

A fresh look at the (non-)Abelian Landau- Khalatnikov-Fradkin trans- formations

Conference Presentation Excited QCD
ArXiv: 1801.01703

Tim De Meerleer - tim.demeerleer@kuleuven.be

KU Leuven

March 2018

0 Outline

- ① Introduction
- ② Gauge invariant fields
- ③ On exploiting gauge symmetries
- ④ Conclusion & Outlook

1 Introduction (I)

The **Landau-Fradkin-Khalatnikov transformations** (LKFT's) show us how n -point correlators relate between different gauges.

Motivations

- ▶ Gauge fixing is required. Most calculations are limited to Landau gauge, but other gauge choices are emerging. **But how are these different computations related?**
- ▶ In practice one often needs an Ansatz, for instance photon-fermion vertex in QED. These Ansätze are gauge specific, but the **LKFT can show how this vertex looks in a different gauge.**
- ▶ Finally, all physics should be **gauge independent**. The question is, are these Ansätze consistent with gauge covariance?

1 Introduction (II)

Our final goal: LKFT for the gluon and quark n -point correlator function

$$\langle A_\mu A_\nu \rangle_\alpha = \langle A_\mu A_\nu \rangle_{\alpha'} + \textit{something}$$

We start by recovering the (known) Abelian LKFT for the photon, as a first proof of concept.

Next, we show how to expand this to non-Abelian theories, retrieving the LKFT for the gluon propagator.

2 The QCD action

$$S = S_{FP} + S_f + S_h^{[1]}$$

$$S_{FP} = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + \frac{\alpha}{2} b^a b^a + i b^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a + \bar{c}^a \partial_\mu D_\mu^{ab} c^b \right)$$

$$S_f = \int d^4x \left(\bar{\psi} (i \not{D} + m_f) \psi \right)$$

$$S_h = \int d^4x \left(\tau^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^{h,a} + \frac{m^2}{2} A_\mu^{h,a} A_\mu^{h,a} + \bar{\eta}^a \partial_\mu D_\mu^{ab} (A^h) \eta^b \right)$$

Where A^h was introduced to minimise $\int d^4x A_\mu^u A_\mu^u$, related to the problem of Gribov copies.

[1] M. A. L. Capri, D. Fiorentini, M. S. Guimaraes, B. W. Mintz, L. F. Palhares and S. P. Sorella, (2016) - ArXiv:1606.06601v3

2 The additional "field" A^h

The transversal "field" A^h

$$A_\mu^h = h^\dagger A_\mu h + \frac{i}{g} h^\dagger \partial_\mu h$$
$$h = e^{ig\phi^a T^a}$$

Minimalisation of A^h leads to

$$A_\mu^h = A_\mu - \frac{\partial_\mu}{\partial^2} \partial A + ig \left[A_\mu, \frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial A \right] + \frac{ig}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial A, \partial_\mu \frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial A \right]$$
$$+ ig \frac{\partial_\mu}{\partial^2} \left[\frac{\partial_\nu}{\partial^2} \partial A, A_\nu \right] + i \frac{g}{2} \frac{\partial_\mu}{\partial^2} \left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial^2}, \partial A \right] + \mathcal{O}(A^3)$$

(1)

2 Properties of A^h

- ▶ Landau gauge ($\partial A = 0$): $A_\mu^h = A_\mu$
- ▶ BRST invariant
- ▶ Renormalisability of A^h has been proven^[2].
- ▶ Looking at transformations of A_μ and h , A^h is gauge invariant by construction

$$\langle A_\mu^h A_\nu^h \rangle_\alpha = \langle A_\mu^h A_\nu^h \rangle_{\alpha'} \quad (2)$$

[2] M. A. L. Capri, D. Fiorentini, M. S. Guimaraes, B. W. Mintz, L. F. Palhares and S. P. Sorella, (2016) - ArXiv: 1606.06601v3

