Vertexing and flavour tagging using Conformal tracking

Ignacio Garcia CLIC detector Software Meeting 21/11/2017



Software and samples

- 1. ILCSoft 09-11-2017
- 2. CLIC_03_v13
- 3. Dijet samples at 500 GeV ($20^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$) -> at 10° performance severely degraded
 - e+e- -> bb (80.000 events)
 - e+e--> cc (80.000 events)
 - e+e--> qq (q=uds) (80.000 events)

4. Conformal tracking version included since the ILCSoft released on 20-09-2017

CLICdet vs CLIC_SiD

- CLIC_SiD: e+e- → qqvv events with a mean jet energy of 130 GeV
- Generally comparable performance to CLIC_SiD with the realistic Conformal Tracking
- Except for b-eff with light jets background. Significant degradation below b-ef gg
- The impact of gg-> had background seems low CLICdet, at least visuall



mis-tag rate

Flavour tagging - CT - Background



- b-tagging: γγ->hadrons increases the miss. efficiency up to a 30% for light flavour background
- c-tagging: γγ->hadrons has a lower impact here, the maximum variation in the miss. efficiency is ~10%

Flavour tagging - CT vs TT



- b-tagging: up to a 60-80% rise of the miss. efficiency for CT for b-eff ~0.5
- c-tagging: a fairly constant 30% difference for beauty background.
 Equal performance for ceff =>0.7

Secondary Vertex Position - XY Plane

e+e- -> bb at 500GeV [20°,30°,...,90°] w/o background





Secondary Vertex Position - XY Plane

e+e- -> cc at 500GeV [20°,30°,...,90°] w/o background



7

Secondary Vertex Position - XY Plane

e+e- -> qq at 500GeV [20°,30°,...,90°] w/o background





Number of SV vs Radius



Sentries 10^4 Solution 10^3

10²

10



Number of SV vs Radius



SV entries



10