# Tests of the electroweak sector with diboson final states at the ATLAS Experiment

# Rustem Ospanov for the ATLAS collaboration

University of Science and Technology of China

July 5, 2018





# Introduction

- Diboson production processes test gauge structure of Standard Model
- Sensitive to gauge boson self-interactions and longitudinal polarisation
- Precise tests of NNLO in QCD perturbative calculations
- > Probe for new phenomena via triple gauge boson coupling measurements

3 new ATLAS results using 36.1 fb<sup>-1</sup> data at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV in this talk:

- $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \nu \gamma$  cross section and anomalous coupling tests
  - ATLAS-CONF-2018-035
- $W^{\pm}Z$  cross section and gauge boson polarisation
  - ATLAS-CONF-2018-034
- Measurement of electroweak production of same-sign WW bosons
  - ATLAS-CONF-2018-030
- Web pages will be public soon after the presentation

 $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\nu\gamma$  cross section and anomalous coupling tests



- Probe for anomalous neutral triple gauge couplings  $ZZ\gamma$  and  $Z\gamma\gamma$
- High branching fraction and no final state radiation

## $Z\gamma ightarrow \nu \nu \gamma$

### Photon + neutrinos fiducial region:

- $\blacktriangleright \ \ \, {\rm Photon \ with \ } p_T^{\gamma} > 150 \ {\rm GeV \ and \ } |\eta| < 2.37$
- Neutrinos with  $p_T^{\nu\nu} > 150 \text{ GeV}$
- Anti-kt 0.4 jets with  $p_T > 50$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 4.5$

## Signal modelling and theory predictions:

- Model  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \nu \gamma$  with Sherpa 2.2.2 with NNPDF3.0NNLO PDF
- Compare with NNLO in QCD predictions from MCFM and MATRIX
  - Apply particle-to-parton level corrections





# $Z\gamma ightarrow u u \gamma$ experimental summary

## Experimental selection:

- ▶ Well reconstructed isolated photon with  $p_T^{\gamma} > 150 \text{ GeV}$
- Missing transverse energy  $E_T^{miss} > 150 \text{ GeV}$
- Veto leptons to suppress  $W\gamma$  background

## Backgrounds and experimental uncertainty:

- Wγ and γ+jet backgrounds are estimated from control regions
- $e \rightarrow \gamma$  background is estimated from  $Z \rightarrow ee$  events
- ▶ jet →  $\gamma$  background is estimated from sidebands
- > Dominant uncertainty due to mismodelling of photon efficiency and energy scale

r realected and observed event fields							
	$N_{ m jets} \geq$ 0 (stat.+syst.)	$N_{\rm jets} = 0 \text{ (stat.+syst.)}$					
$N^{W\gamma}$	$650\pm40\pm60$	$360\pm20\pm30$					
$\mathcal{N}^{\gamma+jet}$	$409\pm18\pm108$	$219\pm10\pm58$					
$N^{e \rightarrow \gamma}$	$320\pm15\pm45$	$254\pm12\pm35$					
$N^{jet \rightarrow \gamma}$	$170\pm30\pm50$	$140\pm20\pm40$					
$N^{Z(II)\gamma}$	$40\pm3\pm3$	$26\pm3\pm2$					
N <sup>bkg</sup> <sub>total</sub>	$1580\pm50\pm140$	$1000\pm40\pm90$					
N <sup>sig</sup> (exp)	$2328\pm4\pm135$	$1710\pm4\pm91$					
$N_{total}^{sig+bkg}$	$3910\pm50\pm190$	$2710\pm40\pm130$					
N <sup>data</sup> (obs)	3812	2599					

Predicted and observed event yields

## $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \nu \gamma ~{\rm cross}$ section

- Measured fiducial  $\sigma$  for  $N_{jet} \ge 0$ :  $\sigma_{Data}^{\text{ext.fid.}} = 83.7^{+3.6}_{-3.5} \text{ (stat.)}^{+6.9}_{-6.2} \text{ (syst.)}^{+1.7}_{-2.0} \text{ (lumi.) fb}$
- ▶ NNLO MCFM prediction for  $N_{jet} \ge 0$ :  $\sigma_{MCFM}^{\text{ext.fid.}} = 78.1 \pm 0.2 \pm 4.4$  fb
- Also measured fiducial and differential cross sections for N<sub>jet</sub> = 0



## $Z\gamma ightarrow u u \gamma$ - limits on anomalous couplings

- Select events with  $p_T^{\gamma} > 600$  GeV and zero jets optimised for best sensitivity
- Predictions modified with MCFM by including  $ZZ\gamma$   $(h_{3,4}^Z)$  and  $Z\gamma\gamma$   $(h_{3,4}^\gamma)$  vertices
- ▶ h<sub>3</sub> (h<sub>4</sub>) corresponds to electric (magnetic) dipole moment CP-conserving parameters
- Set limits in individual parameters and parameter pairs
- Factor of 3-7 improvements over the previous  $Z\gamma$  results



