Physics projections from ATLAS and CMS with upgraded detectors

BERKELEY EXPERIMENTAL PARTICLE PHYSICS

#### Benjamin Nachman

**BERKELEY LAB** 

#### on behalf of the ATLAS and CMS collaborations



<u>HCP 2019</u>, Puebla, Mexico

Saturday, May 25, 2019





#### Jets and photons



Jets



### +Electroweak



#### **Boosted Bosons**

 $10^{6}$ 

 $10^{4}$ 

10<sup>2</sup>

 $10^{-2}$ 

 $10^{-4}$ 

10<sup>-6</sup>

10<sup>-8</sup>

 $d\sigma/d
ho_T$  [pb/GeV]



Hadronic W/Z decays are a great laboratory for QCD studies. Close-by b-jet limits W's from tops. W+jets allows higher  $p_T$ !



#### Vector boson scattering

(remember,  $V_L V_L \rightarrow V_L V_L$  diverges without the Higgs)

For ZZ, see FTR-18-014/PUB-18-029 and for WZ, see FTR-18-038/PUB-18-023

#### Ultra precision

14 TeV

**√**S =



14&27 TeV

27 TeV

\*for similar result from CMS, see FTR-17-001

## +Top quark physics



#### Boosted top quarks



We will have an enormous top quark dataset at high  $p_T$ , which can be used for  $\alpha_S$  (NNLO) and PDFs (high x-gluon)

### Top quark pairs + X

#### ex. X = top quark pairs



1)

#### CMS-PAS-FTR-18-031

Source	Uncertainty (%)
Integrated luminosity	2.5
Pileup	0–6
Trigger efficiency	2
Lepton selection	4–10
Jet energy scale	1–15
Jet energy resolution	1–5
b tagging	1–15
Size of simulated sample	1–10
Scale and PDF variations	10–15
ISR/FSR (signal)	5–15
ttH (normalization)	50
Rare, $X\gamma$ , t <del>t</del> VV (norm.)	50
$t\bar{t}Z/\gamma *, t\bar{t}W$ (normalization)	40
Charge misidentification	20
Nonprompt leptons	30–60

Evidence in Run 3, < 20% measurement possible with HL-LHC. For X = Z, see <u>FTR-18-036</u> and for X =  $\gamma$ , see <u>PUB-18-049</u>.

### Flavor Changing Neutral Currents



<u>Run 2 (36 fb-1)</u>: ~2 x 10<sup>-4</sup>

## +Higgs



### **Cross sections and Branching Ratios**



theory ~ experiment uncertainty in many cases! (many more details of this important program in the references)

CMS-PAS-FTR-18-011 ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-054

#### Invisible decays





Forward quark jets are a significant experimental challenge, but this is an important channel for broad sensitivity to (semi)invisible decays. High BR decays still possible - we must explore all possibilities!



### Di-Higgs and Higgs self-coupling

HH at  $\sim 3\sigma$  / experiment; self coupling with  $\sim 50\%$ .



ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-053

See <u>FTR-18-020</u> for alternative probes of the self-coupling.

#### +BSM



### Sleptons

Electroweakinos in backup



3 ab<sup>-1</sup> (14 TeV)

CMS-PAS-FTR-18-010

**CMS** Phase-2 Simulation

# Current limits only marginally better than LEP (~100 GeV)



Much smaller cross-sections compared with squarks and gluinos - huge gains from HL-LHC dataset.



# Significant extension in reach for charged and neutral new bosons



(fb)

ш х

р

10<sup>3</sup> ⊧

10<sup>2</sup>

10

10<sup>-1</sup>

20

3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> (14 TeV)

expected limit, median

expected limit  $\pm 1 \sigma$ 

expected limit  $\pm$  2  $\sigma$  LO SSM W' , theory

expectation

expected limit 300 fb<sup>-1</sup>, median

CMS-PAS-FTR-18-030

CMS Phase-2

95 % Cl

Simulation Preliminary

### Heavy Higgs



There are many channels,  $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$  is key channel and important motivation for improving  $\tau$  reconstruction performance.

#### Long lived particles

There is also many possibilities for long lived particle searches using the increased detector capabilities + larger luminosity.





### **Conclusions and Outlook**

HL-LHC dataset will be a rich source of physics analysis **for many years**!

Many places where gains could be bigger with improvements in modeling\*

(from both theory and auxiliary measurements)



There are also many places where analysis innovation (e.g. **deep learning**) will be essential ... in fact, some of the results shown today used modern machine learning tools!

