Search for collective phenomena in hadron interactions

E. Kokoulina, A. Kutov, V. Nikitin,
Yu.Petukhov and V. Popov.
For the SVD Collab.

Introduction

pp (pA) –> n, $n = n_{ch} + n_0$

Experiment at U-70, IHEP, Protvino
E_{lab} = 50 - 70 GeV

mean multiplicity: $\langle n_{ch} \rangle \sim 5$, $\langle n_0 \rangle \sim 2$

extreme (high) multiplicity (EM): $n >> \langle n \rangle$

Is dense medium formed at
the initial moment?

Introduction

We expect:

the collective behavior of secondary can be manifested at EM region.

Outline

- Extreme multiplicity puzzles
- SVD-2 setup and data processing
- Search for Collective phenomena
- Gluon Dominance Model
- Outlook

Extreme multiplicity puzzles

Extreme multiplicity puzzles



MC PHYPIA code has shown that standard generator predicts a value of the cross section at 70 GeV/c which is reasonably good agreement with data at small multiplicity, n_{ch}<10, but it underestimates the value $\sigma(n_{ch})$ by 2 orders of the magnitude at $n_{ch} > 18$.

Mirabelle: at 70 (50) GeV/c max n_{ch}= 18 (16).

Extreme multiplicity puzzles



The present-day models are very much sensitive in the EM region for the multiplicity distributions (MD)

CERN-PH-EP/2010-004
March 15, 2010

Charged-particle multiplicities in pp interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV measured with the ATLAS detector at the LHC

Extreme multiplicity puzzles



MD in e^+e^- -annihilation at √s=14, 56, 91 and 189 GeV: data and GDM (based on QCD-cascade and hadronization model).







Extreme multiplicity puzzles



3 possible scenarios for $\langle p_{||} \rangle$ and $\langle p_T \rangle$ at EM:

(?)

- $\langle p_{||} \rangle = \langle p_T \rangle$
- $\langle p_{||} \rangle > \langle p_T \rangle$
- $\langle p_{||} \rangle < \langle p_T \rangle$

SVD-2 setup and data processing

SVD-2 setup



- Hydrogen Target (HT)
- MicroStrip Vertex Detector (MSVD)
- Scintillator Hodoscope (ScH or HMTr)
- Drift Tube Tracker (DT)
- Magnetic Spectrometer (MS) with PC
- Cherenkov counter (Č)
- Electromagnetic Calorimeter (EMC)

U-70 at IHEP, Protvino, E= 50 GeV. The ScH selects the rare events with the EM. The suppression factor of events with lower multiplicity amounts about 10^4. HT is a 7cm - thick, 25mm -diameter vessel.

SVD-2 setup

Scintillator hodoscope (camomile) for the EM event registration

20 petals: 18mm-altitude, 1.8-mm thick coupled with PMT FEU-137-3.



The liquid-hydrogen target

Software development

- MC event generator is designed for the setup element simulation;
- Data processing software;
- Alignment procedure;
- Track and vertex reconstruction...

Software development

Kalman Filter as Track Fitter for SVD

1. Drift tubes calibration - from raw TDC time to drift distance.
2. Recognize track candidates – find track-like groups of hits: pattern recognition.
3. Taking into account REALITY: alignment.
4. From track candidates to real track parameters: track fitting.
5. From tracks to vertexes: vertex fitting.

Software development

Analysis sequence (some details)

1. VD + MS reconstruction: track parameter determination; using of MS data for momentum estimation and vertex finding.
2. DT track candidate finding separately in U, Y and V planes, then build 3D tracks.
3. DT + MS track fitting: track parameters from 1 as initial values, hit list from 2, then Kalman Filtering procedure.
4. During fit taking into account non-uniform magnetic field, multiple scattering, energy losses.
5. Re-fit vertexes, if it is necessary.
6. Kinematical fit.

