

<sup>1</sup>University of California San Diego (UCSD)

# Searches for Higgs boson rare decays

Speaker : Raffaele Gerosa<sup>1</sup>

On behalf of the CMS Collaboration









1

# **Higgs boson rare decays**

 Measurements of Higgs couplings and properties in the main decay channel shows good compatibility with the SM predictions

03/07/18





**CMS-HIG-17-031** 





# **Higgs to invisible searches**

- In the SM, Higgs decays to invisible particles (neutrinos) only via  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4v$  with BR of 0.1%
- We don't know enough about the SM Higgs  $\rightarrow$  plenty of room for couplings to a new hidden dark sector



03/07/18







# Higgs $\rightarrow$ inv. signatures



**Signature:** 2-jets with large  $\Delta \eta$  and m(jj)

- Large  $E_T^{miss} > 250 \text{ GeV}$
- $p_T(j_1) > 80 \text{ GeV}, p_T(j_2) > 40 \text{ GeV},$
- $|\Delta \eta(jj)| > 1$  and  $\Delta \varphi(jj) < 1.5$
- Veto leptons and b-tagged jets



### 03/07/18





# Higgs $\rightarrow$ inv. signal extraction





- Signal extracted by fitting the m(jj) spectrum
- Main bkg: Z(vv)+jets and W+jets from both QCD and EW productions
- **Bkg estimation:** via dedicated control samples i.e.  $Z(\mu\mu)$ , Z(ee),  $W(\mu v)$  and W(ev)

- Signal extracted by fitting the output of a BDT classifier
- Main bkg: ZZ, WZ and residuals from WW and top backgrounds
- **Bkg estimation:** via dedicated control samples i.e. ZZ(4I), WZ(3Iv)

### 03/07/18





- Signal extracted by fitting the p<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> spectrum
- Main bkg: Z(vv)+jets and W+jets
- **Bkg estimation:** via dedicated control samples i.e.  $Z(\mu\mu)$ , Z(ee),  $W(\mu v)$ , W(ev) and  $\gamma$ +jets







### 03/07/18

### **Raffaele Gerosa**

Limits still two-order of magnitude weaker than BR(H $\rightarrow$ ZZ\* $\rightarrow$ 4v)

![](_page_5_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Search for $H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

• First channel that will provide evidence for coupling of the Higgs to the 2<sup>nd</sup> fermion generation

### Experimental signature

- **Clean**: two oppositely charged and isolated muons
- Additional jet activity, b-jets and p<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> used to distinguish between production modes

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_9.jpeg)

CMS Experiment at LHC. CERN ed: Tue May 31 09:22:03 2016 CEST

![](_page_6_Picture_11.jpeg)

- background
- To increase S/B:

![](_page_6_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_17.jpeg)

• Signal extracted by fitting the  $m_{\mu\mu}$  spectrum  $\rightarrow$  tiny peak above a falling

• Exploit at best kinematic differences between S and B

• Exploit at best the evolution of the  $m_{\mu\mu}$  resolution in the detector

![](_page_6_Picture_25.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_26.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_27.jpeg)

## **Event classification**

Events are first categorized according to kinematic properties:

### **BDT discriminant**

- Input observables mostly uncorrelated with m<sub>µµ</sub>
- Di-muon system:  $\mathbf{p}_{T}$ ,  $\Delta \eta$ ,  $\Delta \phi \rightarrow \mathbf{separate ggH vs Z/\gamma^*}$
- If at least two jets:  $\Delta \eta(jj)$  and  $m_{jj} \rightarrow VBF$ -tag
- Other properties:  $N_{jets}$ ,  $N_{bjets}$ ,  $p_T^{miss} \rightarrow suppress top bkg$

### 7-BDT categories used to distinguish between production modes — VBF is the most sensitive category

### **BDT** categories are further breakdown according to the η of the forward muon to gauge the peak resolution

- Muon  $p_T$  resolution mostly evolve with  $\eta$
- 3  $\eta$ -regions:  $|\eta| < 0.9$ ,  $0.9 < |\eta| < 1.9$  and  $1.9 < |\eta| < 2.4$

![](_page_7_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_12.jpeg)

**CMS-HIG-17-019** 

![](_page_7_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_21.jpeg)

# Upper limits on BR( $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$ )

### In each of the 15 event categories

- Signal is extracted by fitting the  $m_{\mu\mu}$  spectrum
- Background fit functions vary per-category
- True form for the background is unknown
- Functional form chosen in each category to  $\bullet$ minimise the bias on the signal strength

![](_page_8_Figure_6.jpeg)

03/07/18

**CMS-HIG-17-019** 

![](_page_8_Figure_9.jpeg)

Combination of 7+8+13 TeV analyses performed

![](_page_8_Figure_11.jpeg)

(assuming SM) is < 5.7 (5.1) x 10<sup>-4</sup>, 2.6 (1.9) x SM

![](_page_8_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Analysis strategy: signal extracted by fitting the m( $l\gamma$ ) spectrum  $\rightarrow$  tiny peak above a falling background

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

• Events classified to distinguish between production modes and to follow the evolution of the peak resolution

