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## LHC precision physics



A "Feynman diagram" of finding New Laws

## Perturbative expansion



## Perturbative expansion



## Perturbative expansion



## What has been achieved for the LHC?



$$
\sigma=\sigma_{0} \alpha_{s}^{n}+\sigma_{1} \alpha_{s}^{n+1}+\sigma_{2} \alpha_{s}^{n+2}+\ldots
$$

## Les Houches wish-list

- There is a long agenda for precision physics at the LHC
- Essential theoretical work which is needed to exploit to its maximum the multi-billion investment for the experiments

| Process | State of the Art | Desired |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\sigma_{\text {tot }}$ (stable tops) @ NNLO QCD <br> $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD <br> $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO EW | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (top decays) <br> @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{j})$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (NWA top decays) <br> $@$ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| $\mathrm{t} \mathrm{\bar{t}+Z}$ | $\mathrm{~d} \sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $\mathrm{d} \sigma($ top decays) @ NLO QCD <br> + NLO EW |
| single-top | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (NWA top decays) <br> @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| dijet | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NNLO QCD (g only) <br> $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NLO EW (weak) | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| 3 j | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NLO QCD | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| $\gamma+\mathrm{j}$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NLO QCD <br> $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NLO EW | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |

Table 2: Wishlist part 2 - Jets and Heavy Quarks

| Process | State of the Art | Desired |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NNLO QCD (expansion in $1 / m_{\mathrm{t}}$ ) full $m_{t} / m_{\mathrm{b}}$ dependence @ NLO QCD and @ NLO EW NNLO+PS, in the $m_{t} \rightarrow \infty$ limit | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NNNLO QCD (infinite- $m_{\mathrm{t}}$ limit) full $m_{\mathrm{t}} / m_{\mathrm{b}}$ dependence @ NNLO QCD and @ NNLO QCD+EW <br> NNLO + PS with finite top quark mass effects |
| $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{j}$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NNLO QCD (g only) and finite-quark-mass effects @ LO QCD and LO EW | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NNLO QCD (infinite- $m_{\mathrm{t}}$ limit) and finite-quark-mass effects <br> @ NLO QCD and NLO EW |
| H + 2j | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\text {tot }}(\text { VBF }) @ \text { NNLO(DIS) QCD } \\ & \mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{VBF}) @ \text { NLO EW } \\ & \mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{gg}) @ \text { NLO QCD (infinite- } m_{\mathrm{t}} \text { limit) } \\ & \text { and finite-quark-mass effects @ LO QCD } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{VBF}) @$ NNLO QCD + NLO EW $\mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{gg}) @$ NNLO QCD (infinite- $m_{\mathrm{t}}$ limit) and finite-quark-mass effects @ NLO QCD and NLO EW |
| H+V | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \sigma \text { @ NNLO QCD } \\ & \mathrm{d} \sigma \text { @ NLO EW } \\ & \sigma_{\text {tot }}(\mathrm{gg}) @ \text { NLO QCD (infinite- } m_{\mathrm{t}} \text { limit) } \end{aligned}$ | with $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{bb}$ @ same accuracy <br> $\mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{gg}) @ \operatorname{NLO} \mathrm{QCD}$ <br> with full $m_{\mathrm{t}} / m_{\mathrm{b}}$ dependence |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{tH} \text { and } \\ & \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | d $\sigma$ (stable top) @ LO QCD | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (top decays) <br> @ NLO QCD and NLO EW |
| tt H | d $\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (top decays) <br> @ NLO QCD and NLO EW |
| gg $\rightarrow$ HH | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NLO QCD (leading $m_{\mathrm{t}}$ dependence) $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NNLO QCD (infinite- $m_{\mathrm{t}}$ limit) | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NLO QCD <br> with full $m_{\mathrm{t}} / m_{\mathrm{b}}$ dependence |

