Follow up of strategy discussion with LHCC

Ian Bird MB 19th June 2018



Timeline

- □ CWP end 2017
- □ LHCC Strategy document May 2018
 - CERN-LHCC-2018-019
- Review of HL-LHC computing strategy Spring 2019 (tbc)
- □ TDR for HL-LHC computing 2022/3
 - In conjunction with ATLAS/CMS computing TDRs (tbd)
- □ 2026 HL-LHC



Review of HL-LHC Computing preparations

- □ As discussed in last LHCC
 - Should consider a review of the strategy
- □ We think a review will be extremely useful in a broader context:
- □ HL-LHC startup is ~2026/7 (optimistically)
 - TDR for computing in 2020 is perhaps not ideal 6/7 years away from the need
- → Have a serious review of the strategy in early 2019, a TDR slightly later (2022-3??), in concert with experiment computing TDR's (tbd).
- → Use the review and (updated?) strategy to validate resourced projects with FA's
 - But do not wait for this start key activities now





A Review straw-man

- □ Scope: (tbd)
 - Comment on the appropriateness of the strategies to achieve an affordable and optimised computing model to maximize physics output for HL-LHC;
 - Are the ongoing work and proposed strategies realistic; and are there missing topics or opportunities?
 - Are the assumptions correct?
- It would also be useful to bring in all aspects of the problem of HL-LHC computing that need to all be contributing to the overall solution including aspects that don't traditionally regard themselves as "WLCG" like simulation and reconstruction. This would give a coherent overall picture.
- Needs 2-3 days
- □ High-level reviewers
- → Needs some lead-time: likely timescale is thus early 2019



Review structure

Some introduction - overview of scale of the challenge, physics drivers, trigger rates, MC fraction etc. Experiment physics coordinator?

Each of the following should give prospects for improvement - performance factor, reduction factor, etc.

- <u>Reconstruction</u>
 - Improvements and prospects over coming 5 years general view someone from common reconstruction activity
 - Experiment specific contributions and plans ATLAS, CMS
- Simulation
 - Speed up of GEANT4 (vectorisation, parallelisation, re-engineering for performance, etc.) - Geant team
 - Fast simulation Geant team
 - Explain plans for the evolution of GEANT
 - Full chain MC ATLAS and CMS specific contributions
- Software performance and prospects in general EP-SFT leading HSF activity, plus appropriate experts
 - portability (heterogenous architectures), I/O performance, EDM, etc
 - ROOT team should explain how they will help optimize I/O performance what are plans?
 - Common activities (HSF) parallelism, vectorisation, etc how this will be managed
 - ATLAS and CMS outlook for re-engineering core software?

	<u>cts for reduction of data volumes</u> - needs experiment specific utions and plans up-front/online processing (like LHCb plan to do in Run 3) data formats - nanoAOD etc use of virtual data full chain MC	
	optimization of number of replicas - caching rather than storing, etc.	
Analysis evolution - who?		
	ROOT - what are future plans for analysis	
	Experiment outlook - what do they see as analysis needs - is ROOT sufficient?	
1. A.	Organized, local, cloud-based, etc Relative merits and costs	
Infrastr	Infrastructures - who? - Data-lake project + Rucio + experiments?	
•	Data management ideas (data lake/DM project goals) - how much can be common	
	Workflow management - highly organized to allow use of tape vs disk	
•	prospects for commonality - e.g. move of "~Rucio" into common layers, common workflows?	
	Hardware evolution outlook	
•	Cost models?	
- -	Use of HPC (infrastructure level - software portability dealt with above)	

- Event generators someone very high-level (e.g. lan Butterworth)
- what are plans to re-engineer the code and support NLO, NNLO efficiently?
- Other topics?

Timeline

- □ CWP end 2017
- □ LHCC Strategy document May 2018
- Review of HL-LHC computing strategy Spring 2019
- □ TDR for HL-LHC computing 2022/3
 - In conjunction with ATLAS/CMS computing TDRs (tbd)
- □ 2026 HL-LHC

