Nuclear Medicine

Science that contributes to the *treatment* and to the *diagnosis* of human disease (illness) using rays emitted by nucleus of radioactive atoms

Commonly used isotops

Isotop Energy Period

y emitters

Technetium 99m140 keV (89%)Iodine 12327 (71%) 159 keV (83%)Thallium 20171 keV (47%)

6,02 hours 13,2 hours 73 hours

β+ emitters

Oxygene 15 Carbon 11 Fluor 18 Brome 76 1738 keV 960 keV 634 keV 3980 keV 2,1 minutes 20,4 minutes 109,8 minutes 972 minutes

Associated imaging techniques

Emitters γ



Emitteurs β +



Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT) Positon Emission Tomography (PET)

Emitters γ



Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT)





The collimator



Parallel collimator



Thichness varies from 25 to 80 mm Contains betwenn 3.10⁴ and 9.10⁴ holes

Different geometrial shapes of holes



Two conception modes : « foil or cast »

Septa = Material between holes

Holes are organized in a way that optimizes the exposition of the crystal Hexagonal arrangement presents the best compromise Hexagone, cylindre, square, rectangle offer the same septal thickness which is not the case of the circle

Minimum septum thickness is determined by the energy of the photons



$$s = \frac{2ep}{H-p}$$
 with $p =$ minimum crossed distance

If we tolerate 5% of penetration,

What should be the lower limit of s?

transmission = $e^{-\mu p} \le 0.05$

$$e^{-\mu p} \leq e^{-\mu p}$$

$$s \ge \frac{6e}{\mu H - 3}$$

Example

Energy: 150 keV

Material: Lead $\rho = 11,35 \text{ g/cm}^3$ $\mu/\rho = 2,014 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ $\mu = 22,86 \text{ cm}^{-1}$

Material: Tungsten ρ = 19,3 g/cm³ μ/ρ = 1,581 cm²/g μ = 30,51 cm⁻¹

Material: Iridium $\rho = 22,42 \text{ g/cm}^3$ $\mu/\rho = 1,74 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ $\mu = 39,01 \text{ cm}^{-1}$



Calculate s min for an energy of 365 keV

Spatial resolution



Geometrical detection efficiency

Proportion of photons emitted from a point source and Transmitted through the collimator

$$S_p \approx k \frac{e^4}{H^2(e+s)^2}$$

k is determined by the geometrical shape of the holes

| Circulars | 0,06 |
|------------|------|
| Hexagonals | 0,07 |
| Squares | 0,08 |

Independant of source-collimateur distance Two effects compensate one another:

- Hole efficiency decreases as 1/d²
- Number of holes is proportional to d²

Hole effective height

Modification due to septal penetration

$$H = H_r - \frac{2}{\mu}$$
Real height

Non parallel collimator







The field of view varies as function of the magnification factor

$$F_{M} = \frac{F}{M}$$
Total field of view of the camera
Magnification factor

$$R_s = \sqrt{R_c^2 + \left(\frac{R_i}{M}\right)^2}$$

Collimateur convergent



Fan beam collimator



f from 40 to 50 cm

$$M = \frac{f - H}{f + r}$$

Special case: *fishtail*



Pinhole collimator





Convergent collimator



Spatial resolution and detection efficieny vary in the field of view Maximum for $\theta=0$

Spatial resolution **Detection efficiency**

H = 4 cm

 $f = 40 \ cm$

 $\theta = 0^{\circ}$



Divergent Collimator

$$R_c \approx \frac{R_p}{\cos\theta} \left(1 + \frac{H}{2f} \right) \quad S_g \approx S_p \cos^2\theta \, \frac{(f+H)^2}{(f+H+r)^2}$$





Spatial resolution Detection efficiency

Pinhole collimator



Comparison









D Lowe et al, '' Optimisation of the design of round-hole parallel collimators for ultra-compact nuclear medicine imaging,'' NIM A488 (2002) 428-440.

