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## $\mu$-e conversion \& COMET

COMET Phase-I Layout
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- The COMET experiment at J-PARC searches for the neutrinoless coherent transition of a muon to an electron in the field of an aluminum nucleus, which violates the lepton flavor conservation and has never been observed yet thus far.

$$
\mu^{-} N \rightarrow e^{-} N
$$

- The conversion rate is predicted to be enhanced in new physics models beyond the Standard Model, while the process is extremely suppressed in the Standard Model.
- The goal of the COMET is to explore the $\mu$-e conversion with single event sensitivity of $3 \times 10^{-15}$ and $3 \times 10^{-17}$ in Phase-I and Phase-II, respectively, which is 100 and 10,000 times better than the current limit.
- COMET Phase-I:
- J-PARC 8 GeV -3.2 kW proton beam $\rightarrow$ Capture Solenoid $\rightarrow$ Transport Solenoid (90-deg bend) $->$ Cylindrical Detector System


## Signal \& background

- The signal of the $\mu$-e conversion is $\sim 105 \mathrm{MeV}$ mono-energetic electrons,

$$
E_{\mu e}=m_{\mu}-B_{\mu}-E_{\mathrm{rec}}=104.97 \mathrm{MeV} \text { for } \mathrm{Al}
$$

- while the backgrounds are

1. Decay-in-orbit (DIO) electrons
2. Prompt beam-related BG
3. Cosmic-ray induced BG.
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Inevitable physical BG
2. Prompt beam-related BG
3. Cosmic-ray induced BG.

- In order to distinguish the signal from the background, good momentum resolution of $200 \mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{c}$ is required.


Muon Decay in Orbit (DIO)
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## COMET CDC

- In the COMET Phase-I, the converted electrons, which possess monochromatic momentum of $105 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, are detected with a cylindrical drift chamber (CDC) in a solenoidal magnetic field of 1 T .
- Trigger signals are issued by a combination of scintillation \& Cherenkov hodoscopes placed at inner side both upstream \& downstream of CDC.
- In this low momentum region around $105 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, momentum resolution is dominated by the multiple-scattering effect.
- In order to realize the excellent resolution of $200 \mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{c}$, low-
 mass tracking region is essential.
- He:i- $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(90: 10)$ gas mixture for CDC
- Al field wires with $126-\mu \mathrm{m}$ diameter
- Thin CFRP inner wall with 0.5 mm
\#Note: target volume is filled with He gas.
Al target consists of 17 discs with $100-\mathrm{mm}$ radius, 0.2 -mm thickness, \& 50-mm spacing.



## Design of CDC

## Feature of CDC Specification:

- Large inner diameter of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~m}$
- Most of DIO electrons ( $<60 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) do not reach CDC
- Cell structure
- Alternating all stereo layer: $64 \sim 75 \mathrm{mrad}$
- for good resolution in longitudinal direction


Electron drift lines

$Z=0$

|  | Table 7.1: Main parameters of the CDC. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Inner wall | Length | 1495.5 mm |
|  | Radius | $496.0 \sim 496.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  | Thickness | 0.5 mm |
| Outer wall | Length | 1577.3 mm |
|  | Radius | $835.0 \sim 840.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  | Thickness | 5.0 mm |
| Number of sense layers |  | 20 (including 2 guard layers) |
| Sense wire | Material | Au plated W |
|  | Diameter | $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | Number of wires | 4986 |
|  | Tension | 50 g |
|  | Material | Al |
|  | Diameter | $126 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Field wire | Number of wires | 14562 |
|  | Tension | 80 g |
| Gas | Mixture | He:i- $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(90: 10)$ |
|  | Volume | 2084 L |

## Construction of CDC



Drilling holes on endplates with precision of $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


Outer structure was transported to a KEK assembly hall, and set on a wire stringing cradle.


Wire stringing and tension measurement for 19,548 wires were carried out in a half year.


Installation of inner wall made of $0.5-\mathrm{mm}$ thick CFRP


Completion of COMET CDC

## Wire tension assurance



| Nominal value | Material | Diameter | Tension | Sag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sense | (Au-) W | $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 50 g | $\sim 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Field | Al | $126 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 80 g | $\sim 120 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |

$\mathrm{L}=1477 \sim 1593 \mathrm{~mm}$
Gravitational Sag: $\quad s=\frac{\rho L^{2}}{8 w g}$.

Criteria

- Sag for sense wire $<70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- Sag difference with neighbor wires $<100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

After replacing bad wires, all the wires satisfy the criteria.