# $W^{\pm}Z$ cross section and gauge boson polarisation



- Probe gauge structure of Standard Model
- Sensitive to anomalous triple gauge boson couplings
- Precise measurements of differential and total cross sections
- Polarisation of W and Z bosons

# $W^{\pm}Z$ production

## Trilepton fiducial region:

- Select  $Z \to e^{\pm}e^{\mp}/\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$  decays
  - $p_T^{e,\mu} > 15 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } |\eta^{e,\mu}| < 2.5$
  - ▶  $|m_{||} m_Z| < 10 \text{ GeV}$

• Select 
$$W^{\pm} 
ightarrow e^{\pm} 
u_e / \mu^{\pm} 
u_\mu$$
 decays

- $p_T^{e,\mu} > 15 \text{ GeV}$  and  $|\eta^{e,\mu}| < 2.5$
- Transverse mass  $m_T^W > 30 \text{ GeV}$
- Anti-kt 0.4 jets with  $p_T > 25$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 4.5$

## Signal modelling:

- Model  $W^{\pm}Z$  with POWHEGBOX at NLO in QCD
- Shower with PYTHIA 8.210 and CTEQ6L1PDF
- Shower with HERWIG to estimate uncertainty

### Theory predictions:

- ▶ NNLO QCD  $W^{\pm}Z$  cross sections with MATRIX
  - Apply particle-to-parton level corrections

### Require $m_T^W > 30 \text{ GeV}$



# $W^{\pm}Z$ experimental summary

### Experimental selections:

- > 3 isolated well reconstructed e and  $\mu$ , veto fourth lepton to suppress ZZ
- Separate and combined measurements for 4 lepton flavour channels

## Backgrounds and experimental uncertainty:

- ▶ Fake lepton background measured from data with 30-40% uncertainty
  - 1.9% uncertainty on cross section measurement
- ZZ background normalised from data with 12% uncertainty
- Uncertainties for ttV, tZ and VVV backgrounds vary 15% to 30%
- $e/\mu$  efficiency mismodelling up to 1.5% uncertainty on cross section measurement
- Integrated luminosity 2.4% uncertainty on cross section measurement

Channel	eee	μee	$e\mu\mu$	$\mu\mu\mu$	All
Data	1279	1281	1671	1929	6160
Total Expected	$1221\pm7$	$1281\pm 6$	$1653\pm8$	$1830\pm7$	$5986 \pm 14$
WZ	$922 \pm 5$	$1077 \pm 6$	$1256 \pm 6$	$1523 \pm 7$	$4778 \pm 12$
Misid. leptons	$138 \pm 5$	$34 \pm 2$	$193 \pm 5$	$71 \pm 2$	$436 \pm 8$
ZZ	$86 \pm 1$	$89 \pm 1$	$117 \pm 1$	$135 \pm 1$	$426 \pm 3$
$t\bar{t}+V$	$50.0\pm0.7$	$54 \pm 0.7$	$56.1\pm0.7$	$63.8\pm0.8$	$225 \pm 1$
tΖ	$23.1\pm0.4$	$24.8\pm0.4$	$28.8\pm0.4$	$33.5 \pm 0.5$	$110 \pm 1$
VVV	$2.5 \pm 0.1$	$2.8 \pm 0.1$	$3.2 \pm 0.1$	$3.6 \pm 0.1$	$12.0\pm0.2$

#### Event yields per channel with only statistical uncertainty

# $W^{\pm}Z$ cross section

- ► Measured:  $\sigma_{W^{\pm}Z \rightarrow \ell^{\prime}\nu\ell\ell}^{\text{fid.}} = 63.7 \pm 1.0 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 2.3 \text{ (sys.)} \pm 0.3 \text{ (modelling)} \pm 1.5 \text{ (lumi.) fb.}$
- MATRIX:  $\sigma_{W^{\pm}Z \to \ell' \nu \ell \ell}^{\text{fid.}} = 61.5^{+1.4}_{-1.3} \,\text{fb}$
- ► Measured charge ratio:  $\sigma_{W^+Z \to \ell' \nu \ell \ell}^{\text{fid.}} / \sigma_{W^-Z \to \ell' \nu \ell \ell}^{\text{fid.}} = 1.47 \pm 0.05 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.02 \text{ (sys.)}.$



#### Precise tests of NNLO QCD calculations

# $W^{\pm}Z$ single differential cross sections

- Unfolded single differential cross sections for  $p_T^Z$ ,  $M_T^{WZ}$ ,  $N_{jets}$  (below) and other variables
- Response matrix obtained with POWHEGBOX+PYTHIA



