e, \mu \\ \bar{G} \ 1 \ e, \mu + \gamma \\ \bar{G} \ 2 \ \gamma \end{array}$	0 0 0-2 jets 0-2 b 0 -	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	36.1 36.1 36.1 36.1 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 36.1	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{split} & m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}0 \\ & m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}0, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(m(\tilde{k}_1^+){+}m(\tilde{k}_1^0)) \\ & m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}0, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(m(\tilde{k}_1^+){+}m(\tilde{k}_1^0)) \\ & m(\tilde{k}_1^+){=}m(\tilde{k}_2^0), m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}0, \tilde{m}(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(m(\tilde{k}_1^+){+}m(\tilde{k}_1^0)) \\ & m(\tilde{k}_1^+){=}m(\tilde{k}_2^0), m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}0, \tilde{\ell} \text{ decoupled} \\ & m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}m(\tilde{k}_2^0), m(\tilde{k}_1^0){=}0, \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}, \tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(m(\tilde{k}_2^0){+}m(\tilde{k}_1^0)) \\ & cr < 1  nm \\ \end{split}$	ATLAS-CONF-2017-039 ATLAS-CONF-2017-039 1708.07875 ATLAS-CONF-2017-039 ATLAS-CONF-2017-039 1501.07110 1405.5086 1507.05493 ATLAS-CONF-2017-080
Long-lived particles	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Direct}\tilde{\chi}_1^{\dagger}\tilde{\chi}_1^{-}\text{prod., long-lived}\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\\ \text{Direct}\tilde{\chi}_1^{\dagger}\tilde{\chi}_1^{-}\text{prod., long-lived}\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\\ \text{Stable, stopped}\tilde{g}\text{R-hadron}\\ \text{Stable}\tilde{g}\text{R-hadron}\\ \text{Metastable}\tilde{g}\text{R-hadron}\\ \text{Metastable}\tilde{g}\text{R-hadron}\\ \text{Metastable}\tilde{g}\text{R-hadron}\\ \tilde{g}\text{R-hadron}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^{0}\\ \text{GMSB, stable}\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\chi}_1^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{c},\tilde{\mu}) + \tau(e,\mu)\\ \text{GMSB,}\tilde{\chi}_1^{0} \rightarrow e v/e \mu v/\mu \mu v \end{array}$	Disapp. trk dE/dx trk 0 trk dE/dx trk displ. vtx $1-2 \mu$ $2 \gamma$ displ. $ee/e\mu/\mu$	1 jet - 1-5 jets - - - - - - - - -	Yes Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes	36.1 18.4 27.9 3.2 3.2 32.8 19.1 20.3 20.3	\$\vec{x}_1^*\$         460 GeV           \$\vec{x}_1^*\$         495 GeV           \$\vec{x}_1^*\$         850 GeV           \$\vec{x}_1^*\$         850 GeV           \$\vec{x}_1^*\$         537 GeV           \$\vec{x}_1^*\$         440 GeV           \$\vec{x}_1^*\$         1.0 TeV	$\begin{array}{c} m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})-m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})\sim 160\ \text{MeV}, \tau(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})=0.2\ \text{ns}\\ m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})-m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})\sim 160\ \text{MeV}, \tau(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})=0.2\ \text{ns}\\ m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})=100\ \text{GeV}, 10\ \mu\text{s}<\tau(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})<100\ \text{s}\\ \hline \textbf{1.57\ TeV} \qquad m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})=100\ \text{GeV}, \tau>10\ \text{ns}\\ \hline \textbf{2.37\ TeV} \qquad \tau(\tilde{x})=0.17\ \text{ns}, m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})=100\ \text{GeV}\\ 10\ \text{ctan}\betac50\\ 1\ \text{cta}(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})<3\ \text{ns}, \text{SPS8\ model}\\ 7\ <\tau(\tilde{x}_{1}^{n})<740\ \text{nm}, m(\tilde{x})=1.3\ \text{TeV}\\ \end{array}$	1712.02118 1506.05332 1310.6584 1606.05129 1604.04520 1710.04901 1411.6795 1409.5542 1504.05162
RPV	$ \begin{array}{l} LFV \ pp \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_\tau + X, \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_\tau \rightarrow e\mu/e\tau/\mu\tau \\ Bilinear \ RPV \ CMSSM \\ \widetilde{x}_1^+ \widetilde{x}_1^-, \widetilde{x}_1^+ \rightarrow W \widetilde{x}_1^0, \widetilde{x}_1^0 \rightarrow eev, e\mu v, \mu\mu v \\ \widetilde{x}_1^+ \widetilde{x}_1^-, \widetilde{x}_1^+ \rightarrow W \widetilde{x}_1^0, \widetilde{x}_1^0 \rightarrow \tau\tau v_e, e\tau v_\tau \\ \widetilde{g} \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{g} \rightarrow qq \widetilde{x}_1^0, \widetilde{x}_1^0 \rightarrow qqq \\ \widetilde{g} \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{g} \rightarrow t\widetilde{x}_1^0, \widetilde{x}_1^0 \rightarrow qqq \\ \widetilde{g} \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{g} \rightarrow t\widetilde{r}_1, \widetilde{r}_1 \rightarrow bs \\ \widetilde{r}_1 \widetilde{r}_1, \widetilde{r}_1 \rightarrow bs \\ \widetilde{r}_1 \widetilde{r}_1, \widetilde{r}_1 \rightarrow b\ell \end{array} $	$e\mu, e\tau, \mu\tau$ 2 e, $\mu$ (SS) 4 e, $\mu$ 3 e, $\mu$ + $\tau$ 0 4- 1 e, $\mu$ 8 1 e, $\mu$ 8 0 2 e, $\mu$		- Yes Yes ets - b - b -	3.2 20.3 13.3 20.3 36.1 36.1 36.1 36.7 36.7	\$\vec{v}_r\$           \$\vec{v}_i\$           \$\vec{x}_1^+\$           \$\vec{x}_1^+\$           \$\vec{v}_i\$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1607.08079 1404.2500 ATLAS-CONF-2016-075 1405.5086 SUSY-2016-22 1704.08493 1704.08493 1710.07171 1710.05544
Other	Scalar charm, $\tilde{c} \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	2 c	Yes	20.3	č 510 GeV	m(𝑋˜1)<200 GeV	1501.01325
*Only a phen	a selection of the available mas omena is shown. Many of the li	s limits on r mits are ba	new state sed on	s or	1	0 <sup>-1</sup> 1	Mass scale [TeV]	