2 Properties of A^h

- ▶ Landau gauge ($\partial A = 0$): $A_\mu^h = A_\mu$
- ▶ BRST invariant
- ▶ Renormalisability of A^h has been proven^[2].
- ▶ Looking at transformations of A_μ and h , A^h is gauge invariant by construction

$$\langle A_\mu^h A_\nu^h \rangle_\alpha = \langle A_\mu^h A_\nu^h \rangle_{\alpha'=0} \quad (2)$$

[2] M. A. L. Capri, D. Fiorentini, M. S. Guimaraes, B. W. Mintz, L. F. Palhares and S. P. Sorella, (2016) - ArXiv: 1606.06601v3

2 Properties of A^h

- ▶ Landau gauge ($\partial A = 0$): $A_\mu^h = A_\mu$
- ▶ BRST invariant
- ▶ Renormalisability of A^h has been proven^[2].
- ▶ Looking at transformations of A_μ and h , A^h is gauge invariant by construction

$$\langle A_\mu^h A_\nu^h \rangle_\alpha = \langle A_\mu^h A_\nu^h \rangle_{\alpha'=0} = \langle A_\mu A_\nu \rangle_{\alpha'=0} \quad (2)$$

[2] M. A. L. Capri, D. Fiorentini, M. S. Guimaraes, B. W. Mintz, L. F. Palhares and S. P. Sorella, (2016) - ArXiv: 1606.06601v3

2 Evaluating propagators (I)

Photon propagator: $A_\mu^h = A_\mu - \partial_\mu \phi$

$$\langle A_\mu^a(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle_\alpha = \langle A_\mu^a(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle_{\alpha=0} + \alpha \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^4} \delta^{ab}$$

Which retrieves the known LKFT for the photon.

2 Evaluating propagators (II)

Gluon propagator

Inserting full order expansion (1) yields gluon propagator.
(Work in progress)