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

- **VBF category:**  $N_{jets} \ge 2, \Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5, m_{jj} > 500 \text{ GeV},$  $\Delta \phi(jj, \ell \ell \gamma) > 2.4$
- **Untagged categories:** defined according to the photon energy resolution evolution

### *H*<sub>125</sub> acceptance x efficiency ~ 26%

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **Event selection**

![](_page_10_Figure_11.jpeg)

H<sub>125</sub> acceptance x efficiency 22-29%

Gain in sensitivity from categorisation ~ 18%

![](_page_10_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_15.jpeg)

11

# Upper limits on BR( $H \rightarrow \ell \ell \gamma$ )

- Signal is extracted by fitting the m<sub>eev</sub> spectrum
- Background fit functions vary per-category
- True form for the background is unknown
- Functional form chosen to minimise the bias on the signal strength

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

• Results obtained assuming  $m_{H} = 125 \text{ GeV}$ 

![](_page_11_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

• *Motivation:* search for  $H \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$  decays used to couplings to the second generation of quarks

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Cons: very small decay rate  $\rightarrow$  few signal events expected given Run-II statistics
- **Pros:** looking at  $J/\psi \to \mu\mu$  provides clean signature w.r.t. searches for  $H \to cc$
- Main backgrounds:  $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$  and  $H \rightarrow \gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_13.jpeg)

Complementary with "standard"  $H \rightarrow cc$  searches

![](_page_12_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_19.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Selections:  $\Delta R(\mu,\gamma) > 1$ ,  $\Delta R(\mu\mu,\gamma) > 2$ ,  $|\Delta \phi(\mu\mu,\gamma)| > 1.5$  and  $3.0 < m(\mu\mu) < 3.2$  GeV
- Analysis strategy: despite the m( $\mu\mu$ ) requirement, very similar to those of the H $\rightarrow\gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$  search

### **Event classification**

Very low signal rate  $\rightarrow$  production-mode or resolution based categories are not introduced

### *H*<sub>125</sub> acceptance x efficiency ~ 22%

Decay	Category	Data	Signal	Background
	Production			-
	ggF		$7.1 \times 10^{-2}$	0.2
	VBF		$3.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$9.4 imes10^{-3}$
${ m H}  ightarrow { m J}/\psi ~\gamma$	ZH	279	$7.1  imes 10^{-4}$	$2.3 imes10^{-3}$
	$W^+H$		$6.0 imes10^{-4}$	$1.0 imes10^{-3}$
	W <sup>-</sup> H		$4.5 imes10^{-4}$	$1.3 imes10^{-3}$
	ttH		$2.7 imes10^{-4}$	$1.3  imes 10^{-3}$

Background refers to only  $H \rightarrow \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow \mu \mu \gamma$  contribution

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Analysis strategy and results

![](_page_13_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_17.jpeg)

- Extensive, on-going program at CMS searching for Higgs rare decays:
  - Probing couplings of the Higgs boson to 2<sup>nd</sup> generation of leptons and quarks
  - Searching for couplings of the Higgs boson to invisible particles
  - Searching for sign of BSM physics in loops (Z/ $\gamma$  and J/ $\psi\gamma$  decays)

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Summary

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_11.jpeg)

## **Backup slides**

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Search for lepton-flavour-violation in Higgs decays in the  $e\tau$ ,  $\mu\tau$  channels
- Physics scenarios for LFV decays: composite Higgs, supers-symmetry, RS models, 2HDM ...etc...
- BR of  $\tau$ -lepton to muons and electrons may be modified if LFV Higgs decays are predicted • Present  $\tau$ -lepton decays measurements provide bounds on B(H $\rightarrow\mu\tau$ ) and B(H $\rightarrowe\tau$ ) to be < 10% • H $\rightarrow$ µe not interesting because constrained by BR(µ $\rightarrow$ eγ) to be < 10<sup>-9</sup>
- Event classification: according to the number of jets to distinguish between production modes

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

• Final states explored:  $H \rightarrow \mu \tau_h$ ,  $H \rightarrow e \tau_h$ ,  $H \rightarrow \mu \tau_e$  and  $H \rightarrow e \tau_\mu$ . Same flavour lepton final-states are overwhelmed by Z+jets

![](_page_16_Picture_18.jpeg)

# **Higgs LFV: final states**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Higgs LFV: uncertainties**

Systematic uncertainty Muon trigger/identification/isolation Electron trigger/identification/isolation Hadronic tau lepton efficiency b tagging veto

 $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ , ee + jets background  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$  + jets background W + jets background QCD multijet background WW, ZZ background tī background  $W\gamma$  background Single top quark background

 $\mu \rightarrow \tau_{\rm h}$  background  $e \rightarrow \tau_h$  background Jet  $\rightarrow \tau_h$ ,  $\mu$ , e background Jet energy scale  $\tau_{\rm h}$  energy scale  $\mu$ , e  $\rightarrow \tau_{\rm h}$  energy scale e energy scale  $\mu$  energy scale Unclustered energy scale

Renorm./fact. scales (ggH) [?] Renorm./fact. scales (VBF and VH) [? PDF +  $\alpha_s$  (ggH) [?] PDF +  $\alpha_s$  (VBF and VH) [?] Renorm./fact. acceptance (ggH) Renorm./fact. acceptance (VBF and V PDF +  $\alpha_s$  acceptance (ggH) PDF +  $\alpha_s$  acceptance (VBF and VH)