phenomena is shown. Many of the limits are based on simplified models, c.f. refs. for the assumptions made.

L

ATLAS Preliminary

 $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8, 13 \text{ TeV}$ 

#### More to explore: A call to arms!

- To start, 13 TeV searches had tremendous discovery potential
- After searching in 13 TeV, we need to <u>keep going</u>
  - to <u>understand the detector</u> (this talk) and improve performance (next talk)
  - cover the full parameter space
  - expand the signatures studied in a given final state
  - follow-up on interesting excesses from other experimental results
  - cover all possible final states even without direct theory motivation.

Gabriel Facini

#### The future is bright...



### Much work now devoted to upgrades...



## Much work now devoted to upgrades...



Be gentle when asking when the latest updated results will come out, please...

## **HL-LHC** approaches

This morning's talks provided excellent overviews of the experimental upgrade programmes ... and Freddy discussed the magnet work on Monday



Insertion of coil package inside mechanical structure of the first IT quad prototypes (4.2 m long) in LBNL-USA

11T dipole (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn): long prototype under assembly at CERN



### By the time of LHCP 2019...

...we will all be going back to rebuild and refurbish our accelerators and detectors...

- LHC preparing for 14 TeV
- The injector upgrade/refitting (LIU) will be well underway
- The new shafts for HL-LHC will be going down...
- ALICE and LHCb will be taking out and replacing large parts of their detectors
- ATLAS and CMS will be taking out and replacing small(er) parts of their detectors

Will we start to see the first "full Run-2" results..? 🙂





#### In conclusion

Approaching a decade after the start, the LHC is now a mature machine, and the detectors are stable, and very well understood

- Major progress on "our" scalar: ttH is there at tree-level, and  $y_t \approx 1$ 

Measurement precision pushes calculations hard in many areas and we see huge progress in higher-order calculations

Few (if any) signs yet of the solutions to our bigger problems (DM, hierarchy, naturalness ...), but there are many places to look, and flavour tensions to keep us excited

Only one percent of the full LHC data sample analysed!

Completion of Run-2, upgrades and then much more data beyond: LHCPhysics will be the place to be for many years to come!