General LKFT

Invariant fermion field $\psi^h = h^\dagger \psi$

$$\langle A_\mu^h \dots \psi^h \dots \bar{\psi}^h \dots \rangle_\alpha = \langle A_\mu^h \dots \psi^h \dots \bar{\psi}^h \dots \rangle_{\alpha'}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle A_\mu^{h,a}(p) A_\nu^{h,b}(-p) \rangle \\
&= \langle A_\mu^a(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle + \langle A_\mu^a(p) \partial_\nu \phi^b(-p) \rangle + \langle \partial_\mu \phi^a(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle + \langle \partial_\mu \phi^a(p) \partial_\nu \phi^b(-p) \rangle \\
&+ gf^{bcd} \left[- \langle A_\mu^a(p) A_\nu^c(-p) \phi^d(-p) \rangle + \langle \partial_\mu \phi^a(p) A_\nu^c(-p) \phi^d(-p) \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \langle A_\mu(p)^a \phi^c(-p) \partial_\nu \phi^d(-p) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_\mu \phi^a(p) \phi^c(-p) \partial_\nu \phi^d(-p) \rangle \right] \\
&+ gf^{acd} \left[- \langle A_\mu^c(p) \phi^d(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle + \langle A_\mu^c(p) \phi^d(p) \partial_\nu \phi^b(-p) \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi^c(p) \partial_\mu \phi^d(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi^c(p) \partial_\mu \phi^d(p) \partial_\nu \phi^b(-p) \rangle \right] \\
&+ \frac{g^2}{3!} D^{bcde} \left[\langle A_\mu^a(p) \partial_\nu \phi^c(-p) \phi^d(-p) \phi^e(-p) \rangle - 2 \langle A_\mu^a(p) \phi^c(-p) \partial_\nu \phi^d(-p) \phi^e(-p) \rangle \right. \\
&\quad + \langle A_\mu^a(p) \phi^c(-p) \phi^d(-p) \partial_\nu \phi^e(-p) \rangle - \langle \partial_\mu \phi^a(p) \partial_\nu \phi^c(-p) \phi^d(-p) \phi^e(-p) \rangle \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \langle \partial_\mu \phi^a(p) \phi^c(-p) \partial_\nu \phi^d(-p) \phi^e(-p) \rangle - \langle \partial_\mu \phi^a(p) \phi^c(-p) \phi^d(-p) \partial_\nu \phi^e(-p) \rangle \right] \\
&+ \frac{g^2}{3!} D^{acde} \left[\langle \partial_\mu \phi^c(p) \phi^d(p) \phi^e(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle - 2 \langle \phi^c(p) \partial_\mu \phi^d(p) \phi^e(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle \right. \\
&\quad + \langle \phi^c(p) \phi^d(p) \partial_\mu \phi^e(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle - \langle \partial_\mu \phi^c(p) \phi^d(p) \phi^e(p) \partial_\nu \phi^b(-p) \rangle \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \langle \phi^c(p) \partial_\mu \phi^d(p) \phi^e(p) \partial_\nu \phi^b(-p) \rangle - \langle \phi^c(p) \phi^d(p) \partial_\mu \phi^e(p) \partial_\nu \phi^b(-p) \rangle \right] \\
&- \frac{g^2}{2} D^{bcde} \left[\langle A_\mu^a(p) A_\nu^c(-p) \phi^d(-p) \phi^e(-p) \rangle - 2 \langle A_\mu^a(p) \phi^c(-p) A_\nu^d(-p) \phi^e(-p) \rangle \right. \\
&\quad + \langle A_\mu^a(p) \phi^c(-p) \phi^d(-p) A_\nu^e(-p) \rangle - \langle \partial_\mu \phi^a(p) A_\nu^c(-p) \phi^d(-p) \phi^e(-p) \rangle \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \langle \partial_\mu \phi^a(p) \phi^c(-p) A_\nu^d(-p) \phi^e(-p) \rangle - \langle \partial_\mu \phi^a(p) \phi^c(-p) \phi^d(-p) A_\nu^e(-p) \rangle \right] \\
&+ \frac{g^2}{3!} D^{acde} \left[\langle \partial_\mu \phi^c(p) \phi^d(p) \phi^e(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle - 2 \langle \phi^c(p) \partial_\mu \phi^d(p) \phi^e(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle \right. \\
&\quad + \langle \phi^c(p) \phi^d(p) \partial_\mu \phi^e(p) A_\nu^b(-p) \rangle - \langle \partial_\mu \phi^c(p) \phi^d(p) \phi^e(p) \partial_\nu \phi^b(-p) \rangle \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \langle \phi^c(p) \partial_\mu \phi^d(p) \phi^e(p) \partial_\nu \phi^b(-p) \rangle - \langle \phi^c(p) \phi^d(p) \partial_\mu \phi^e(p) \partial_\nu \phi^b(-p) \rangle \right]
\end{aligned}$$

3 Path integral formalism

Starting from the Abelian limit, the QED action

$$S = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi} \not{D} \psi + ib \partial_\mu A_\mu + \frac{\alpha}{2} b^2 + \bar{c} \partial^2 c \right. \\ \left. + \bar{J} \psi + \bar{\psi} J + J_\mu A_\mu \right)$$

and corresponding path integral

$$Z(J) = \int [d\mu] e^{-S}$$

3 Gauge transformations (I)

Under a general gauge transformation

$$U = e^{-ie\phi}$$

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu = A_\mu - \partial_\mu\phi$$

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi' = U\psi$$

3 Gauge transformations (I)

Under a general gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned}U &= e^{-ie\phi} \\ A_\mu &\rightarrow A'_\mu = A_\mu - \partial_\mu\phi \\ \psi &\rightarrow \psi' = U\psi\end{aligned}$$

We still have the freedom to choose the 'angle' of rotation ϕ

$$\phi = -X \frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial_\mu A_\mu \tag{4}$$

3 Gauge transformations (II)

Under this transformation

$$\partial_\mu A'_\mu = (1 + X)\partial_\mu A_\mu$$

To keep the action (up to source terms) invariant

$$b' = \frac{1}{1 + X}b$$
$$\alpha' = (1 + X)^2\alpha$$

Rescaling of the gauge parameter!