(b) Sag differences between a sense wire and surrounding field wires


## Performance tests

- CDC performance tests using cosmic rays are being carried out with step-by-step upgrade of readout \& surrounding systems as well as analysis scheme.
- We have obtained spacial resolution of $\mathbf{1 7 0} \mu \mathrm{m}$ \& efficiency of $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ so far.
- The performance tests will be continued in this year to precisely investigate whole region of the CDC.

(b) Zoom view

(a)

(b)




## Summary

- The COMET experiment aims to search for the $\mu$-e conversion. Preparation for the COMET Phase-I is intensively in progress.
- Cylindrical detector system is used for the Phase-I physics measurement.
- COMET CDC is designed to achieve $200-\mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{c}$ momentum resolution for $105-\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ signal electrons.
- Construction of CDC was successfully completed.
- Performance tests are ongoing and reasonable resolution \& efficiency are obtained so far.


## Prospects

- Performance tests will be finished in this fiscal year.
- We plan to transport CDC from KEK to J-PARC and install to Detector Solenoid in 2019.
- Integrated cosmic-ray BG measurement will start from 2020.


## Backup

## Release of pre-tension © virestinging

## Field Wire in the 2nd Measurement

Tension Bars @ Layer 9, 22 and 33

 which corresponds to the load by 20,000 wires in the end

36 (12 x 3 layers) tension bars installed the tension applied with spring ( $3.07 \mathrm{kgf} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) $-39 \mathrm{~kg} /$ tension bar -> 1.4 ton in total 9 feedthrough holes occupied by 1 ba following the progress of the wire stringing, the tension decreased and/or bar removed

- Installation of the "Dial Gauge and Reference Bars"
to monitor the displacement between 2 endplates


dial-gauges are located at $10^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}, 270$ reference bar is double-layered structure not to harm wires with the removal checked the displacement by tension bar (it was consistent with the calculation) monitoring the dial-gauges 3 times / day


## High-level track trigger



(b) ROC curves with zoomed scale.


Figure 10.12: Conceptual drawing of COTTRI system

Software-level algorithm was already established.
We can reduce background hits into $1 / 20$ while retaining $99 \%$ of signals.


## Spatial resolution vs distance of closest approach



Residual [mm]
$3.5 \sim 4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$


Residual [mm]
$6.0 \sim 6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$


Residual [mm]



## Wire aging test

$\mathrm{He}: \mathrm{CC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(90: 10)$



- Accumulated charge is predicted to be $20 \mathrm{mC} / \mathrm{cm} /$ wire for Phase-I.
- Wire aging effect was studied up to $200 \mathrm{mC} / \mathrm{cm} /$ wire .
- Without water vapor addition, Malter effect (discharge \& large leak current) occurred around $20 \mathrm{mC} / \mathrm{cm}$.
- With water vapor of $1100 \sim 1300 \mathrm{ppm}$, we could avoid Malter effect and gain drop was obtained to be $1.7 \& 6 \%$ at $20 \& 200 \mathrm{mC} / \mathrm{cm}$, respectively. —> small enough


## Gas system



Figure 7.19: Schematic view of the gas system for the $C D C$.

## Electric field, drift velocity, etc



Garfield simulation with Magnetic field at $\mathrm{Z}=0$


| Gas | $\mathrm{X}_{0}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $W(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\frac{d E^{M I P}}{d x}$ | $(\mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{cm})$ | $n_{T}^{M I P}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $n_{p}^{M I P}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| He:i- $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(85: 15)$ | 954 | 38 | 1.14 | 40 | 18 |  |
| $\mathrm{He}: \mathbf{i}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(90: 10)$ | 1310 | 39 | 0.88 | 29 | 14 |  |
| $\mathrm{He}: \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(95: 5)$ | 2102 | 40 |  | 0.61 | 19 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{He}: \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(50: 50)$ | 630 | 32 | 1.63 | 60 | 27 |  |
| $\mathrm{He}: \mathrm{CH}_{4}(80: 20)$ | 2166 | 39 | 1.47 | 17 | 11 |  |
| $\mathrm{He}: \mathrm{CH}_{4}(90: 10)$ | 3073 | 40 | 0.47 | 13 | 8 |  |

Figure 7.4: Contours of electric field distribution calculated by Garfield for a cell of $1.6 \times 1.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ sense and field wires of $\phi 25$ and $\phi 126 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and HV of 1800 V (top left), and the electric field distribution along the $x$-axis at $y=0$ (top right). Electric field at surface of field wires as a function of the field wire diameter for $H V$ of 1800 and 2300 V (bottom left), and that as a function of $H V$ for the field wire diameter of $126 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Prototype tests

- Prototype chambers are tested by using electron beams with 3 types of gas mixtures.
- He: $\mathrm{iC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(90: 10) \& \mathrm{He}: \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(50: 50)$ show good performance.