### **Conclusions and Outlook**

HL-LHC dataset will be a rich source of physics analysis **for many years**!

Many places where gains could be bigger with improvements in modeling\*

(from both theory and auxiliary measurements)

We must also be **prepared for the unexpected**! ...we have many years of rich data ahead of us.

0

(see LHC Olympics 2020 in the backup slides!)





## LHC Olympics 2020

#### LHCOlympics2020

Timetable

Overview

Participant List

LHCOlympics2020

Slack channel



Despite an impressive and extensive effort by the LHC collaborations, there is currently no convincing evidence for new particles produced in high-energy collisions. At the same time, there has been a growing interest in machine learning techniques to enhance potential signals using all of the available information.

In the spirit of the first LHC Olympics (circa 2005-2006) [1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>], we are organizing the 2020 LHC Olympics. Our goal is to ensure that the LHC search program is sufficiently well-rounded to capture "all" rare and complex signals. The final state for this olympics will be focused (generic dijet events) but the observable phase space and potential BSM parameter space(s) are large: all hadrons in the event can be used for learning (be it "cuts", supervised machine learning, or unsupervised machine learning).

For setting up, developing, and validating your methods, we provide background events and a few benchmark signal models. You can download these from [ZENODO LINK]. To help get you started, we have also prepared simple python scripts to read in the data and do some basic processing.

The final test will happen 2 weeks before the ML4Jets2020 workshop. We will release new datasets where the "background" will be similar to but not identical to the one in the development set (as is true in real data!). Each of these datasets will have signal injected somewhere and it is up to you to see if you can find (a) find a signal (b) what is the mass, and (c) what is the cross section. To keep the scope limited, all signals will be of the form X -> hadrons, where X is a new massive particle with an O(TeV) mass. The events require at least one R = 1.0 jet with  $p_T > 1$  TeV. For each event, we provide a list of all hadrons ( $p_T$ , eta, phi,  $p_T$ , eta, phi, ...) zero-padded up to 600 hadrons.

We strongly encourage you to publish your original research methods using these datasets (before or after) the unveiling of the results. Anyone who participates will be part of a summary paper to be prepared following the workshop.

Please do not hesitate to ask questions: we will use the ML4Jets slack channel to discuss technical questions related to this challenge.

Good luck!

Gregor Kasieczka, Ben Nachman, and David Shih

## Upgrade Parallel Talks

BSM: Giuliano Gustavino

SM: <u>Alexander Savin</u>

Higgs: Jose Feliciano Benitez

#### Electroweakinos





#### CMS-PAS-FTR-18-001



Much smaller cross-sections compared with squarks and gluinos - huge gains from HL-LHC dataset.

Object performance + uncertainties

#### <u>CMS NOTE -2018/006</u>

#### ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-026

#### Executive summary of Yellow Report:

#### <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/pub/LHCPhysics/</u> <u>HLHELHCWorkshop/report.pdf</u>

#### ATLAS an CMS upgrade physics twiki pages:

#### https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/ UpgradePhysicsStudies

http://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/publicresults/preliminary-results/FTR/index.html



Open Symposium: Update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/808335/</u>

#### Invisible decays





#### ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-038

		Systematic Uncertainties [% of nominal]			inal]		
$\frac{\mathcal{B}(H \to \text{invs.})}{\mathcal{B}_{\text{Truth, Nominal}}(H \to \text{invs.})}$		100%	50%	50% + fixed efficiency	10%	I	limit
PU jet rejection	None	_	_	0.31	0.59		BB
	$R_{p_{\mathrm{T}}}$	_	_	0.28	0.48		ative
	Truth	1.0	0.48	0.07	0.10	↓	Be

### CERN-LHCC-2017-012



	ATLAS $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV	ATLAS $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV	ATLAS $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV
$\mathcal{L}$ [fb <sup>-1</sup> ]	20	3000	3000
PDF set	MMHT14 [18]	CT14 [13]	PDF4LHC15 <sub>HL-LHC</sub> [19]
$\sin^2 \theta_{eff} \ [\times 10^{-5}]$	23140	23153	23153
Stat.	± 21	$\pm 4$	$\pm 4$
PDFs	± 24	± 16	± 13
Experimental Syst.	± 9	$\pm 8$	$\pm 6$
Other Syst.	± 13	-	-
Total	± 36	± 18	± 15