3 The photon propagator revisited

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y)\rangle_\alpha &= \int [d\mu] A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y)e^{-S} \\ &= \frac{\delta^2 Z_\alpha}{\delta J_\nu(y)\delta J_\mu(x)} = \frac{\delta^2 Z'_\alpha}{\delta J_\nu(y)\delta J_\mu(x)}\end{aligned}$$

Note, the action remained invariant, **up to the source terms!**

3 The photon propagator revisited

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y)\rangle_\alpha &= \int [d\mu] A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y)e^{-S} \\ &= \frac{\delta^2 Z_\alpha}{\delta J_\nu(y)\delta J_\mu(x)} = \frac{\delta^2 Z'_\alpha}{\delta J_\nu(y)\delta J_\mu(x)}\end{aligned}$$

Note, the action remained invariant, **up to the source terms!**

$$\langle A_\mu(p)A_\nu(-p)\rangle_\alpha = \langle A_\mu(p)A_\nu(-p)\rangle_{\alpha'} - (\alpha' - \alpha) \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^4} \quad (5)$$

Again exactly the LKFT for the photon.

3 Fermion propagator

Fermions, and the corresponding source terms, transform as well. In this sector one finds (with $U = e^{ie\frac{X}{1+X}\frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial A'}$)

$$\left\langle \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(y) \right\rangle_{\alpha} = \left\langle \bar{\psi}'(x)e^{\frac{-ieX}{1+X}\frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial_{\mu}A'_{\mu}(x)}e^{\frac{ieX}{1+X}\frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial_{\nu}A'_{\nu}(y)}\psi'(y) \right\rangle_{\alpha'}$$

3 Fermion propagator

Fermions, and the corresponding source terms, transform as well. In this sector one finds (with $U = e^{ie\frac{X}{1+X}\frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial A'}$)

$$\langle \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(y) \rangle_{\alpha} = \left\langle \bar{\psi}'(x) e^{\frac{-ieX}{1+X}\frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial_{\mu}A'_{\mu}(x)} e^{\frac{ieX}{1+X}\frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial_{\nu}A'_{\nu}(y)} \psi'(y) \right\rangle_{\alpha'}$$

explicitly contracting these fields in the Landau gauge ($\alpha' = 0$)

$$\langle \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(y) \rangle_{\alpha} = \langle \bar{\psi}'(x)\psi'(y) \rangle_{\alpha'=0} \langle U^{\dagger}(x)U(y) \rangle_{\alpha'=0}$$

3 Extension to QCD

The 'full' transformation

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu = U A_\mu U^\dagger + \frac{i}{g} U \partial_\mu U^\dagger$$
$$U = e^{ig\phi} = 1 + ig\phi - \frac{g^2}{2} \phi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\phi^3)$$

requiring $\partial_\mu A'_\mu = (1 + X) \partial_\mu A_\mu$ can be solved for all orders of ϕ

$$\phi = X \frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial_\mu A_\mu - igX \frac{1}{\partial^2} \left[\frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu A_\nu, A_\mu \right]$$
$$- igX \frac{1}{\partial^2} \left[\frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial_\mu A_\mu, \partial_\nu A_\nu \right] - \frac{igX^2}{2} \frac{1}{\partial^2} \left[\frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial_\mu A_\mu, \partial_\nu A_\nu \right] + \mathcal{O}(A^3)$$

4 Conclusion & Outlook

- ▶ Investigating gluon propagator using FeynCalc (contracting the corresponding diagrams), due to the high number of diagrams (order of 100).
- ▶ Using this result to check the non-Abelian LKFT with the expected results (Lattice QCD).
- ▶ Linking this viewpoint of LKFT's to the theory of Nielsen identities.

4 Conclusion & Outlook

- ▶ Investigating gluon propagator using FeynCalc (contracting the corresponding diagrams), due to the high number of diagrams (order of 100).
- ▶ Using this result to check the non-Abelian LKFT with the expected results (Lattice QCD).
- ▶ Linking this viewpoint of LKFT's to the theory of Nielsen identities.

Any questions?