Software development





MC simulation and reconstruction in DT and MS detectors

MSVD data



Multiplicity Distributions (MD) in pp interactions at the different trigger levels: 8, 10, 12. *run 2008,PVD.*

MSVD data and GDM



Run 2008,
50 GeV/c, MD in pp-interactions

8^{th} trigger-level
(8 x MIP)

Search for collective phenomena

Search for collective phenomena

1. Bose-Einstein Condensation (BEC);
2. Cherenkov gluon emission;
3. Gluon Dominance Model (GDM);
4. Excess of soft photon (SP) yield;
5. Clusterization; turbulence phenomena …

Seach for collective phenomena

M. Gorenstein and V. Begun had predicted an abrupt and anomalous increase of the scaled variance ω^0 of neutral and charged pion number fluctuations in the vicinity of the BEC line [Phys.Lett.B651:114 (2007)] .





Seach for collective phenomena

ω^0 - scaled variance

$$\omega^0 = < \Delta n_0^{\,2} > / < n_0 >$$

$$\Delta n_0^{\,2} = (n_0 - < n_0 >)^2$$

Seach for collective phenomena



The phase diagram of the ideal pion gas with zero net electric charge.

The dashed-dotted lines present the trajectories in ρ-T plane with fixed energy density at 9.7GeV.
V.Begun and M.Gorenstein Phys.Rev.C77:064903,2008

Seach for collective phenomena

n	n_{ch}= 2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	19	20	21	<n_0>
3	1*8												1.00
4	2*8	+0*198											0.08
5	3*8	+1*381											1.04
6	4*2	+2*425	+0*310										1.16
7	5*1	+3*285	+1*568										1.67
8	6*1	+4*191	+2*583	+0*751									1.27
9	7*1	+5*120	+3*443	+1*1369									1.71
10		6*56	+4*309	+2*1491	+ 0*434								1.99
11		7*24	+5*184	+3*1222	+ 1*835								2.47
12		8*10	+6*78	+4*836	+ 2*928	+0*157							2.86
13		9*2	+7*43	+5*472	+ 3*688	+1*305							3.34
14		10*2	+8*10	+6*231	+ 4*469	+2*371	+0*84						3.52
15		11*2	+9*9	+7*107	+ 5*265	+3*312	+1*157						3.83
16		12*1	+10*2	+ 8*64	+ 6*127	+4*188	+2*159	+0*74					3.86
17		13*1		+ 9*19	+ 7*49	+5*109	+3*127	+1*123					3.68
18				10*8	+ 8*39	+6*51	+4*79	+2*160	+0*8				3.87
19				11*5	+ 9*18	+7*28	+5*48	+3*109	+1*20	+0*3			4.33
20				12*3	+10*6	+8*16	+6*22	+4*70	+2*30	+1*11	+0*1		4.45
21					11*4	+9*8	+7*13	+5*53	+3*24	+2*8	+1*2	+0*2	4.93
22					12*1	+10*8	+8*7	+6*40	+4*16	+3*8	+2*7	+1*1	5.59
23						11*3	+9*3	+7*16	+5*11	+4*4	+3*1		6.47
24					14*1	+12*2	+10*3	+8*8	+6*6	+5*2	+4*3	+3*1	7.42
25							11*1	+9*8	+7*2	+6*2	+5*1		8.14
26					16*1	+14*1		+10*2	+8*3	+7*1		+5*2	9.1
27								11*5	+9*3	+8*1	+7*1		9.7
28								12*2	+10*3		+8*1		10.33
29								13*1					13.
30									12*1		+10*1		11.
Σ N_{ev}	29	1698	2539	6578	3865	1559	703	671	127	40	18	6	

Preliminary, work in progress

data will be added



Seach for collective phenomena

Two-hump structure in pp at n_{ch} >9 (2008 run)





Seach for collective phenomena



Monte-Carlo simulation & track reconstruction ($n_{ch} \geq 9$)

Seach for collective phenomena



Significance
~ 4 σ

3th order polynomial of background and two Gaussians of peaks

Seach for collective phenomena

Ring events or shock waves ?