Table 1: Wishlist part $1-\operatorname{Higgs}(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Z})$

| Process | State of the Art | esired |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | d $\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \sigma \text { (lept. V decay) @ NNNLO QCD } \\ & \text { and @ NNLO QCD+EW } \\ & \text { NNLO+PS } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{j})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { d } \sigma \text { (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD } \\ & \text { d } \sigma \text { (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | d $\sigma$ (lept. V decay) <br> @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| $\mathrm{VV}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{V}$ decays) @ NLO QCD <br> $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (on-shell V decays) @ NLO EW | d $\sigma$ (decaying off-shell V) <br> @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| $\mathrm{gg} \rightarrow \mathrm{VV}$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (V decays) @ LO QCD | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD |
| V $\gamma$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{V}$ decay) @ NLO QCD <br> $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (PA, V decay) @ NLO EW | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \sigma \text { (V decay) } \\ & \text { @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Vbb | d $\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD massive b | d $\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD <br> + NLO EW, massless b |
| $\mathrm{VV}^{\prime} \gamma$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{~V} \text { decays }) \\ & @ \text { NLO QCD }+ \text { NLO EW } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{VV}^{\prime} \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{~V} \text { decays }) \\ & \text { @ NLO QCD }+\mathrm{NLO} \text { EW } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{VV}^{\prime}+\mathrm{j}$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{~V} \text { decays) } \\ & \text { @ NLO QCD + NLO EW } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{VV}^{\prime}+\mathrm{jj}$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \sigma(\mathrm{~V} \text { decays) } \\ & \text { @ NLO QCD }+\mathrm{NLO} \text { EW } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\gamma \gamma$ | $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | $q_{T}$ resummation at NNLL matched to NNLO |

## How to compute a multi loop amplitude



NUMERICAL EVALUATION

## A PURELY NUMERICAL APPROACH?



Bypasses the problem of algebraically demanding reductions to master integrals

Bypasses the problem of computing the master integrals

# Singularities of Feynman diagrams with loops 



Some singularities can be avoided with a contour deformation

Endpoint singularities:

Pinched singularities:
Cannot be avoided with a deformation of the contour

## Subtraction of nondeformable singularities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int d^{d} l G(l)=\int d^{d} l G_{\text {sing }}(l) \longrightarrow \\
+\int d^{d} l\left[G(l)-G_{\text {sing }}()\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

- Identify all inescapable singular limits and remove them from the integrand
- Integrate the singular contributions analytically.
- Integrate the smooth bounded remainder numerically.


## Physical picture of "inescapable" singularities

- Singularities that cannot be avoided with a contour deformation are:
- Ultraviolet
- Soft
- Collinear
- Can be found systematically

- But they overlap!


## Subtraction of singularities

## Feynman parameter space

## Momentum space

- IR/UV counterterms can be found algorithmically for arbitrary loops
- A sector-decomposition algorithm can disentangle overlapping singularities
(Binoth, Heinrich; ...)
- Contour deformations can be produced algorithmically for arbitrary loops (Nagy, Soper; ...)
- IR/UV counterterms have been found only at one-loop (Nagy, Soper)
- Contour deformations are known at one-loop and beyond for processes with massless propagators. (Nagy, Soper; Becker, Weinzierl), But not efficient!
- A promising field of research with space for new ideas


## REMOVING THE SINGULARITIES OF TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

- Loop integrals become divergent when internal particles in any of the two loops become collinear to external particles, or they are soft.
- However, the web of singularities at two-loops is complicated.
- Singularities are many and highly entangled



## Nested subtractions <br> Ozan Erdogan, George Sterman

- Order the singular regions by their "volume"
- Subtract an approximation of the integrand in the smallest volume
- Then, proceed to the next volume and repeat until there are no more singularities to remove.

$$
R^{(n)} \gamma^{(n)}=\gamma^{(n)}+\sum_{\left.N \in \mathcal{N} \mid \gamma^{(n)}\right]} \prod_{\rho \in N}\left(-t_{\rho}\right) \gamma^{(n)},
$$
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## Nested subtractions <br> Ozan Erdogan, George Sterman

- Order the singular regions by their "volume"
- Subtract an approximation of the integrand in the smallest volume

$$
R^{(n)} \gamma^{(n)}=\gamma^{(n)}+\sum_{N \in \mathcal{N}\left[\gamma^{(n)}\right]} \prod_{\rho \in N}\left(-t_{\rho}\right) \gamma^{(n)}
$$

- Then, proceed to the next volume and repeat until there are no more singularities to remove.
- Method should work at all orders in perturbation theory.
- This structure gives rise to factorisation into Jet, Soft and Hard functions for scattering amplitudes.



## Nested subtractions at 2-

 loopsCA, George Sterman

- Order of subtractions:
- double-soft
- soft-collinear
- double-collinear
- single-soft
- single-collinear
- Approximations in singular regions do not need to be strict limits!
- Good approximations should not introduce ultraviolet divergences
- Good approximations should be easy to integrate exactly.