Integrated luminosity

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

	${ m H}  ightarrow \mu  au_{ m h}$	$H \rightarrow \mu \tau_e$	$H \to e \tau_h$	${ m H}  ightarrow { m e}  au_{\mu}$
L	2%	2%		2%
on		2%	2%	2%
	5%	—	5%	—
	2.0-4.5%	2.0-4.5%		2.0-4.5%
		10%⊕5%		10%⊕5%
	$10\%{\oplus}5\%$	$10\%{\oplus}5\%$	$10\%{\oplus}5\%$	$10\%{\oplus}5\%$
		10%		10%
		30%		30%
	5%⊕5%	5%⊕5%	5%⊕5%	5%⊕5%
	$10\%{\oplus}5\%$	$10\%{\oplus}5\%$	$10\%{\oplus}5\%$	$10\%{\oplus}5\%$
		$10\%{\oplus}5\%$	_	$10\%{\oplus}5\%$
	5%⊕5%	5%⊕5%	5%⊕5%	5%⊕5%
	25%			_
			12%	—
	30%⊕10%		30%⊕10%	—
	3–20%	3–20%	3-20%	3–20%
	1.2%		1.2%	—
	1.5%		3%	_
		0.1 – 0.5%	0.1 – 0.5%	0.1 – 0.5%
	0.2%	0.2%		0.2%
	$\pm 1\sigma$	$\pm 1\sigma$	$\pm 1\sigma$	$\pm 1\sigma$
		3.9	9%	
1		0.4	<b>L</b> %	
4		3.2	2%	
		2.1	%	
		-3.0% -	- +2.0%	
H)		-0.3% -	-+1.0%	
,		-1.5% -	- +0.5%	
		-1.5% -	-+1.0%	
		2.5	5%	

![](_page_18_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_13.jpeg)

## $H \rightarrow \mu \tau_h, \mu \tau_e$ results

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow \mu \tau_h, \mu \tau_e$ results

		Exp	ected limits (%)				
	0-jet	1-jet	2-jets	VBF	Combin		
$\mu \tau_{\rm e}$	< 0.83	<1.19	<1.98	<1.62	< 0.59		
$\mu \tau_{\rm h}$	< 0.43	< 0.56	< 0.94	< 0.58	< 0.29		
μτ			< 0.25				
		Obse	erved limits (%)	)			
	0-jet	1-jet	2-jets	VBF	Combin		
$\mu \tau_{\rm e}$	<1.30	<1.34	<2.27	<1.79	< 0.86		
$\mu \tau_{\rm h}$	< 0.51	< 0.53	< 0.56	< 0.51	< 0.27		
μτ		< 0.25					
		Best fit br	anching fraction	ns (%)			
	0-jet	1-jet	2-jets	VBF	Combin		
$\mu \tau_{\rm e}$	$0.61 \pm 0.36$	$0.22\pm0.46$	$0.39\pm0.83$	$0.10\pm1.37$	$0.35 \pm 0.01$		
$\mu \tau_{\rm h}$	$0.12\pm0.20$	$-0.05\pm0.25$	$-0.72\pm0.43$	$-0.22\pm0.31$	$-0.04\pm 0$		
μτ			$0.00\pm0.12$				

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

JHEP 06 (2018) 001

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **Raffaele Gerosa**

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

21

### $H \rightarrow e \tau_h, e \tau_e results$

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow e \tau_h, e \tau_e results$

		Expec	cted limits (%)				
	0-jet	1-jet	2-jets	VBF	Combin		
$\mathrm{e} au_{\mu}$	< 0.90	<1.59	<2.54	<1.84	< 0.6		
$e\tau_h$	< 0.79	<1.13	< 1.59	< 0.74	< 0.49		
$e\tau$			< 0.37				
		Obser	ved limits (%)				
	0-jet	1-jet	2-jets	VBF	Combin		
$e au_{\mu}$	<1.22	<1.66	<2.25	<1.10	< 0.7		
$e\tau_{h}$	< 0.73	< 0.81	< 1.94	< 1.49	< 0.72		
eτ			< 0.61				
		Best fit brar	nching fractions	s (%)			
	0-jet	1-jet	2-jets	VBF	Combin		
$\mathrm{e} au_{\mu}$	$0.47\pm0.42$	$0.17\pm0.79$	$-0.42\pm1.01$	$-1.54\pm0.44$	$0.18 \pm 0.00$		
$\mathrm{e} au_{\mathrm{h}}^{'}$	$-0.13\pm0.39$	$-0.63\pm0.40$	$0.54\pm0.53$	$0.70\pm0.38$	$0.33 \pm 0$		
$e\tau$			$0.30\pm0.18$				

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

JHEP 06 (2018) 001

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Upper limits on BR( $H \rightarrow \mu \tau$ ) and BR( $H \rightarrow e \tau$ )