Scintillating crystal

The crystal dimension determines the geometrical field of view of the camera

At the beginning,

The field of view was circular with small diameter

Actually,

Rectangular going to 590 x 390 mm² Requiring crystal dimension of 600 x 450 mm²

Its thickness determines the detection efficiency As ^{99m}Tc is the main used isotope Crystal thickness was optimized for 140 keV Generally, Nal(TI) 9,5 mm (84% @140 keV) Luminescence effect by fluorescence

incidents $\gamma \to N_0^*$ excited states $N^*(t) = N_0^* e^{-t/\tau_0}$

Number of optical photons:



Crystals characteristics

| | YAP:Ce | LaBr ₃ :Ce | LaCl ₃ :Ce | NaI:Tl |
|---|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Density(g.cm ⁻³) | 5.35 | 5.29 | 3,9 | 3,7 |
| $1/\mu \ (mm)_{[a \ 140 keV]}$ | 6,7 | 3,6 | 4,5 | 4,9 |
| Yield (ph/MeV) | 18000 | 63000 | 50000 | 38000 |
| $\lambda_{\max}(nm)$ | 370 | 380 | 350 | 415 |
| Refraction index | 1.93 | 1.9 | 1,9 | 1,85 |
| Resolution (%) [@ 140keV] | 20 | 6 | 10 | 9 |
| Photoelectric proportion (%) [@ 140keV] | 50 | 79 | 80 | 84 |
| Decay time (ns) | 27 | 25 | 20 | 230 |
| Hygroscopic | Non | Oui | Oui | Oui |

Limit on the decay time

Depends on the free electrons/holes velocity from the ionisation band to the luminescence center

Depends on the life-time of the emission state of the activators

Limit on the light yield

Determined by the number of electrons/holes pairs created in the ionization band



Coupling: optimization

Optimize surface treatment



The choice of the reflector:

Specular reflector:

reflexion angle = incidence angle -> not ideal

Lambertian reflector:

 $R(\theta_r)$ =cos θ_i , almost normal to the crystal reflexion -> better Reduces the light spread

Treatment surfaces: Avoid/Reduce total reflexion

Total reflexion critical angle :

$$\theta_c = \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{n_2}{n_1} \right)$$



Example: Nal:Tl_n=1,85 Optical diffusor: n=1,5

$$\theta_c = 54^{\circ}$$

Intrinsic resolution Crystal / PMT coupling

Barycenter approach

Valid for a uniform crystal coupled to several cells of the photodetector



Limit resolution research Minimize R with respect to s

Reducing the dimension of the photodetector cell

$$R_i = 2,35 \left(\sum \frac{(dn_i/dx)^2}{n_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Resolution improvement due to a high number of photoelectrons



For NaI:TI, If the scintillation occured at the edge, the light spot spread is 16 mm If scintillation occurs in the center, the light spot spread is 8 mm If the diffusion media is « AIR », the light spot spread will be 4 mm



x (mm)

x (mm)

Photomultiplier Tube



http://www.olympusmicro.com/primer/digitalimaging/concepts/photomultipliers.html

La charge Q = Ne

| Ν | |
|-------------------|---|
| = | |
| N _{hv} | Number of optical photons emitted by the scintillator |
| $\Omega(\lambda)$ | Optical yield of the photocathode |
| σ(λ) | Quantum yield of the photocathode |
| C | Collection yield of the optical entry |
| G | Global gain of the PM |

$$N_{h\nu} = \frac{\Delta E}{h\nu} \varepsilon$$
 et

$$\Gamma = \frac{\varepsilon}{h\nu} \Omega(\lambda) \sigma(\lambda) C$$

Total conversion coefficient

$$\triangleleft Q = \Gamma \Delta E G e$$

with $G = K \times HT^{\alpha d}$

Output Voltage







 R_a = 50 Ω C_a =10 pF τ_o =25 ns

Q₁=1,6 10-13 C

Q₂=0.8 10-13 C

Scintillation localization



Each PM anode is connected to two separated circuits (« a » and « b ») yielding to vertical layers (Layers of horizontal deviation) of an oscilloscope « Pa » et « Pb ».

> Arbitrary: L1=12 L2=10 L3=6 with V~L/R

Pb-Pa=(Vb+Vd+Vf)-(Va+Vc+Ve)=(12/3+10/2+6/1)-(12/1+10/2+6/3)=15-19=-4

Displacement to the left of the light spot !!

$$X^{+} \qquad Re Rd$$

$$x^{-} \qquad PM1 \qquad PM2 \qquad PM3 \qquad X^{+} \qquad 2 \qquad 2$$

$$X^{-} \qquad PM1 \qquad Y^{-} \qquad Y^{-} \qquad Y^{+} \qquad Y^{-}$$

$$Ra \qquad 1 \qquad 2 \qquad 3 \qquad Y^{-} \qquad Y^{+} \qquad Y^{+} \qquad Y^{-}$$

$$Ra \qquad 1 \qquad 2 \qquad 3 \qquad Y^{-} \qquad Y^{+} \qquad Y^{-}$$

$$Rb \qquad 3 \qquad 2 \qquad 1 \qquad Y^{+} \qquad Y^{-} \qquad Y$$

 $W = X^+ + X^- + Y^+ + Y^-$

New approaches

Crystals segmentation

Advantages:

Light confinement The spatial resolution is given by the crystal size: choice of the resolution Almost-independent count rate Possible rejection of scattered

Drawbacks:

Dead zone due to segmentation Increase of pixel numbers Degradation of light collection with thin crystals Adaptation of the collimator holes shape Pixellization artifcats Price

Photodetectors

PM multi anodes / sensitive to the position Solid detector

Performances' evaluation

Characterized by 6 parameters Energy resolution Spatial linearity Uniformity Spatial resolution Detection efficiency Count rate

Usually, these parameters are correlated

Performances very in the field of view Three regions: FFOV: Full field of view UFOV: Useful field of view 95% de FFOV CFOV: Central field of view 75% de FFOV

Energy resolution coupling with a PMT

| N = N _{hv} | Number of optical photons emitted by the scintillator | $\overline{N} = \overline{N}_{hv} \overline{\Omega} \overline{\sigma} \overline{C} \overline{G}$ | |
|---------------------------|---|--|-------------------|
| $\Omega(\lambda)$ | Optical yield of the photocathode | 2 | |
| σ(λ) | Quantum yield of the photocathode | σ^2 | |
| С | Collection yield of the optical entry | with $U_x = \frac{\sigma_x}{-2}$ | Relative variance |
| G | Global gain of the PM | \overline{x}^2 | |

$$\upsilon_{N} = \upsilon_{N_{hv}} + \frac{\upsilon_{\Omega}}{\overline{N}_{hv}} + \frac{\upsilon_{\sigma}}{\overline{N}_{hv}\overline{\Omega}} + \frac{\upsilon_{C}}{\overline{N}_{hv}\overline{\Omega}\overline{\sigma}} + \frac{\upsilon_{G}}{\overline{N}_{hv}\overline{\Omega}\overline{\sigma}\overline{C}}$$

| Random variable | Distribution law | Relative variance |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| N_{hv} | poissonienne | $v_{N_{hv}} = \frac{1}{\overline{N}_{hv}}$ |
| Ω | binomiale | $v_{\Omega} = \frac{1 - \overline{\Omega}}{\overline{\Omega}}$ |
| σ | binomiale | $v_{\sigma} = \frac{1 - \overline{\sigma}}{\overline{\sigma}}$ |
| С | binomiale | $v_{C} = \frac{1 - \overline{C}}{\overline{C}}$ |

$$\upsilon_N = \frac{1 + \upsilon_G}{\overline{\Omega} \,\overline{\sigma} \overline{C} \overline{N}_{hv}}$$

To this, we add the Noise Equivalent Count (NEC)

NEC : Required input signal so that the output signal has an amplitude equal to the effective value of the output signal generated by the electronic noise

$$R_{e} = \frac{\Delta E}{E_{\gamma}} = 2,35 \sqrt{\frac{1 + \upsilon_{G}}{\bar{\Omega}\bar{\sigma}\bar{C}\bar{N}_{ph}}} + \left(\frac{NEC}{\bar{\Omega}\bar{\sigma}\bar{C}\bar{N}_{ph}}\bar{\Omega}\right)^{2}$$

To this we add, Crystal homogeneities Light transport with the crystal

Spatial linearity

Capability of an imaging instrument to provide an image consistent with the object, without geometric distorsion

The spatial linearity of a gamma camera is determined by analyzing the induced distorsions in the scintigraphic image of radioactive source with a know geometry

A distorsion can be corrected at the scintillations positionning matrix

Uniformity

A camera response to small object of a given acitivity is more or less independent of the object position in the field of view

This quality is to be examined in two cases:

There is no collimator Intrinsic uniformity because it is a property of base of the γ camera

There is a collimator

System uniformity because this property is related to particular use cases

Case of uniform irradiation

Integrale uniformity Ui

within a large ROI (Region Of Interest) that limits the studied field (UFOV ou CFOV) Ui = (Cmax – Cmin) / (Cmax + Cmin) en %

Differential uniformity Ud

largest local variation between two pixels, in the ROI limiting the studied field The localization is arbitrary defined by a group of 25 pixels centered around a non zero pixel $Ud = (C_{hi} - C_{low}) / (C_{hi} + C_{low}) en \%$

Intrinsic uniformity



Source ponctuelle de 10 MBq — L > 5 fois dimension maximale du champ

| Integral uniformity | | Differential uniformity | |
|---------------------|------|-------------------------|------|
| CFOV | UFOV | CFOV | UFOV |
| 2,5 | 3,0 | 1,5 | 2,0 |