An example: 2-loop planar box


Example: planar double-box

$$
F_{P b o x}=\frac{F_{P b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{4} P_{5} P_{6} P_{7}}+F_{P b o x}^{(1 s)}+F_{P b o x}^{(1 c)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { double soft }
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{P b o x}^{(1 s)}=\underbrace{-\underbrace{\frac{1}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}}}\left[\frac{F_{\text {Pbx }}^{(2)}}{P_{4} P_{5} P_{6} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{2}=0}-\frac{1}{P_{4} P_{5} P_{6}}\left[\frac{F_{P b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{5}=0}}_{\text {Single -tot }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu^{2} P_{6}}}{P_{6} P_{5}\left(1-x_{4}\right)}\left\{\left[\frac{F_{P_{b o x}}^{(2)}}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{6}=x_{4} p_{4}}-\left[\frac{F_{P b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{5}=0}\right\} \\
& -\frac{\frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu^{2}-P_{4}}}{\underbrace{}_{A_{4} P_{5} s\left(1-x_{3}\right)}\left\{\left[\frac{F_{P b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{4}=-x_{3} p_{3}}-\left[\frac{F_{P b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{5}=0}\right\}} \\
& \text { single collinear }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example:cross-box

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{X b o x}=\frac{F_{X b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{4} P_{5} P_{6} P_{7}}+F_{X b o x}^{(1 s)}+F_{X b o x}^{(1 c)}, \\
& F_{X b o x}^{(2)}=\left(1-\frac{P_{13}}{s}\right)^{2}+\frac{P_{2}}{t u}\left(P_{2}+s-P_{13}\right) \\
& -\left(1-\frac{P_{1}}{s}\right)\left(\frac{P_{5}}{t}+\frac{P_{7}}{u}\right)-\left(1-\frac{P_{3}}{s}\right)\left(\frac{P_{4}}{u}+\frac{P_{6}}{t}\right)+\frac{P_{2} P_{4567}}{t u} \\
& -\frac{P_{3}}{s}\left(\frac{P_{7}}{t}+\frac{P_{5}}{u}\right)-\frac{P_{1}}{s}\left(\frac{P_{6}}{u}+\frac{P_{4}}{t}\right)+\frac{(t-u)^{2}}{s^{2}} \frac{P_{1} P_{3}}{t u} . \\
& F_{X b o x}^{(1 c)}=-\frac{\frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu^{2}-P_{1}}}{P_{1} P_{2} s\left(1-x_{1}\right)}\left\{\left[\frac{F_{X b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{4} P_{5} P_{6} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{1}=-x_{1} p_{1}}-\left[\frac{F_{X b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{4} P_{5} P_{6} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{2}=0}\right\} \\
& F_{X b o x}^{(1 s)}=-\frac{1}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}}\left[\frac{F_{X b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{4} P_{5} P_{6} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{2}=0} \quad-\frac{\frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu^{2}-P_{1}}}{P_{2} P_{3} s\left(1-x_{3}\right)}\left\{\left[\frac{F_{X b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{4} P_{5} P_{6} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{3}=-x_{2} p_{2}}-\left[\frac{F_{\text {Xbox }}^{(2)}}{P_{4} P_{5} P_{6} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{2}=0}\right\} \\
& -\frac{\frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu^{2}-P_{4}}}{P_{4} P_{5}}\left[\frac{F_{X b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{6} P_{7}}\right]_{k_{5}=-x_{3} p_{3}}-\frac{\frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu^{2}-P_{6}}}{P_{6} P_{7}}\left[\frac{F_{X b o x}^{(2)}}{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{4} P_{5}}\right]_{k_{5}=-x_{4} p_{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example:bubble-box