	Observed (expe	ected) limits (%)	Best fit branching fraction (%)		
	BDT fit	$M_{\rm col}$ fit	BDT fit	$M_{\rm col}$ fit	
$H \rightarrow \mu \tau$	<0.25 (0.25)%	<0.51 (0.49) %	$0.00 \pm 0.12$ %	$0.02 \pm 0.20$ %	
${ m H}  ightarrow { m e}  au$	<0.61 (0.37) %	<0.72 (0.56) %	$0.30 \pm 0.18~\%$	$0.23\pm0.24~\%$	

### No excess compared to b-only prediction from SM

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

### 03/07/18

### Translation on sounds for LFV Yukawa couplings

![](_page_23_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **Raffaele Gerosa**

![](_page_23_Picture_12.jpeg)

24

## **VBF** H<sub>inv</sub> : additional material

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

03/07/18

CMS-HIG-17-023

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

**Background prediction** from control-regions

Background prediction performing a full b-only fit including also the SR

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_11.jpeg)

## **VBF** H<sub>inv</sub> : additional material

### Validation of the systematic uncertainties on the Z/W ratio

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

03/07/18

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Impact on the BR(H<sub>inv</sub>) measurement

Source of uncertainty	Ratios	Uncertainty vs <i>m</i> <sub>jj</sub>	Impact on $\mathcal{B}($			
	Theoretical unce	rtainties	•			
Ren. scale V+jets (EW)	$Z(\nu\nu)/W(\ell\nu)$ (EW)	9–12%	48%			
Ren. scale V+jets (QCD)	$Z(\nu\nu)/W(\ell\nu)$ (QCD)	9–12%	23%			
Fac. scale V+jets (EW)	$Z(\nu\nu)/W(\ell\nu)$ (EW)	2–7%	4%			
Fac. scale V+jets (QCD)	$Z(\nu\nu)/W(\ell\nu)$ (QCD)	2–7%	2%			
PDF V+jets (QCD)	$Z(\nu\nu)/W(\ell\nu)$ (QCD)	0.5–1%	< 1%			
PDF V+jets (EW)	$Z(\nu\nu)/W(\ell\nu)$ (EW)	0.5–1%	< 1%			
NLO EW corr.	$Z(\nu\nu)/W(\ell\nu)$ (QCD)	1–2%	< 1%			
	Experimental uncertainties					
Muon reco. eff.	$W(\mu\nu)/W(\ell\nu), Z(\mu\mu)/Z(\nu\nu)$	$\approx 1\%$ (per leg)	8%			
Ele. reco. eff.	$W(e\nu)/W(\ell\nu), Z(ee)/Z(\nu\nu)$	pprox 1% (per leg)	3%			
Muon id. eff.	$W(\mu\nu)/W(\ell\nu), Z(\mu\mu)/Z(\nu\nu)$	pprox 1% (per leg)	8%			
Ele. id. eff.	$W(e\nu)/W(\ell\nu), Z(ee)/Z(\nu\nu)$	pprox 1.5% (per leg)	4%			
Muon veto	$W(CRs)/W(\ell\nu), Z(\nu\nu)/W(\ell\nu)$	$\approx$ 2.5 (2)% for EW (QCD)	7%			
Ele. veto	$W(CRs)/W(\ell\nu), Z(\nu\nu)/W(\ell\nu)$	$\approx 1.5 (1)\%$ for EW (QCD)	5%			
au veto	$W(CRs)/W(\ell\nu), Z(\nu\nu)/W(\ell\nu)$	$\approx$ 3.5 (3)% for EW (QCD)	13%			
Jet energy scale	$Z(CRs)/Z(\nu\nu), W(CRs)/W(\ell\nu)$	$\approx 1 (2)\%$ for Z/Z (W/W)	2%			
Ele. trigger	$W(e\nu)/W(\ell\nu), Z(ee)/Z(\nu\nu)$	$\approx 1\%$	< 1%			
$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ trigger	All ratios	pprox 2%	18%			

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Shape analysis based fitting the m(jj) spectrum

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

Background prediction from control-regions

03/07/18

performing a full b-only fit including also the SR

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Counting experiment + shapes from MC

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_11.jpeg)