## W and Z polarisation measurement



13

## W and Z polarisation measurement

- Observed (expected) significance of  $4.2\sigma$  ( $3.8\sigma$ ) for longitudinally polarised W bosons
- Sensitive to new broad resonances not seen by direct searches



# Electroweak production of same-sign WW bosons: $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$



- Measure self-interactions of heavy gauge bosons
- ► Unitarity at high energies requires presence of the SM Higgs boson

# $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$ electroweak production

## Same-sign dilepton fiducial region:

- ▶ Same-sign dilepton events with  $m_{\parallel} > 20 \text{ GeV}$ 
  - e or  $\mu$  with  $p_T > 27$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 2.5$
- Neutrinos with  $p_T^{\nu\nu} > 30 \text{ GeV}$
- Anti-kit 0.4 jets with  $|\eta| < 4.5$
- Optimised VBS jet selections:
  - Two leading jets with p<sub>T</sub> > 65, 35 GeV
  - Dijet invariant mass m<sub>jj</sub> > 500 GeV
  - ▶ Rapidity gap |∆y<sub>jj</sub>| > 2

## Signal modelling:

- Model W<sup>±</sup>W<sup>±</sup>jj electroweak and strong production with SHERPA 2.2.2
- Alternative NLO in QCD sample with with POWHEGBOX +PYTHIA8 for electroweak signal
- W<sup>±</sup>W<sup>±</sup>jj strong production with exactly four EW vertices subtracted as background

Dijet invariant mass for  $m_{jj} > 500 \text{ GeV}$ 



#### Likelihood fit:

- $\blacktriangleright$  6 channels:  $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$ ,  $e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ ,  $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$
- Signal region: 4 m<sub>jj</sub> bins for m<sub>jj</sub> > 500 GeV
- Control region: 200 < m<sub>ii</sub> < 500GeV</p>

# $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$ experimental summary

## Experimental selection:

- lsolated well reconstructed same-sign dilepton events (e or  $\mu$ )
- ▶ Veto third lepton to suppress WZ and veto *b*-jets to suppress  $t\bar{t}$
- Require  $E_T^{miss} > 30$  GeV and VBS jet selections

## Backgrounds and experimental uncertainty:

- WZ background is normalised from trilepton control region with 8% uncertainty
- Fake lepton background measured from control regions with 50-90% uncertainty
  - Dominant experimental uncertainty
- $\blacktriangleright\,$  Electron charge misidentification and  $\gamma \rightarrow e$  backgrounds are measured from data
- Other irreducible backgrounds are from Monte-Carlo simulation

	$e^+e^+$	$e^-e^-$	$e^+\mu^+$	$e^{-}\mu^{-}$	$\mu^+\mu^+$	$\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$	combined			
WZ	$1.7~\pm~0.6$	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	$13 \pm 4$	$8.1 \pm 2.5$	$5.0 \pm 1.6$	$3.3~\pm~1.1$	$32 \pm 9$			
Non-prompt	$4.1 \pm 2.4$	$2.3 \pm 1.8$	$9 \pm 6$	$6 \pm 4$	$0.57 \pm 0.16$	$0.67 \pm 0.26$	$23 \pm 12$			
$e/\gamma$ conversions	$1.74 \pm 0.31$	$1.8~\pm~0.4$	$6.1 \pm 2.4$	$3.7 \pm 1.0$	-	-	$13.4 \pm 3.5$			
Other prompt	$0.17 \pm 0.06$	$0.14 \pm 0.05$	$0.90 \pm 0.24$	$0.60 \pm 0.25$	$0.36 \pm 0.12$	$0.19 \pm 0.07$	$2.4 \pm 0.5$			
$W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ jj strong	$0.38\pm0.13$	$0.16\pm0.06$	$3.0~\pm~1.0$	$1.2~\pm~0.4$	$1.8~\pm~0.6$	$0.76\pm~0.26$	$7.3~\pm~2.5$			
Expected background	$8.1~\pm~2.4$	$5.6~\pm~1.9$	$32 \pm 7$	$20 \pm 5$	$7.7~\pm~1.7$	$4.9~\pm~1.1$	$78  \pm 15$			
$W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ jj electroweak	$3.80 \pm  0.30$	$1.49\pm0.13$	$16.5~\pm~1.2$	$6.5~\pm~0.5$	$9.1~\pm~0.7$	$3.50\pm0.29$	$40.9~\pm~2.9$			
Data	10	4	44	28	25	11	122			

