

Imaging using ionizing radiations


Quantification in SPECT

Ziad El Bitar

ziad.elbitar@iphc.cnrs.fr

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Strasbourg

Introduction



*This is a « nice » image
There is more black than grey
We can distinguish the arteries
The pixel x,y is more dense than its neighbor
Its value is: XXX niveau de gris
 XXX UH
 XXX cm^{-1}
 XXX quantité de produit*

The quantification is the measurement of the numerical value extracted of the image and informing about the studied physiological process

Two types of quantification

- **Relative quantification**
 - No dimension
 - Comparing two numerical values with each other
- **Absolute quantification**
 - With dimension
 - Measurement of the molecule concentration injected in the organ or a region
 - Measurement of a constant characterising the observed physiological phenomena

Challenges

- **Cognitive**

- Detect, characterize and understand the functional processes

- Localization of cerebral functional sites corresponding to the realization of specific task
- Predict the pharmacological effects of a substance by characterizing its specificity for a target

- **Diagnosis**

- Objective characterization of observed anomalies
« pathologies »

- Better orientation of the therapy
- Therapy follow-up


Challenges




Tumor classification

Pronostic challenges

Pre-treatment



post-treatment



Therapeutical challenges

Quantification obstacles

Intrinsic due to imaging technique

Interaction radiation/matter

Attenuation of photons in tissues

Compton scatter

Imaging system limits

Spatial/energy resolution

Measurement noise

Image reconstruction

Potential obstacles

Patient motion


Physiological (respiratory and cardiac)

Random patient motions

Detection system default

Uniformity, linéarity, dead time, mechanic

Attenuation in SPECT



Attenuation in SPECT

$$N = N_0 e^{-\int_0^d \mu_E(t) dt}$$


The number of photons N depends:

On the scintillation localisation (d)

Crossed tissue (μ)


Photons energy (μ_E)

| Tissues | Density (g/cm^3) | @ 150 keV μ (cm^{-1}) | @ 60 keV | @ 30 keV |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Adipose tissues | 0,95 | 0,142 | 0,187 | 0,291 |
| Mammary tissues | 1,02 | 0,152 | 0,204 | 0,347 |
| Cortical bone | 1,92 | 0,284 | 0,605 | 2,555 |
| Muscle | 1,05 | 0,156 | 0,215 | 0,397 |
| Soft tissues | 1,00 | 0,149 | 0,205 | 0,379 |




À 150 keV

- Tissus adipeux
- tissus mammaires
- Os cortical
- Muscle
- Tissus mou



À 60 keV


- Tissus adipeux
- tissus mammaires
- Os cortical
- Muscle
- Tissus mou




À 30 keV

- Tissus adipeux
- tissus mammaires
- Os cortical
- Muscle
- Tissus mou

False quantification




Depth-dependent attenuation



Attenuation correction


Determine the distribution of the linear attenuation coefficients

By mean of transmission imaging system




$$\ln\left(\frac{N_0}{N}\right) = \int_0^d \mu_E(t) dt \Rightarrow \mu_E(x, y, z)$$

Attenuation correction



2D projections acquisition by transmission
With different angles
Tomographic reconstruction
Obtain the attenuation map



Problem: Scattering
Under-estimation of coefficients



sources de transmission




Attenuation correction



Collimation fan beam

Possibility of truncation

Multi-modality approach



2D acquisition
Tomographic reconstruction
Obtention of linear attenuation coefficients

Problems:

Spatial and temporal resolution of CT: no blurr caused by patient motion
Energy scaling

Correction methods

Before tomographic reconstruction

Multiplication of projections/sinograms by approximated correction factors

During the reconstruction

Modeling the attenuation in the reconstruction algorithm

After the tomographic reconstruction

Multiplication of the images by approximative coefficients (Chang algorithm)


Problems

Motion between emission and transmission


Noise propagation of transmission projections in the reconstructed images

Example


Coupe ventriculaire gauche petit axe




non atténué
 $A_{ant}/A_{inf}=1$




atténué
 $A_{ant}/A_{inf}=2,1$



correction de Chang
 $A_{ant}/A_{inf}=1,1$




Chang itératif
 $A_{ant}/A_{inf}=1,1$




correction lors de la
reconstruction
 $A_{ant}/A_{inf}=1,1$

Compton scatter



Possible Compton scatter
in the patient,
in the collimateur
in the crystal



Photon mispositioned in the projections
 Energy loss

$$E' = \frac{E}{1 + E (1 - \cos \theta)/m_0c^2}$$

Blurr
Loss in contrast
Quantitative bias





image fenêtre
 spectrométrique
 d'acquisition Tc99m
 (126-154 keV)

photons primaires

photons diffusés
 (37%)

Scatter is important in soft tissues
Compton cross sections increase when the energy decreases

More loss of energy for high scatter angles



Scatter correction

Eliminate scattered photons

During the acquisition

Requires a good energy resolution


Post treatment

By subtraction (previous scatter estimation)

Consider for scatter in the reconstruction algorithm

Correction example


Method proposed by Jaszczack




$$\hat{P}(i) = I_{20\%}(i) - \hat{D}(i)$$

• Hypothèse


$$\hat{D}(i) = k \cdot I_2(i)$$



• Correction





Non stationary spatial resolution




*Distorsion in the reconstructed images
Excentred sphere -> ellipsoid*

*Projections correction
Consider for the impulse function in the reconstruction algorithm*


Illustration




sans correction



avec correction




sans correction





avec correction

Partial volume effect



Under estimation of activity in small size structure Depends

- on object/background contrast
- on object dimension
- on system spatial resolution
- on the spatial sampling (pixel size?)
- on the considered Region of Interest (ROI)





contraste ∞

résolution spatiale
6 mm
12 mm


\Rightarrow affecte les structures de taille $< 2-3$ FWHM

Illustration (PET)



dimensions: $w \times h$

SUV = 2,2




Nodule dimension= 6mm
Coefficient = 70%

SUV (corrected) = 3,2

Calibration

Required for absolute quantification



Quantification
en Tomographie d'Emission
Monophotonique (SPECT)

Irène Buvat
U678 INSERM
Paris

<http://www.guillemet.org/irene>
buvat@imed.jussieu.fr