## **VBF** H<sub>inv</sub> : additional material

### Shape analysis: background prediction from control-regions

Process		<i>m</i> <sub>ij</sub> range in TeV							
	0.2–0.4	0.4–0.6	0.6–0.9	0.9–1.2	1.2–1.5	1.5–2.0	2.0–2.75	2.75–3.5	> 3.5
$Z(\nu\nu)$ (QCD)	$9367 \pm 394$	$5716 \pm 256$	$3925 \pm 184$	$1665 \pm 84$	$675 \pm 43$	$406 \pm 26$	$151 \pm 14$	$22.6 \pm 3.6$	$7.5 \pm 2.1$
Z( u u) (EW)	$202\pm 8$	$230 \pm 10$	$  278 \pm 13$	$203 \pm 10$	$131 \pm 8$	$115 \pm 8$	$71.3 \pm 6.6$	$  20.9 \pm 3.4$	$11.6 \pm 3.1$
$W(\ell\nu)$ (QCD)	$4786 \pm 252$	$3046 \pm 165$	$  2122 \pm 125 $	$936\pm58$	$361 \pm 29$	$232 \pm 19$	$79.3 \pm 8.9$	$  13.4 \pm 2.8$	$4.3\pm1.5$
$W(\ell \nu)$ (EW)	$101 \pm 15$	$118 \pm 16$	$  135 \pm 18$	$102 \pm 13$	$61.4 \pm 7.9$	$62.2 \pm 7.9$	$39.9 \pm 4.8$	$  13.3 \pm 1.8$	$5.6 \pm 1.4$
Top-quark	$206 \pm 32$	$161 \pm 25$	$  124 \pm 19$	$60.7 \pm 9.3$	$31.6 \pm 6.1$	$18.3 \pm 2.9$	$11.1 \pm 1.8$	$  2.8 \pm 0.5$	$0.9 \pm 0.2$
Dibosons	$219 \pm 39$	$158\pm28$	$  119 \pm 21$	$50.9 \pm 9.1$	$19.5 \pm 3.5$	$10.4 \pm 1.8$	$2.8 \pm 0.5$	$  1.4 \pm 0.3$	$0.4\pm0.1$
Others	$77.5 \pm 19.5$	$51.5 \pm 11.5$	$  43.8 \pm 10.7$	$14.3\pm2.9$	$6.9 \pm 1.5$	$3.7\pm0.8$	$2.5 \pm 0.6$	$0.7 \pm 0.3$	$0.3\pm0.4$
Total Bkg.	$14960\pm563$	$9482 \pm 378$	$6738 \pm 281$	$3032 \pm 135$	$1286 \pm 73$	$849 \pm 48$	$358\pm28$	$75.3 \pm 9.8$	$\boxed{29.9\pm7.2}$
Data	16181	10035	7312	3154	1453	919	411	88	29
Signal	$591 \pm 285$	$571 \pm 232$	$566 \pm 172$	$472 \pm 131$	$\boxed{307\pm64}$	$344 \pm 83$	$228 \pm 40$	$90.3 \pm 18.8$	$37.4 \pm 9.1$

### *Cut-and-count analysis:* background prediction from control-regions

Process	Signal Region	Dimuon CR	Dielectron CR	Single-Muon CR	Single-Electron CR
$Z(\nu\nu)$ (QCD)	$799\pm72$	-	-	-	-
$Z(\nu\nu)$ (EW)	$275\pm34$	-	-	-	-
$Z(\ell\ell)$ (QCD)	-	$90.1\pm7.9$	$64.7\pm5.8$	$26.8\pm1.2$	$4.9\pm0.2$
$Z(\ell\ell)$ (EW)	-	$32.7\pm4.3$	$25.0\pm3.4$	$5.9\pm0.3$	$2.4\pm0.2$
$W(\ell\nu)$ (QCD)	$497\pm33$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.8\pm0.6$	$891\pm31$	$533 \pm 21$
$W(\ell \nu)$ (EW)	$145\pm11$	$0.1\pm0.1$	-	$416\pm16$	$260 \pm 11$
Top-quark	$43.7\pm9.8$	$5.3 \pm 1.6$	$3.7 \pm 1.1$	$126\pm22$	$83.1\pm15.4$
Dibosons	$19.9\pm6.1$	$2.6 \pm 1.3$	$0.9\pm0.5$	$23.5\pm4.9$	$16.1\pm4.1$
Others	$3.3\pm2.6$	-	-	$25.6\pm20.7$	$2.9\pm2.9$
Total Bkg.	$1784\pm97$	$131\pm 8$	$95.2\pm5.9$	$1515\pm34$	$902\pm24$
Data	2053	114	104	1512	914
Signal $m_{\rm H} = 125 {\rm GeV}$	$851 \pm 148$	-	-	-	-

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

**CMS-HIG-17-023** 

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_11.jpeg)

## **VBF** H<sub>inv</sub> : additional material

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hinv limits in case of deviations from SM couplings  $\rightarrow$  with 95% CL region, BR(H<sub>inv</sub>) limit moves from 0.17 to 0.29 (observed limit)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

CMS-HIG-17-023

Higgs portal of DM interactions: limit on the spin-independent DM-nucleon scattering cross section given  $m_{DM} < m_H / 2$ 

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **ZH**<sub>inv</sub>: additional material

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

03/07/18

Selection	Requirement	Reject
$N_\ell$	=2	WZ, VVV
$n^{\ell}$	>25/20 GeV for electrons	OCD
PΤ	>20 GeV for muons	QCD
Z boson mass requirement	$ m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z  < 15 (30) \text{GeV}$	WW, top quark
Jet counting	$\leq 1$ jet with $p_{\rm T}^{j} > 30 {\rm GeV}$	$Z/\gamma^*  ightarrow \ell\ell$ , top quark, VVV
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell\ell}$	>60 GeV	$\mathrm{Z}/\gamma^*  o \ell \ell$
b tagging veto	CSVv2 < 0.8484	Top quark, VVV
$\tau$ lepton veto	$0 \tau_{\rm h}$ cand. with $p_{\rm T}^{\tau} > 18 {\rm GeV}$	WZ
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	>100 GeV (130 GeV, training only)	$\mathrm{Z}/\gamma^*  o \ell \ell$ , WW, top quark
$\Delta \phi(ec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{ j}, ec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{ \mathrm{miss}})$	>0.5 rad	$\mathrm{Z}/\gamma^*  ightarrow \ell\ell$ , $\mathrm{WZ}$
$\Delta \phi(ec{p}_{ ext{T}}^{\ell\ell}$ , $ec{p}_{ ext{T}}^{ ext{miss}})$	>2.6 rad (omitted)	$\mathrm{Z}/\gamma^*  o \ell \ell$
$ p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} - p_{\mathrm{T}}^{-\ell\ell} $ / $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell\ell}$	<0.4 (omitted)	$\mathrm{Z}/\gamma^*  o \ell \ell$
$\Delta \bar{R}_{\ell\ell}$	< 1.8 (omitted)	WW, top quark
ZZ - WZ - Other bkg. Nonresonant - Drell-Yan - ZH(125) - Bkg. unc		
0.4 0.6 0.8 BDT classifie		

