

North Area Users Survey



The CERN North Area is a unique place to provide a large spectrum of particles beams at various energies for fixed target experiments and R&D projects. This outstanding site was built-up in the 1970's and CERN is actually investigating the needs of consolidation to keep this place as attractive as it always was for the scientific community.

We address this questionnaire form to you because you are (or have been) the responsible or the contact person for an experiment or test beam activities who have applied for beam time in North Area since 2014.

By filling out this form, you will help us to obtain a picture of the NA performances and reliability, to establish the needs for consolidation and to write a proposal. Please bear in mind the goal of this form submission is to obtain an assessment of the frequency and severity of the hardware failures. If possible, exclude problems with beam tuning not related to hardware failure.

Please, fill out as many forms as you have had tests or experiments in NA even within one year.

Filled in by:					Date:	Enter a date	2.
Email:							
*! C.II			·· / NI	160 60140	* CC DD 4	2 : (4 0)	
Name of the e	xperiment or	test beam activ	ity (e.g. iv	A60, COMP	ASS, RD4	2, UA9):	
YEAR of bean	n time (from	2014 to 2017):	Choose	a year.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,			
Particle type:							
alectrons		□ muons		□ badrou	26	☐ ions	
electrons		□ muons	!	☐ hadroi	าร	□ ions	
Momentum:		Inte	ensity:				
Ream line in w	hich vour set	t up was installe	4 ٠				
			u. 				
☐ H2	☐ H4	□ H6	□ H8	□ M2	2	☐ P42/K12]
	L	ı	I.	<u> </u>	•		1
						_	
		lan to take the b	-		t up + dat	ta taking) – Ins	stallation and
de-installation	of your set-u	up must not be t	aken into	account:			
Diagra provide r	···mbare in the	oot ouitable un	:+ /wooks /	Jarra haura r	···mbar at	f -la:f+a\	
Please provide i	iumbers in the	e most suitable un	it (weeks, t	iays, nours, i	iuliibei oi	rsmitsj	
Weeks:							
Days:							
Hours:							
Shifts:							



North Area Users Survey



How long did you REALLY get the beam (please do not take into account the beam interruption that you managed voluntarily). Please provide in the most suitable unit (weeks, days, hours, amount of shift, % of the data taking duration initially planned)

	 ,					
weeks:						
days:						
Hours:						
number of shifts:						
% of the data taking time planned really taken:						
If possible, indicate whether the problem was in th in the secondary lines.	e accelerator, in the transfer line to NA target or					
Other circumstances might have interrupted your data taking even having the beam available (e.g. cryogenic services interrupted for your SC magnet, access control remained stuck,) How long your data taking was interrupted for such a type of reason, if any (please quantify in hours, days, amount of shifts, weeks,)						
You might have encountered an intermediate situal delivered but with a degraded quality. If possible, i accelerators, in the transfer line to NA targets or in problem was related to hardware or beam tuning.	ndicate whether the problem was in the the secondary lines. If possible, specified if the					

Satisfaction ranking for beam and facility availabilities (0-10)



North Area Users Survey



Other remarks / suggestions:								