${ }^{\text {tand }}$ for $\mathrm{He-iC} \mathrm{C}$,

Gas parameters

|  | $\mathrm{He}: \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ <br> $(50: 50)$ | $\mathrm{He}: \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ <br> $(90: 10)$ | $\mathrm{He}: \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ <br> $(80: 20)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rad. Len. <br> [m] | 630 | 1310 | 2166 |
| e/ion pair <br> [/cm] | 60 | 29 | 17 |
| drift velocity <br> [cm/us] | $\sim 4.0$ | $\sim 2.4$ | $\sim 2.8$ |
|  | (Belle/Belle-II) | (KLOE) |  |




Не. $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(80020)$


Garfeld ++ simulation and experiment of Christoph-Grab[7] P.Berrardini[8], Sharma-Sauli[g] and KLOE[IO]

## Frontend readout electronics



Frontend readout board: RECBE
(= Readout Electronics for CDC for Belle-2 Experiment)
TDC: 960 MHz
ADC: 30 MHz sampling


Firmware design


All 128 RECBEs were already fabricated and QA was done by IHEP group.

- Radiation tolerance against gamma \& neutrons has been studied.
- Regulators \& SFP could survive up to 1.8 \& 1.1 kGy , respectively. —> acceptable
- FPGA URE rate $=4$ hour for 104 RECBEs.
\# Predicted dose is 0.1~0.2 kGy for Phase-1


## Trigger \& DAQ system



FC7


I/F board for FCT \& RECBE


## Tracking

- Pilot studies written in TDR have shown a good potential of CDC tracking which is sufficient for Phase-I sensitivity.
- $200 \mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{c}$ resolution with very little tail \& $18 \%$ acceptance.
- Multi-turn hits make things challenging..
- Momentum tail come form multi-turn events.
- Hits from other turns are too close to a track, providing a many local minima.
- Taking into account the multi-turn issue, full tracking packages from track finding to fitting are under development.
- Traditional ways (circle \& helix fitting), modern ways (deep learning, neural network), or other way around (topological method).

Simulation
Signal and $\mathrm{DIO}\left(\mathrm{BR}=3 \times 10^{-15}\right)$



## Sensitivity \& Background

$$
B\left(\mu^{-}+\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow e^{-}+\mathrm{Al}\right)=\frac{1}{N_{\mu} \cdot f_{\mathrm{cap}} \cdot f_{\mathrm{gnd}} \cdot A_{\mu-e}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B\left(\mu^{-}+\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow e^{-}+\mathrm{Al}\right) & =3 \times 10^{-15} \quad \text { (as SES) or } \\
& <7 \times 10^{-15} \quad \text { (as } 90 \% \text { C.L. upper limit). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 12.8: Summary of the estimated background events for a single-event sensitivity of $3 \times 10^{-15}$ in COMET Phase-I with a proton extinction factor of $3 \times 10^{-11}$

| Type | Background | Estimated events |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Physics | Muon decay in orbit | 0.01 |
|  | Radiative muon capture | 0.0019 |
|  | Neutron emission after muon capture | $<0.001$ |
|  | Charged particle emission after muon capture | $<0.001$ |
| Prompt Beam | * Beam electrons |  |
|  | * Muon decay in flight |  |
|  | * Pion decay in flight |  |
|  | * Other beam particles | $\leq 0.0038$ |
|  | All (*) Combined | 0.0028 |
|  | Radiative pion capture | $\sim 10^{-9}$ |
|  | Neutrons | $\sim 0$ |
|  | Beam electrons | $\sim 0$ |
|  | Muon decay in flight | $\sim 0$ |
|  | Delayed Beam decay in flight | $\sim 0$ |
|  | Radiative pion capture | 0.0012 |
|  | Anti-proton induced backgrounds | $<0.01$ |
| Others | Cosmic rays ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 0.032 |
| Total |  |  |

$\dagger$ This estimate is currently limited by computing resources.


[^0]:    $\mathrm{E}_{\text {DIO }}$ can have a high-energy tail, which is in principle reach $\mathrm{E}_{\mu \mathrm{e}}$.