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **ZH**inv: additional material

			Effec	ct (%)		Impact on the
Source of uncertainty	Signal	ZZ	WZ	NRB	DY	exp. limit (%)
* VV EW corrections		10	-4		_	14 (12)
* Renorm./fact. scales, VV		9	4			
* Renorm./fact. scales, ZH	3.5					
* Renorm./fact. scales, DM	5					
* PDF, WZ background			1.5	_		$\mathcal{O}(1)$
* PDF, ZZ background		1.5				$\angle (1)$
* PDF, Higgs boson signal	1.5					
* PDF, DM signal	1–2					
* MC sample size, NRB				5		
* MC sample size, DY					30	
* MC sample size, ZZ		0.1				1
* MC sample size, WZ			2			T
* MC sample size, ZH	1					
* MC sample size, DM	3					
NRB extrapolation to the SR				20		<1
DY extrapolation to the SR					100	<1
Lepton efficiency (WZ CR)			3			<1
Nonprompt bkg. (WZ CR)		—			30	<1
Integrated luminosity			2	.5		<1
* Electron efficiency			1	.5		
* Muon efficiency			-	1		
* Electron energy scale			1-	-2		
* Muon energy scale			1-	-2		
* Jet energy scale	1–3 (typ	pically	y antic	orrelated	d w/ yield)	1 (<1)
* Jet energy resolution		1 (ty	picall	y anticoi	rr.)	
* Unclustered energy ( $p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ )	1–4 (typically anticorr.), strong in DY					
* Pileup	1	(typio	cally a	nticorrel	ated)	
* b tagging eff. & mistag rate				1		
* BDT: electron energy scale	1.1	2.9	2.6			
* BDT: muon energy scale	1.5	4.3	2.7			— (2)
* BDT: $p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ scale	1.0	3.2	4.1			

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

Eur. Phy	ys. J. C	78 (	201
----------	----------	------	-----

![](_page_30_Picture_7.jpeg)

31

# ggH<sub>inv</sub> and V(qq)H<sub>inv</sub>: additional material

### Signal region selections

Variable	Selection	Target background		
Muon (electron) veto	$p_{\rm T} > 10 {\rm GeV}, \  \eta  < 2.4(2.5)$	$Z(\ell\ell)$ +jets, $W(\ell\nu)$ +jets		
au lepton veto	$p_{\rm T} > 18 { m GeV}, \  \eta  < 2.3$	$Z(\ell\ell)$ +jets, $W(\ell\nu)$ +jets		
Photon veto	$p_{\rm T} > 15 { m GeV}, \;  \eta  < 2.5$	$\gamma+{ m jets}$		
Bottom jet veto	CSVv2 < 0.8484, $p_{\rm T}$ > 15 GeV, $ \eta $ < 2.4	Top quark		
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	>250 GeV	QCD, top quark, $Z(\ell \ell)$ +jets		
$\Delta \phi(\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{jet}},\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}})$	>0.5 radians	QCD		
Leading AK4 jet $p_{\rm T}$ and $\eta$	$> 100{ m GeV}$ and $ \eta  < 2.4$	All		
Le	ading AK8 jet Mono-V select	ion		
$p_{\mathrm{T}}$	and $\eta$ >250 GeV and $ \eta $	< 2.4		

