

The layout and design of the Phase-II upgrade of the Inner Tracker of the ATLAS experiment

F. Costanza on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration



ICHEP2018 SEOUL XXXIX INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON high energy Physics JULY 4 - 11, 2018 COEX, SEOUL





Introduction

HL-LHC is expected to deliver 4000 fb⁻¹ with an instantaneous luminosity of 7.5 10⁻³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ to both ATLAS and CMS, producing an average of 200 collisions per bunch crossing.

Challenging environment!

- The Inner Tracker (ITk) @HL-LHC requires:
 - Increased radiation hardness.
 - Higher granularity.
 - Reduction of material.
 - Extended coverage up to $|\eta| < 4.0$.



- In this talk:
 - 1) Description of the design and layout of the ITk detector.
 - 2) Expected tracking, vertexing, flavour tagging, ... performance.

Presented results are based on the ITK-2018-001

https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PLOTS/ITK-2018-001/

Layout of the Inner Tracker Phase-II Upgrade

- Five barrel layers with inclined sensors from $|\eta| > 1.4$:
 - Reduces the material traversed by particles, improving tracking performance.
 - Requires less Silicon surface than a traditional barrel for the same coverage.
- Endcap rings replacing disks to improve the coverage while reducing Silicon surface.
- Two pixel pitches under consideration: 50x50 and $25x100 \,\mu\text{m}^2$.

Only 50x50 μm² results shown here.

The Strip Detector

Four strip barrel layers and six endcap disks on each side covering up to $|\eta| < 2.7$ Further details on S. Stucci Talk!



F. Costanza

3

Design of ITk for the Phase-II Atlas experiment



Layout of the Inner Tracker Phase-II Upgrade

Strip Barrel Stave.



• Extreme level of detail in Geant-4 to ensure reliable performance assessment.

Material Budget





 Expected improvement in tracking performance and calorimetry!





- High tracking efficiency for single muon over the whole η -range: >99% for p_T >10 GeV.
- Great tracking efficiency for single electrons and pions: inefficiencies due to bremmsstrahlung and inelastic hadronic interactions, respectively, with the detector.
- Great overall tracking efficiency for $t\bar{t}$ events (higher then the current ATLAS ID) thanks to the reduction in radiation and interaction length.



Tracking Fake Rate

- Track reconstruction efficiency as a function of the average number of pile-up collisions (μ) is stable to better then 1% for all η-ranges.
- The fraction of tracks without a Geant4 truth match (fake rate) is at the level of 10⁻⁵.
 - ITk outperforms the current ATLAS tracker despite a factor of 4 more in μ thanks to enlarged lever arm and increased granularity of the Pixel and Strip detectors.
- A minimum of 9 (7) hits is required to ensure a track reconstruction that is fully efficient and robust against pile-up for $|\eta| < 2.7$ (2.7< $|\eta| < 4$).



Track Parameters Resolution

- Great position and momentum resolutions.
- Transverse impact parameter (IP) resolution similar to ID: improved ITk performance at high pT with analogue clustering.
- Significant improvement in longitudinal IP resolution thanks to reduced pixel pitch.
- Improved momentum resolution thanks to higher precision measurements.





Design of ITk for the Phase-II Atlas experiment

Vertex Reconstruction

- Position resolution of hard-scatter (HS) interaction extremely stable versus local pile-up density.
- Very high and stable HS vertex reconstruction efficiency.

Simulation

1 GeV, \s = 14 TeV

Good identification of HS vertex.

1.5

1

2



1.3

1.2

1.1

0.9

0.8 0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3[∟]0

>

0.5

Vertex Reconstruction Efficiency

Pile-up Jet Rejection and E_{T}^{miss} Resolution

- With ITk, pile-up mitigation techniques can exploit the improved vertex separation along z and the larger η-range under which such information is available.
- Good HS jet efficiency is obtained for a typical working point of 50 in pile-up jet rejection for the most forward η-bin.

$$R_{pT} = \frac{\Sigma_k p_{\rm T}^{{\rm trk}_k}({\rm PV}_0)}{p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}}$$

• Improved $E\tau^{miss}$ due to a more efficient association of soft tracks to the HS vertex.



- Identification of jets originating from B-hadrons using multivariate techniques.
- After specific calibration for ITk, the MV2 algorithm, originally developed for ID, leads to a better light and c-jet rejection for $|\eta| < 2.5$ for any working point in b-jet efficiency.
 - Possibility to extend flavour tagging capabilities up to $|\eta| = 4$.





- Maintaining similar performance as the current ATLAS Inner Detector in the harsher HL-LHC environment with $\langle \mu \rangle = 200$ is a tough challenge.
- The layout of the ATLAS Inner Tracker as developed for the Phase-II Pixel technical design report (TDR) [ITK-2018-001] has been presented.
- Tracking performance and vertex reconstruction show improvement for all investigated quantities and results are robust against local pile-up density.
- The improved tracking reconstruction and vertex association are exploited to develop pile-up mitigation and flavour tagging techniques.
- Further studies are ongoing and further improvements are expected.
 - Notably, studies to decide on the use of $25 \times 100 \,\mu\text{m}^2$ pixel pitches.
- TDR and post-TDR results pave the road for the success of physics analysis at HL-LHC.
 - Great times for Physics await us!



Bonus slides

 $p_{T} \times \sigma(q/p_{T})$ [%]

10

ATLAS Simulation Analogue Clustering, 50×50 μm² (ITk) Analogue Clustering, 25×100 μm² (ITk) Analogue Clustering (Run-2)

8000000

Single muon, p_ = 100 GeV

Run-2 - r_{IBI} = 33 mm

- Studies performed with analogue clustering on high-p_T single muons.
- With $25 \times 100 \,\mu\text{m}^2$ pixel sensors, momentum resolution is improved by 20% (a factor of two) in the barrel (forward) region.
- The d₀ resolution with $25 \times 100 \ \mu m^2$ sensors is improved by a factor of 2 over the full η -range at a cost of 35% loss in z₀ resolution.



25×100 μm²

50×50 μm²

σ(d₀) [μm]

Design of ITk for the Phase-II Atlas experiment

- Resolution studies shown in main material assume a perfectly aligned detector.
- In reality, module and structural misalignment will degrade performance.
- Short-term detector instabilities within a data-taking run are the most difficult to correct.
- No significant resolution degradation is observed for 3 μm random misplacements in XYZ, while 10 μm misplacements lead to a loss up to a factor of 1.4 in do and a factor of 2.5 in zo resolutions.





- ITk must preserve robust tracking also in presence of eventual detector defects.
- Two types of defects are considered:
 - Component failures during operations, that lead to inactive modules.
 - Detector inefficiencies leading to loss of hits.

