### **Search for**  $\overline{B} \to 3\mu\nu$  **at LHCb**

#### **Svende Braun on behalf of the LHCb collaboration**

Heidelberg University, Physikalisches Institut

### **CKM 2018, Heidelberg September 17-21, 2018**





<span id="page-0-0"></span>

### **Motivation**

- fully **leptonic decays of** *B* <sup>+</sup> **mesons** are naturally rare
- branching fractions is proportional to the coupling strength  $|V_{ub}|^2$

#### $B^+\to\tau^+\nu_\tau$ :



has precise SM predictions due to absence of hadrons in final state :

$$
\mathcal{B}(B^+\to \tau^+\nu_{\tau})=\frac{G_F^2 m_B m_{\tau^+}^2}{8\pi}\left[1-\frac{m_{\tau^+}^2}{m_B^2}\right]^2 t_B^2 |V_{ub}|^2 \tau_{B^+}
$$

• decay rate is helicity suppressed by factor:  $(\frac{m_{\tau}}{m_{B}})^2$  $\rightarrow$  highly sensitive to BSM particles, such as charged Higgs in 2HDM

### Leptonic B decays

# $B^+\to\tau^+\nu_\tau$

- most precise measurements done by Belle and BaBar: [Phys. Rev. D92 \(2015\) 051102,](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.051102) [Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 \(2013\) 131801,](https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.131801) [Phys. Rev. D88 \(2013\)](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.031102) [031102\(R\),](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.031102) [Phys. Rev. D81 \(2010\) 051101\(R\)](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.051101)
- are consistent with SM predictions of B*SM* = ((144 ± 31)*x*10−<sup>6</sup> (HFLAV December 2017)
- $B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau$  at LHCb ?
	- $\tau$  reconstruction very challenging: short lifetime and further decay into neutrinos
	- B vertex reconstruction from only one track highly challenging in busy LHC environment

## Leptonic B decays

$$
B^+\to\mu^+\nu_\mu
$$

- $B^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu$  even further supressed by factor:  $(\frac{m_\mu}{m_B})^2$
- measurements performed by Belle and Babar: [Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 031801 \(2018\),](https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.031801) [Phys. Rev.](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.051101) [D81 \(2010\) 051101\(R\)](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.051101)
- also consistent with SM predictions of  $B_{SM} = (3.80 \pm 0.31)x10^{-7}$

# $B^+ \to \gamma \mu^+ \nu_\mu$

- adding a photon lifts the strong suppression of the  $B^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$  mode
- SM branching fraction of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$  expected
- measurements performed by Belle: [Phys. Rev. D 91, 112009 \(2015\)](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.112009) gives  $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \gamma \mu^+ \nu_\mu) < 3.4 \times 10^{-6}$
- $B^+ \to \gamma \mu^+ \nu_\mu$  at LHCb ?
	- $\cdot$   $\mu$  reconstruction very good
	- but B vertex not further constrained from  $\gamma \rightarrow$  hard to reconstruct neutrals

# $B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$

- here photon decays into pair of muons
- very good muon reconstruction
- B vertex well defined from three muon tracks
- gets contributions from  $B^+\to (\gamma*\to\mu^+\mu^-)\mu^+\nu_\mu$  and  $B^+\to (\textit{V}\to\mu^+\mu^-)\mu^+\nu_\mu,$ where V is a vector meson decaying into two muons  $(\rho, \omega)$



recent theoretical calculation based on vector meson dominance predicts  ${\cal B}(B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}) \approx$  1.3x10 $^{-7}$  [\[Phys. Atom. Nucl. 81 \(2018\) 34\]](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS1063778818030092)

 $\rightarrow$  decay has been never observed before

# Analysis Strategy for  $B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^-$

#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

- **dataset:** full Run I (2011+2012) and 2016  $\rightarrow$  4.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> pp data
- performed blinded analysis
- normalize branching fraction to  $B^+ \to \mathrm{J}/\psi (\to \mu^+ \mu^-) K^+$
- reconstruct B meson using **corrected mass** variable:

 $m_{B_{corr}} = \sqrt{m_{3\mu}^2 + p_T^{'2}} + p_T'$ 

with  $m_{3\mu}$  is invariant mass of 3 muons,

 $p_T^{\prime}$  missing momentum transverse to flight direction of B candidate



### **Selection**

#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

#### Topology of decay



- select 3 good quality muon tracks originating from the same vertex
- vertex needs to be displaced from primary vertex (PV)
- good trimuon vertex
- $B^+$  direction points in the same direction as the line from PV to SV
- require that at most one muon station hit is allowed to be shared between the muon candidates

### Selection

#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

### Choice of  $q^2$  region

- Two combinations of invariant mass squared are possible with two opposite sign muons
- restrict search into region with  $\min(q(\mu^+,\mu^-)) < 960 \, \text{MeV}/c^2$
- reduces combinatorial background
- expected signal yield outside of region is minimal
- remove backgrounds from  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$  decays using mass vetos

# MC simulation  $B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$  decay

- nominal model: photon pole for one of the muon pair and flat mass distribution for third muon and neutrino
- phase space model for systematic checks
- vector meson dominance (VMD) model as proposed in [\[Phys. Atom. Nucl. 81 \(2018\) 34\]](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS1063778818030092)

## Main Backgrounds

#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

- *combinatorial background:* random combinations of 3 muons passing the selection  $\rightarrow$  reduce it using a region around B mass of [4000-7000  $\rm{MeV\!/}c^2$  ]  $\rightarrow$  train **dedicated BDT** to remove it: rejects 99% of combinatorics while 40% efficient on signal
- *Partially reconstructed background:* 3 muons are correctly identified but additional particles not reconstructed e.g.  $B^+\to D^0(K^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)\mu^+\nu X$  $\rightarrow$  controlled using simulation
- *other exclusive backgrounds:*
	- $B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$  low BF and low misID probability for pion  $\to$  negligible
	- $B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$  corrected mass far away from signal region  $\to$  negligible

#### $K, \pi$  or  $p$  misidentified as muon e.g. cascade decays  $B \to D(\to K \mu \nu) \mu \nu$

MisID background

- estimated from **control sample** in data  $\mu\mu hX$ :
	- determine different misID rates by splitting into separate 3 PID regions
	- calculate cross feed between them
- probability of K and  $\pi$  passing  $\mu$ -PID requirements from  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi (\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) K^{*0} (\rightarrow K^+ \pi^-)$  calibration sample
- misID bkg from protons can be neglected

#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation



### $\rightarrow$  train **dedicated BDT** to remove it: rejects 94% of misID while 40% efficient on signal

#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

$$
\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi(\to \mu^+ \mu^-)K^+) \times \frac{\varepsilon(B^+ \to J/\psi(\to \mu^+ \mu^-)K^+)}{\varepsilon(B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)}
$$

$$
\times \frac{N(B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)}{N(B^+ \to J/\psi(\to \mu^+ \mu^-)K^+)}
$$

• use external  $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \mathrm{J}/\psi (\to \mu^+ \mu^-) K^+)$  measurement from PDG

take most efficiencies from MC, PID efficiencies from control data samples:

$$
\frac{\varepsilon(B^+\to\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\nu_\mu)}{\varepsilon(B^+\to J/\psi(\to\mu^+\mu^-)K^+)}=0.37\pm0.003
$$

caused by lower dimuon mass and tighter PID requirements

• keep selection as similar as possible to cancel systematic uncertainty of efficiency ratio

## Normalisation Fit

#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation



- perform unbinned maximum likelihood fit to *M*( $\mu^+ \mu^- K^+$ )
- $B^+ \to J/\psi K^+$ : Ipatia function with non-Gaussian tails
- **misID bkg**  $B^+ \to \mathrm{J}/\psi\pi^+$ : Gaussian core with power law tails
- **combinatorial bkg**: exponential function

 $\rightarrow$  gives  $\approx$  300 $\kappa$   $B^+ \rightarrow$   $\mathrm{J}/\psi$ K $^+$  candidates with 98% purity

## Signal Templates

#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

- to improve sensitivity an event-by-event uncertainty on the corrected mass is calculated by propagating the uncertainties of the PV and SV
- data is split into two equally-sized regions with **high and low fractional corrected mass uncertainty**