	$\tau_2/\tau_1$	< 0.6
	Mass ( $m_{jet}$ )	$65 < m_{jet} < 105  \text{GeV}$
-		

### Z/γ and Z/W ratio theoretical uncertainties

Uncertainty source	Process (magnitude)	Correlation	
Fact. & renorm. scales (QCD)	$\begin{array}{l} Z \rightarrow \nu\nu/W \rightarrow \ell\nu \ (0.1-0.5\%) \\ Z \rightarrow \nu\nu/\gamma + \text{jets} \ (0.2-0.5\%) \end{array}$	Correlated between processes; and in $p_{\rm T}$	
$p_{\rm T}$ shape dependence (QCD)	$egin{aligned} & Z  ightarrow  u  u / W  ightarrow \ell  u \ (0.4 - 0.1\%) \ & Z  ightarrow  u  u /  u + jets \ (0.1 - 0.2\%) \end{aligned}$	Correlated between processes; and in $p_{\rm T}$	
Process dependence (QCD)	$\begin{array}{l} Z \rightarrow \nu\nu/W \rightarrow \ell\nu \ (0.4-1.5\%) \\ Z \rightarrow \nu\nu/\gamma + jets \ (1.5-3.0\%) \end{array}$	Correlated between processes; and in $p_{\rm T}$	
Effects of unknown Sudakov logs (EW)	$Z \rightarrow \nu \nu / W \rightarrow \ell \nu \ (0 - 0.5\%)$ $Z \rightarrow \nu \nu / \gamma + jets \ (0.1 - 1.5\%)$	Correlated between processes; and in $p_{\rm T}$	
Missing NNLO effects (EW)	$Z \rightarrow \nu \nu \ (0.2 - 3.0\%)$ $W \rightarrow \ell \nu \ (0.4 - 4.5\%)$ $\gamma + \text{jets} \ (0.1 - 1.0\%)$	Uncorrelated between processes; correlated in $p_{\rm T}$	
Effects of NLL Sudakov approx. (EW)	$\begin{array}{l} Z  ightarrow  u  u \ (0.2 - 4.0\%) \ W  ightarrow \ell  u \ (0 - 1.0\%) \ \gamma +  ext{jets} \ (0.1 - 3.0\%) \end{array}$	Uncorrelated between processes; correlated in $p_{\rm T}$	
Unfactorized mixed QCD-EW corrections	$\begin{array}{l} Z \rightarrow \nu\nu/W \rightarrow \ell\nu \ (0.15-0.3\%) \\ Z \rightarrow \nu\nu/\gamma + \text{jets} \ (<0.1\%) \end{array}$	Correlated between processes; and in $p_{\rm T}$	
PDF	$\begin{array}{l} Z \rightarrow \nu\nu/W \rightarrow \ell\nu \ (0-0.3\%) \\ Z \rightarrow \nu\nu/\gamma + jets \ (0-0.6\%) \end{array}$	Correlated between processes; and in $p_{\rm T}$	

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_10.jpeg)

# ggH<sub>inv</sub> and V(qq)H<sub>inv</sub>: additional material

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

03/07/18

**Raffaele Gerosa** 

33

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

# ggH<sub>inv</sub> and V(qq)H<sub>inv</sub>: additional material

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

03/07/18

**Raffaele Gerosa** 

34

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_13.jpeg)

# $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$ : additional material

Index	BDT quantile	Max. muon $ \eta $	ggH	VBF	WH	ZH	ttH	Signal	Bkg./GeV	FWHM	Bkg. functional	$S/\sqrt{B}$
			[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]		@125GeV	[GeV]	fit form	@ FWHM
0	0 - 8%	$ \eta  < 2.4$	4.9	1.3	3.3	6.3	31.9	21.2	3150.5	4.2	mBW ·B <sub>deg4</sub>	0.12
1	8-39%	$1.9 <  \eta  < 2.4$	5.6	1.7	3.9	3.5	1.3	22.3	1327.5	7.3	mBW ·B <sub>deg4</sub>	0.16
2	8-39%	$0.9 <  \eta  < 1.9$	10.3	2.8	6.5	6.4	5.2	41.1	2222.2	4.1	mBW · B <sub>deg4</sub>	0.29
3	8-39%	$ \eta  < 0.9$	3.2	0.8	1.9	2.1	3.5	12.7	775.9	2.9	mBW · B <sub>deg4</sub>	0.17
4	39 - 61%	$1.9 <  \eta  < 2.4$	2.9	1.7	2.7	2.7	0.3	11.8	435.0	7.0	mBW · B <sub>deg4</sub>	0.14
5	39 - 61%	$0.9 <  \eta  < 1.9$	7.2	3.3	6.1	5.2	1.3	29.2	955.9	4.1	mBW · B <sub>deg4</sub>	0.31
6	39 - 61%	$ \eta  < 0.9$	3.6	1.1	2.6	2.2	0.9	14.5	479.3	2.8	mBW · B <sub>deg4</sub>	0.26
7	61 - 76%	$1.9 <  \eta  < 2.4$	1.2	1.5	1.8	1.7	0.2	5.2	146.6	7.6	mBW · B <sub>deg4</sub>	0.11
8	61 - 76%	$0.9 <  \eta  < 1.9$	4.8	3.6	4.5	4.4	0.7	20.3	514.3	4.2	mBW · B <sub>deg4</sub>	0.29
9	61 - 76%	$ \eta  < 0.9$	3.2	1.6	2.3	2.1	0.6	13.1	319.7	3.0	mBW	0.28
10	76 - 91%	$1.9 <  \eta  < 2.4$	1.2	3.1	2.2	2.1	0.2	5.8	102.4	7.2	Sum Exp(n=2)	0.14
11	76 - 91%	$0.9 <  \eta  < 1.9$	4.4	8.7	6.2	6.0	1.1	20.3	363.3	4.2	mBW	0.34
12	76 - 91%	$ \eta  < 0.9$	3.1	4.0	3.8	3.6	0.9	13.7	230.0	3.2	mBW ·B <sub>deg4</sub>	0.34
13	91 - 95%	$ \eta  < 2.4$	1.7	6.4	2.5	2.6	0.5	8.6	95.5	4.0	mBW	0.28
14	95 - 100%	$ \eta  < 2.4$	2.0	19.4	1.5	1.4	0.7	13.7	82.4	4.2	mBW	0.47
overall			59.1	61.1	51.8	52.3	49.2	253.3	12961.5	3.9		