System uniformity



Source plane uniforme de 57 Co $\,\sim\,$ 370 MBq

| Integral uniformity | | Differential uniformity | |
|---------------------|------|-------------------------|------|
| CFOV | UFOV | CFOV | UFOV |
| 4,0 | 5,1 | 2,5 | 3,0 |

Spatial resolution

Intrinsic spatial resolution



Spatial resolution

Spatial resolution of the system



Other method: calculate the FTM (Full Width tenth measurement)

Spatial resolution measurement

Edge Response Function « ERF »



Thèse Mario Bachaalany

02/03/18

Mesure de la résolution spatiale d'un système

 Mesure de la Edge Response Function « ERF »



Thèse Mario Bachaalanv

Point-Spread Function (PSF)

a b FIGURE 5.24

Degradation estimation by impulse characterization. (a) An impulse of light (shown magnified). (b) Imaged (degraded) impulse.





02/03/18

Modulation transfer function



Mire





Contrast variation as function of frequency

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Fonction de transfert de modulation

Modulation transfer function Fourier Transform of PSF (or LSF)



https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/ File:Illustration_of_the_optical_transfer_function_and_its_relation_to_image_quality..svg

Spatial frequency unit : Line pair per mm



Detection efficiency or *sensitivity*

Probability of detecting an incident photon Quantified as the count rate with a planar source

> System = intrinsic (S_i)+ collimator (S_c) With S_i >> S_c

> > S_i crystal dependent S_c collimator dependent

Current value, collimator LEHR: 130 cps/MBq

Count rate

With the increase of the activity, the count rate has two components:

The linearity of the observed count rate The accuracy of the positionning of the registered event



Number of physical events per second

Clinical procedures

The power of nuclear medicine is that it offers the possibility of acquiring images of a physiological function

Planar imaging : integration of a volume

One big advantage is the capability of the activity follow-up upon time

Installation

Image optimization requires a well controlled envionment

Variation of ambient temperature: 3-5°C / hour with collimator -> 1°C/ hour at crystal level

Conclusion: The collimator protects the crystal

Knowing of background noise

Acquisition consideration

Patient movement « Macroscopic and microscopic »

Choice of the collimator resolution, efficiency, energy...

Size of the image matrix pixel = LTMH/3, Magnification usage

> Partial volume effect Object size < 2xLTMH

Energy window

Compromise between contrast and efficiency Typically: 20% around the peak of interest

Count rate

Injected activity in order to reduce the loss in counts (<10%)

Contrast in the image



n = background count n+ Δ n = counting in the ROI Δ n > 10% of n (for 2 σ)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= \sqrt{n} \\ \sigma_a &= \sqrt{n + \Delta n} \\ \sigma &= \sqrt{\sigma_n^2 + \sigma_a^2} \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \sin \Delta n << n, \quad \sigma \approx \sqrt{2n} \\ 2\sigma &= 95,5\% = 2\sqrt{2n} \end{aligned} \qquad 2\sqrt{2n} \geq 0,1n \end{aligned}$$

Different types of acquisitions

Static

Radiopharmaceutical distribution should remain unchanged during all the acquisition period

Dynamic

Set of static images (time) Stop point: count rate or measurement time

Hole body

Set of static images (space)

Synchronized

Set of static images (time) synchronized with a physiological rythm

List mode

Quality control

Tedious procedure: Standardization

Satisfy two requirements:

Perfomances comparison before the purchase Detect performances « degradation » during the utilization

> Constructors' dedicated procedures NEMA and IEC

> > Users' dedicated procedures AAPM, IAEA, IPSM, IPEM

Quality control

Installation

Control of the stability of the high voltage delivered to the instrument (24h) Electric protection: inverter Control of the temperature and humidity Protection against magnetic field

Acquisition condition

Follow the constructor instruction Check the photoelectric peak position Adjust the energy window The count rate should be less than ~2x10⁴ cps (< 10% of loss) No magnification acquisition The position of the camera should be well known In case of a multi-head camera, the measurements should be performed on each detector Protect the detector from leakage and schock

Quality control

Source

If possible, realize the test with ^{99m}Tc Optimize the point source located at 5xFOV Check the uniformity of planar source

Phantom

The phantom size should correspond to the system resolution The LTMH = 1,75 x size of the smallest object

Mechanical system

Collimator (lead...) Collimator charging System rotation Check there is no eventual collision

Quality control

Different tests proposed for the procedures:

Energy calibration: find the photoelectric peak and center the energy window Perform a background couting: test contamination Unifomity Detection efficiency Energy resolution Spatial linearity Spatial resolution Collimator: Holes' orientation Count rate