$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{box}}\right|_{\text {fin }}= & \int \frac{d^{d} k_{2}}{i \pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} \frac{d^{d} k_{5}}{i \pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}\left\{\frac{1}{A_{1} A_{2} A_{3} A_{4} A_{5}}-\frac{1}{A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}}\left[\frac{1}{A_{4} A_{5}}\right]_{k_{2}=0}\right. \\
& -\frac{\frac{-\mu^{2}}{A_{1}-\mu^{2}}}{A_{1} A_{2} s\left(1-x_{1}\right)}\left[\left.\frac{1}{A_{4} A_{5}}\right|_{k_{1}=-x_{1} p_{1}}-\left.\frac{1}{A_{4} A_{5}}\right|_{k_{1}=-p_{1}}\right] \\
= & \left.-\frac{\frac{-\mu^{2}}{A_{3}-\mu^{2}}}{A_{2} A_{3} s\left(1-x_{2}\right)}\left[\left.\frac{1}{A_{4} A_{5}}\right|_{k_{3}=x_{2} p_{2}}-\left.\frac{1}{A_{4} A_{5}}\right|_{k_{3}=p_{2}}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Physical regulators

- The subtraction counterterms are local.
- They can be invented with dimensional regularisation in mind, but they can also be adapted to other regularisation schemes for the IR divergences.
- Small quark masses act as physical regulators.
- In such case, the infrared counterterms integrate to yield the logarithmically enhanced terms of the integral.


## Large logs from small masses easily determined.



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}_{\text {box }}=\left[\frac{1}{\left(A_{1}-m^{2}\right)\left(A_{2}-m^{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{\left(A_{1}-\mu^{2}\right)\left(A_{2}-\mu^{2}\right)}\right]\left[\frac{1}{A_{3} A_{4} A_{5}}\right]_{k_{1}=-x_{2} p_{2}} \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{\left(A_{3}-m^{2}\right)\left(A_{4}-m^{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{\left(A_{3}-\mu^{2}\right)\left(A_{4}-\mu^{2}\right)}\right]\left[\frac{1}{A_{1} A_{2} A_{5}}\right]_{k_{4}=x_{4} p_{4}} \\
& -\left[\frac{1}{\left(A_{1}-m^{2}\right)\left(A_{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(A_{3}-m^{2}\right)\left(A_{4}-m^{2}\right)}\right] \\
& \quad \times\left[-\frac{1}{\left(A_{1}-\mu^{2}\right)\left(A_{2}-\mu^{2}\right)\left(A_{3}-\mu^{2}\right)\left(A_{4}-\mu^{2}\right)}\right]\left[\frac{1}{A_{5}}\right]_{\substack{k_{4}=x_{4} p_{4}, k_{1}=-x_{2} p_{2}}} \\
& \quad+\left.\mathrm{D}_{\text {box }}\right|_{\text {fin }}+\mathcal{O}\left(m^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Large logs from small masses easily determined.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right) \mathrm{D}_{\text {box }}=1 / 3 \ln \left(-\frac{\left(m_{1}^{2}-s\right)\left(m_{1}^{2}-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \ln ^{3}\left(-\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right) m_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \ln ^{2}\left(-\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)-2 \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right) m_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right)\left(\ln \left(-\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)\right) \\
& -1 / 3 \ln ^{3}\left(-\frac{s}{m^{2}}\right) \ln \left(\frac{\left(m_{3}^{2}-s\right)\left(m_{1}^{2}-s\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right)-1 / 3 \ln ^{3}\left(-\frac{t}{m^{2}}\right) \ln \left(\frac{\left(m_{3}^{2}-t\right)\left(m_{1}^{2}-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \\
& +1 / 3 \ln ^{3}\left(-\frac{m_{3}^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \ln \left(-\frac{\left(m_{3}^{2}-t\right)\left(m_{3}^{2}-s\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{s\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \ln ^{2}\left(-\frac{s}{m^{2}}\right) \\
& +2 \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{s\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \ln \left(-\frac{s}{m^{2}}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{t\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \ln ^{2}\left(-\frac{t}{m^{2}}\right) \\
& +2 \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{t\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \ln \left(-\frac{t}{m^{2}}\right)+\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{m_{3}^{2}\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \ln ^{2}\left(-\frac{m_{3}^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \\
& -2 \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{m_{3}^{2}\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \ln \left(-\frac{m_{3}^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)+2 \operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right) m_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \\
& -2 \operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{s\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right)-2 \operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{t\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right) \\
& +2 \operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{m_{3}^{2}\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}-s-t\right)}{m_{1}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-s t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary/Prospects

- I presented a method for the removal of singularities in multiloop integrals.
- We are testing the method on complicated two-loop examples.
- It paves the way for a direct numerical evaluation of two-loop amplitudes in momentum space.
- It can also be used for extracting the asymptotic behaviour of Feynman diagrams in a small mass limit or other kinematic limits.
- Work in progress...