At low BDT score  $\rightarrow$  best categories are those for which the peak resolution is better (central muons)

At high BDT score — not eta-categories but sensitivity larger because of smaller background (VBF-tag)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_10.jpeg)

# $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$ : additional material

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

Modelled with a sum-of-three Gaussians All categories summed by weighting for S/(S+B)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

**CMS-HIG-17-019** 

![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)

p-value of the b-only hypothesis given the observed data and the possible presence of a signal at a given  $m_H$ 

p-value obs. (exp.) is about  $1\sigma$  for m<sub>H</sub> = 125 GeV

![](_page_35_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

### 03/07/18

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow \mu \mu \gamma$ categories

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_11.jpeg)

Category	${ m e^+e^-\gamma}$	$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
Lepton tag	Additional electron ( $p_{\rm T} > 7  {\rm GeV}$	) or muon ( $p_{\rm T} > 5  { m GeV}$ )
Dijet tag	At least 2 jets required	At least 2 jets required
Boosted	$p_{\rm T}({ m ee}\gamma) > 60{ m GeV}$	$p_{\rm T}(\mu\mu\gamma) > 60{ m GeV}$
Untagged 1	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ $R_9 > 0.94$	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.1$ and one lepton $0 <  \eta  < 0.9$ $R_9 > 0.94$
Untagged 2	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ $R_9 < 0.94$	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.1$ and one lepton $0 <  \eta  < 0.9$ $R_9 < 0.94$
Untagged 3	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ At least one lepton $1.4442 <  \eta  < 2.5$ No requirement on $R_9$	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons in $ \eta  > 0.9$ or one lepton in $2.1 <  \eta  < 2.4$ No requirement on $R_9$
Untagged 4	Photon 1.566 $<  \eta  < 2.5$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.5$ No requirement on $R_9$	Photon 1.566 $<  \eta  < 2.5$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.4$ No requirement on $R_9$

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

arXiv:1806.05996v1

### $H \rightarrow Z\gamma \rightarrow ee\gamma$ categories

![](_page_37_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_13.jpeg)

Category	$\mathrm{e^+e^-}\gamma$	$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
Lepton tag	Additional electron ( $p_{\rm T} > 2$	(GeV) or muon ( $p_{\rm T} > 5  {\rm GeV}$ )
Dijet tag	At least 2 jets required	At least 2 jets required
Boosted	$p_{\rm T}({\rm ee}\gamma) > 60{ m GeV}$	$p_{\rm T}(\mu\mu\gamma) > 60{ m GeV}$
Untagged 1	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ $R_9 > 0.94$	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.1$ and one lepton $0 <  \eta  < 0.9$ $R_9 > 0.94$
Untagged 2	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ $R_9 < 0.94$	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.1$ and one lepton $0 <  \eta  < 0.9$ $R_9 < 0.94$
Untagged 3	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ At least one lepton $1.4442 <  \eta  <$ No requirement on $R_9$	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ 2.5 Both leptons in $ \eta  > 0.9$ or one lepton in $2.1 <  \eta  < 2.4$ No requirement on $R_9$
Untagged 4	Photon 1.566 $<  \eta  < 2.5$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.5$ No requirement on $R_9$	Photon 1.566 $<  \eta  < 2.5$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.4$ No requirement on $R_9$

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

arXiv:1806.05996v1

### $H \rightarrow Z\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$ categories

![](_page_38_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_13.jpeg)

Category	$\mathrm{e^+e^-}\gamma$	$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$		
Lepton tag	Additional electron ( $p_{\rm T} > 7  {\rm Ge}$	V) or muon ( $p_{\rm T} > 5 \text{GeV}$ )		
Dijet tag	At least 2 jets required	At least 2 jets required		
Boosted	$p_{\rm T}({ m ee}\gamma) > 60{ m GeV}$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}(\mu\mu\gamma) > 60\mathrm{GeV}$		
Untagged 1	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ $R_9 > 0.94$	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.1$ and one lepton $0 <  \eta  < 0.9$ $R_9 > 0.94$		
Untagged 2	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ $R_9 < 0.94$	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.1$ and one lepton $0 <  \eta  < 0.9$ $R_9 < 0.94$		
Untagged 3	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ At least one lepton $1.4442 <  \eta  < 2.5$ No requirement on $R_9$	Photon $0 <  \eta  < 1.4442$ Both leptons in $ \eta  > 0.9$ or one lepton in $2.1 <  \eta  < 2.4$ No requirement on $R_9$		
Untagged 4	Photon 1.566 $<  \eta  < 2.5$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.5$ No requirement on $R_9$	Photon 1.566 $<  \eta  < 2.5$ Both leptons $0 <  \eta  < 2.4$ No requirement on $R_9$		

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

arXiv:1806.05996v1

### $H \rightarrow Z\gamma \rightarrow ee\gamma$ and $\mu\mu\gamma$ categories

![](_page_39_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_12.jpeg)