#### Event yields before the fit

# $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$ electroweak production cross section

- Observed (expected with SHERPA) significance is  $6.9\sigma$  ( $4.6\sigma$ )
- Measured fiducial cross section:  $\sigma_{\text{Data}}^{\text{fid}} = 2.95 \pm 0.49 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.23 \text{ (sys.) fb}$ 
  - ▶  $\sigma_{\text{Data}}^{\text{fid}}$  includes  $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$  electroweak plus interference with  $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$  strong
  - $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$  strong production with exactly four EW vertices subtracted as background



Predicted fiducial cross sections:

- PowhegBox:  $\sigma_{\rm EWK}^{\rm fid} = 3.08^{+0.45}_{-0.46} \text{ (syst.+stat.) fb}$
- SHERPA:  $\sigma_{\rm EWK}^{\rm fid} = 2.01^{+0.33}_{-0.23} \text{ (sys.+stat.) fb}$
- NLO electroweak corrections (-16% for SHERPA) and interference (+6%) are not included

## Summary and conclusions

- Presented 3 new ATLAS results using 36.1 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV
  - $\blacktriangleright~Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \nu \gamma$  cross section measurement and anomalous coupling tests
  - $W^{\pm}Z$  cross section and gauge boson polarisation measurements
  - Observed longitudinally polarised W bosons with  $4.2\sigma$  significance
  - ▶ 6.9 $\sigma$  observation of electroweak production of same-sign WW
- So far, measurements agree with SM predictions at NNLO or NLO
- More measurements in pipeline targeting other diboson final states
- > These and other measurements will be improved with full Run 2 dataset
- Thank you and stay tuned for more results!

## BACKUP

# $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \nu \gamma$ experimental setup

#### Backgrounds and experimental uncertainty:

- $W\gamma$  CR: invert lepton veto, 77% pure,  $\sigma_{QCD} = 5.8\%$ ,  $\sigma_{exp.} = 3.8\%$
- $\gamma$ +jet CR: invert  $E_T^{miss}$  significance, 55% pure,  $\sigma_{QCD} = 19\%$
- $e \rightarrow \gamma$  CR: require e instead of  $\gamma$ ,  $\sigma_{exp.} \approx 14\%$
- ▶ jet →  $\gamma$  background is estimated from sidebands
- Dominated by mismodelling of photon efficiency and photon energy scale

# $W^{\pm}Z$ single differential cross sections

- Unfolded single differential cross sections
- Response matrix obtained with POWHEGBOX+PYTHIA model



# $W^{\pm}Z$ single differential cross sections

- Unfolded single differential cross sections
- Response matrix obtained with POWHEGBOX+PYTHIA model



# $W^{\pm}Z$ cross section

► Measured:  $\sigma_{W^{\pm}Z \to \ell^{'}\nu\ell\ell}^{\text{fid.}} = 63.7 \pm 1.0 \,(\text{stat.}) \pm 2.3 \,(\text{sys.}) \pm 0.3 \,(\text{mod.}) \pm 1.5 \,(\text{lumi.}) \,\,\text{fb.}$ 

• MATRIX: 
$$\sigma_{W^{\pm}Z \to \ell' \nu \ell \ell}^{\text{fid.}} = 61.5^{+1.4}_{-1.3} \, \text{fb}$$

$$\bullet \begin{array}{l} \sigma_{\rm fid.}^{\rm ofid.} \\ \sigma_{\rm fid.}^{\rm fid.} = 1.47 \pm 0.05 \, ({\rm stat.}) \pm 0.02 \, ({\rm sys.}). \end{array}$$









## W and Z polarisation measurement

- Measure polarisation of W and Z gauge bosons using lepton angular distributions
- $\blacktriangleright$   $f_0,\,f_L$  and  $f_R$  define the longitudinal, transverse-left handed and transverse-right handed helicity fractions at Born level



$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{W}\pm_{Z}}\frac{d\sigma_{W}\pm_{Z}}{d\cos\theta_{\ell,W}} = \frac{3}{8}f_{\rm L}(1\mp\cos\theta_{\ell,W})^2 + \frac{3}{8}f_{\rm R}(1\pm\cos\theta_{\ell,W})^2 + \frac{3}{4}f_{\rm 0}\sin^2\theta_{\ell,W}$$

#### Z polarisation:

 $\frac{1}{\sigma_W \pm z} \frac{d\sigma_W \pm z}{d\cos\theta_{\ell,Z}} = \frac{3}{8} f_{\rm L} (1 + 2\alpha\cos\theta_{\ell,Z} + \cos^2\theta_{\ell,Z}) + \frac{3}{8} f_{\rm R} (1 + \cos^2\theta_{\ell,Z} - 2\alpha\cos\theta_{\ell,Z}) + \frac{3}{4} f_0 \sin^2\theta_{\ell,Z}$ 

Diboson final states with ATLAS at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV

# Observation of $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$ electroweak production