 $\rightarrow$  improves the branching fraction sensitivity by 11% due to the different signal distribution in the two samples



## Signal Fit



#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

- signal: sum of two Gaussian with different power law tails
- **Combinatorial**: exponential function, free slope
- Misid bkg: from  $\mu^+ \mu^- hX$  control sample, shape and yield fitted with Gaussian, power law tail at high corrected mass
- **PartReco**: shape and yield from simulation

- unbinned maximum likelihood fit is performed to the corrected mass
- signal yield is slightly negative  $\rightarrow$  total fit component slightly below sum of the backgrounds
- dashed line shows theoretical prediction of  $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$  [\[Phys. Atom.](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS1063778818030092) [Nucl. 81 \(2018\) 34\]](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS1063778818030092)



#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

- **No significant signal** component, set a limit of 1.4 × 10−<sup>8</sup> at 95% confidence level using the CL<sub>s</sub> method (preliminary)
- CLs method uses knowledge that true branching fraction has to be non-negative
- From pseudo-experiments **expected sensitivity** is found to be 2.8 × 10−<sup>8</sup>
	- $\rightarrow$  present result represents a downward fluctuation  $< 2\sigma$

# **Systematic Uncertainties**

#### LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation



- **Largest systematic** uncertainty due to decay model for the signal channel: replace nominal with phase space model
- **trigger systematic** due to difference between MC and data, evaluated using  $B^+\to\mathrm{J}/\psi(\to\mu^+\mu^-)K^+\to$  conservative estimate, doesn't take expected cancellation in ratio into account
- difference of modelling of the  $B^+$  production kinematics between signal and normalisation calculated using weights

 $\rightarrow$  systematic uncertainties added as Gaussian constraints when calculating the limit  $\rightarrow$  assumed to be fully correlated between bins of fractional corrected mass error

### **Conclusion**

- Search performed for the rare decay  $B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$  using 4.7 fb $^{-1}$  of proton-proton collision data collected by the LHCb experiment
- No signal is observed for the  $B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$  decay
- Upper limit of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}^+ \to \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\nu_\mu) < 1.4 \times 10^{-8}$  at 95% CL is set (preliminary)
- The limit on the branching fraction does not agree with a recent theoretical calculation based on the vector dominance model of  ${\cal B}(B^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) \approx$  1.3x10 $^{-7}$  [\[Phys. Atom. Nucl. 81](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS1063778818030092) [\(2018\) 34\]](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS1063778818030092)
- Prospects: Prospects:<br>Statistically limited search, sensitivity scales with  $\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}$  $\rightarrow$  either observe decay very soon or set much better limits in Upgrade

#### → **Stay tuned!**

# <span id="page-17-0"></span>**Thanks for your attention!**

# <span id="page-18-0"></span>Backup Slides

### Main Backgrounds

#### <span id="page-19-0"></span>LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

#### combinatorial background:

- random combinations of 3 muons passing the selection
- don't come from same decay chain
	- $\rightarrow$  reduce it using a region around B mass of [4000-7000  $\rm\,MeV\!/c^2$  ]

#### • train **dedicated BDT** to remove it:

- trained on signal MC and upper mass sideband  $m_{B_{corr}} > 5500 \, \rm{MeV}/c^2$  data as bkg
- $\bullet$  contains kinematic and geometric properties of  $B^+$  candidate and muon tracks, also multiplicity of event
- most discriminating variables: isolation of decay vertex, quality of B vertex and muon IPs wrt. PV
- BDT response optimised by maximising Punzi FOM: <sup>√</sup> *S B*+3/2
- rejects 99% of combinatorics while 40% efficient on signal

## MisID background

<span id="page-20-0"></span>LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

- misID background: kaon, pion or proton misidentified as muon e.g. cascade decays  $B \to D(\to K \mu \nu) \mu \nu$
- estimated from **control sample** in data
	- same selection except reversal of muonID requirement for one track
		- $\rightarrow$  selects sample of  $\mu\mu hX$  candidates in data, with *h* any hadron
		- $\rightarrow$  mixture of cascade decays  $B \rightarrow D(\rightarrow h\mu\nu X)\mu\nu$  and combinatorial bkg
	- muon misID rate different for kaon and muon  $\rightarrow$  hadron species must be determined
	- done by isolating the hadrons into separate hadron PID regions and then take into account cross-feed between the regions:

 $\rightarrow$  split  $\mu\mu$ hX sample into 3 separate hadron PID regions consistent with the kaon, pion and proton hypotheses

- Probabilities of identifying the hadrons with a given PID requirement as a fct of p and  $\eta$  of the particle taken from dedicated control samples
- probability of kaon and pion passing muon PID requirements calculated from  $B^0\to J/\psi(\to\mu^+\mu^-)K^{*0}(\to K^+\pi^-)$  decays as a calibration sample
- misID bkg from protons can be neglected
	- $\rightarrow$  train **dedicated neural-network** based PID selection to remove it:
		- trained on signal MC and  $\mu\mu hX$  background in data
		- rejects 94% of combinatorics while 40% efficient on signal

### Normalisation Fit

#### <span id="page-21-0"></span>LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation



- perform unbinned maximum likelihood fit to *M*( $\mu^+ \mu^- K^+$ )
- $B^+ \to \mathrm{J}/\psi (\to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ K<sup>+</sup> described by Ipatia function with non-Gaussian tails on both sides, mean and width allowed to vary, all others fixed from simulation
- misID bkg  $B^+ \to \mathrm{J}/\psi (\to \mu^+ \mu^-)\pi^+$  modelled with Gaussian core with power law tails on each side, freely varying mean and with, tail parameters fixed from simulation
- **combinatorial bkg** modelled using exponential function, decay constant allowed to vary in fit

 $\rightarrow$  gives  $\approx$  300 $k$   $B^+$   $\rightarrow$   $\mathrm{J}/\psi K^+$  candidates with 98% purity

## Signal Fit

#### <span id="page-22-0"></span>LHCb-PAPER-2018-037 in preparation

- unbinned maximum likelihood fit is performed to the corrected mass
- **signal shape** is modelled with the sum of two Gaussian functions with different power law tails on each side, determined from simulation
- **combinatorial bkg** modelled using exponential function, slope allowed to vary in fit, parametrisation is verified using simulation
- Misid bkg from the  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  hX control sample, shape and yield fitted with a Gaussian function with a power law tail at high corrected mass
	- parametrisation cross-checked by fitting a sample with looser muonID requirement
	- uncertainties on the associated parameters are propagated to the fit using a multivariate Gaussian constraint
- **partially reconstructed background**: shape and yield taken from simulation.
- Yields are allowed to vary within constraints from a Poisson distribution in the fit

### Future plans

- We are working currently on extraction  $|V_{ub}|$  exclusively from  $B_s^0 \to K^- \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$ , using normalisation channel of  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- \, \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
- Smaller FF uncertainty expected wrt.  $\Lambda_b \to p \mu \nu$ : ~3% [\[Phys. Rev. D 91, 074510 \(2015\)\]](http://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.074510)
- Production fraction ~10%, smaller compared to  $\Lambda_b$  (~20%)
- More difficult to handle background ( $\Lambda_c, D_s, D^+, D^0$ ) w.r.t.  $\Lambda_b$
- recent results on FFs:
	- from LQCD: [Phys. Rev. D 91, 074510 \(2015\),](https://journals.aps.org/prd/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.074510) [Phys. Rev. D 90, 054506 \(2014\)](https://journals.aps.org/prd/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.054506) NEW directly on BF ratio: [arXiv 1808.09285](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1808.09285.pdf)
	- from LCSR predictions at low  $q^2$ : [arXiv 1703.04765](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1703.04765.pdf)

<span id="page-23-0"></span>

## LHCb Detector

### [JINST 3 S08005 \(2008\),](http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-0221/3/08/S08005) [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30, 1530022 \(2015\)](http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0217751X15300227)



- VELO: primary and secondary vertex
- Tracking: momentum of charged particle
- RICHs: particle identification  $K^{\pm}$ ,  $\pi^{\pm}$
- MUON: trigger on high  $p_T$   $\mu^{\pm}$  & PID
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>Calorimeter: ECAL and HCAL for  $\gamma$ ,  $e^{\pm}$  and hadronic energy