Our experiment: $\cos \Theta_{Ch} = 1/\beta n, \quad \beta = p/E,$

n - the index of the refraction,

$\theta_{Ch} = 0.065 \pm 0.005$, **$n = 1.0023 \pm 0.0003$**

Dremin theory:

$n(p) = 1+\Delta n(p) = 1+3m_{pr}^3 \sigma(p) \nu_h \rho(p) / 8\pi p_{pr}$,

ν_h – the number of scatters, $\rho = \mathrm{Re}\ F / \mathrm{Im}\ F$,

$\Delta n(p) = 3 m_p^3 \mathrm{Re}\ F / 2p^2 = 0.0005 * \mathrm{Re}\ F$,

at $\mathrm{Re}\ F = 4.6$ GeV (0.92 fm)

Seach for collective phenomena

Dremin stresses (arXiv:0910.0099 [hep-ph]) RHIC and cosmic rays data were fitted with different values of the refraction index close to 3 and 1, correspondingly. He explains this distinction via the difference in values x and Q^2:

✓ The large x and Q^2 are related to the dilute parton system (our case)

✓The low x and Q^2 correspondents to a more dense system (RHIC).

Gluon Dominance Model

Gluon Dominance Model

$$e^+e^- \to \gamma(Z^0) \to q\bar{q} \to (q,g) \to ? \to hadrons$$

First stage (cascade):
a) gluon fission; b) quark bremsstrahlung; c) quark pair creation; NBD.

Second stage (hadronization): BD

$$Q_p^H = \left[1 + \frac{\bar{n}_p^h}{N_p}(z-1)\right]^{N_P}.$$

$$P_m = \frac{k_p(k_p+1)...(k_p+m-1)}{m!}\left(\frac{\bar{m}}{\bar{m}+k_p}\right)^m\left(\frac{k_p}{\bar{m}+k_p}\right)^{k_p}.$$

A.Giovannini. NP, B161 (1979).

Convolution of two stages.

Gluon Dominance Model

GDM for e^+e^-:
the mean hadron multiplicity formed from gluon, $\langle n^h_g \rangle$, while its passing through the hadronization stage is remained constant $\langle n^h_g \rangle \sim 1$ (14 -189 GeV).

Fragmentation mechanism:
1 parton $\rightarrow$ 1 hadron.

$$\frac{Baryon}{Meson} << 1$$



B.Muller [nucl-th/0404015]

Gluon Dominance Model

GDM had shown: quarks of initial protons are staying in leading particles (from U-70 up to ISR). Multiparticle production is realized by active gluons.

Two schemes: with/without gluon branch.
Convolution gluon (Poisson/Farry) & hadron (PBD) MDs.

Gluon Dominance Model

The recombination mechanism of hadronization: the increase of $\langle n^h_g \rangle$ from 1.6 at 70 GeV (U-70) up to 3.3 at 60 GeV (ISR) in pp-interactions.



B.Muller [nucl-th/0404015]

RHIC, in central AA–interactions:

$$\frac{Baryon}{Meson} \approx 1$$

GDM and Soft Photons (SP)

Experiment

$$p_t \leq 0.1 GeV/c,\ x \leq 0.01$$

SP rate is 5-8 times more for charged and~15-25 times more for neutrals in comparison with the QED predictions . DELPHI Collab. **Eur.Phys.J.C67,343, 2010.**

Assumption:

Parton system or excited new formed hadrons set in almost equilibrium state during a short period (we use the black body emission spectrum):

$$\sigma_\gamma \approx 4mb,\ \sigma_{in} \approx 40mb,\ \sigma_\gamma \approx n_\gamma(T) \cdot \sigma_{in} \rightarrow n_\gamma \approx 0.1$$

Estimations of SP emission region: <~ 4 fm.

Outlook

- ✓ The continuation of the search for the collective phenomena in pp (pA) interactions at the EM region: BEC, ring events (dense groups in angle distributions), clusterization, turbulence …
- ✓ Soft photon studies at the EM
- ✓ Autumn 2010 run. Carbon-nucleus program (~34 GeV/N) at U-70 in IHEP, Protvino