EFT Interpretation of Top Quark Measurements at the LHC

> 7th Large Hadron Collider Physics 2019 May 20-25, 2019, Puebla, Mexico

PROLAY KUMAR MAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION & RESEARCH BHUBANESWAR, INDIA



# **Top Quark Effective Field Theory**



- CR Expansion of the SM Lagrangian with higher-order operators to model New Physics (NP) at an energy scale, Λ
  - $\operatorname{\mathfrak{GS}}$  SM Lagrangian ( $\mathcal{L}_{SM}$ ) consists of Dimension-4 operators
  - Of Dimension-5 operators typically excluded as they do not conserve lepton number
  - CM The Effective Lagrangian ( $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$ ) is a series of dimension-6 operators ( $\mathcal{O}_i$ ) with dimensionless Wilson coefficients ( $c_i$ ) to parametrize the NP interaction strength
  - CS Theoretically consistent, Model independent approach
- C LHCTop Working Group proposal for EFT interpretation:
  - In total, 59 dimension-6

arXiv:1802.07237 [hep-ph]

- operators conserving baryon number
- and lepton numbers
- Several of them are
- relevant for Top EFT interpretation

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum c_i \mathcal{O}_i + \cdots$ 

# LHCTopWG EFT Proposal



#### 4-Quark Operators

$$\begin{split} &O_{qq}^{1(ijkl)} = (\bar{q}_i \gamma^{\mu} q_j) (\bar{q}_k \gamma_{\mu} q_l), \\ &O_{qq}^{3(ijkl)} = (\bar{q}_i \gamma^{\mu} \tau^I q_j) (\bar{q}_k \gamma_{\mu} \tau^I q_l), \\ &O_{qu}^{1(ijkl)} = (\bar{q}_i \gamma^{\mu} q_j) (\bar{u}_k \gamma_{\mu} u_l), \\ &O_{qu}^{8(ijkl)} = (\bar{q}_i \gamma^{\mu} T^A q_j) (\bar{u}_k \gamma_{\mu} T^A u_l), \\ &O_{qd}^{1(ijkl)} = (\bar{q}_i \gamma^{\mu} T^A q_j) (\bar{d}_k \gamma_{\mu} d_l), \\ &O_{qd}^{8(ijkl)} = (\bar{q}_i \gamma^{\mu} T^A q_j) (\bar{d}_k \gamma_{\mu} u_l), \\ &O_{ud}^{1(ijkl)} = (\bar{u}_i \gamma^{\mu} u_j) (\bar{d}_k \gamma_{\mu} d_l), \\ &O_{ud}^{1(ijkl)} = (\bar{u}_i \gamma^{\mu} u_j) (\bar{d}_k \gamma_{\mu} d_l), \\ &O_{ud}^{8(ijkl)} = (\bar{u}_i \gamma^{\mu} T^A u_j) (\bar{d}_k \gamma_{\mu} d_l), \\ &\delta_{ud}^{8(ijkl)} = (\bar{q}_i u_j) \varepsilon (\bar{q}_k d_l), \\ &^{\dagger} O_{quqd}^{1(ijkl)} = (\bar{q}_i T^A u_j) \varepsilon (\bar{q}_k T^A d_l), \end{split}$$

#### 2-Quark Operators

 ${}^{\dagger}O_{u\varphi}^{(ij)} = \bar{q}_{i}u_{j}\tilde{\varphi} (\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi),$   $O_{\varphi q}^{1(ij)} = (\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{i}\gamma^{\mu}q_{j}),$   $O_{\varphi q}^{3(ij)} = (\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{i}\gamma^{\mu}\tau^{I}q_{j}),$   $O_{\varphi u}^{(ij)} = (\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{i}\gamma^{\mu}u_{j}),$   ${}^{\dagger}O_{\varphi u d}^{(ij)} = (\tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}iD_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{i}\gamma^{\mu}d_{j}),$   ${}^{\dagger}O_{uW}^{(ij)} = (\bar{q}_{i}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\tau^{I}u_{j})\tilde{\varphi}W_{\mu\nu}^{I},$   ${}^{\dagger}O_{uW}^{(ij)} = (\bar{q}_{i}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\tau^{I}d_{j})\varphi W_{\mu\nu}^{I},$   ${}^{\dagger}O_{uB}^{(ij)} = (\bar{q}_{i}\sigma^{\mu\nu}T^{A}u_{j})\tilde{\varphi}B_{\mu\nu},$   ${}^{\dagger}O_{uG}^{(ij)} = (\bar{q}_{i}\sigma^{\mu\nu}T^{A}u_{j})\tilde{\varphi}G_{\mu\nu}^{A},$ 

#### 2-Quark-2-Lepton Operators

$$\begin{split} O_{lq}^{1(ijkl)} &= (\bar{l}_i \gamma^{\mu} l_j) (\bar{q}_k \gamma^{\mu} q_l), \\ O_{lq}^{3(ijkl)} &= (\bar{l}_i \gamma^{\mu} \tau^I l_j) (\bar{q}_k \gamma^{\mu} \tau^I q_l), \\ O_{lu}^{(ijkl)} &= (\bar{l}_i \gamma^{\mu} l_j) (\bar{u}_k \gamma^{\mu} u_l), \\ O_{eq}^{(ijkl)} &= (\bar{e}_i \gamma^{\mu} e_j) (\bar{q}_k \gamma^{\mu} q_l), \\ O_{eu}^{(ijkl)} &= (\bar{e}_i \gamma^{\mu} e_j) (\bar{u}_k \gamma^{\mu} u_l), \\ ^{\ddagger} O_{lequ}^{1(ijkl)} &= (\bar{l}_i e_j) \varepsilon (\bar{q}_k u_l), \\ ^{\ddagger} O_{lequ}^{3(ijkl)} &= (\bar{l}_i \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_j) \varepsilon (\bar{q}_k \sigma_{\mu\nu} u_l), \\ ^{\ddagger} O_{lequ}^{(ijkl)} &= (\bar{l}_i e_j) (\bar{d}_k q_l), \end{split}$$

arXiv:1802.07237 [hep-ph]

- Prescriptions for EFT interpretation from LHC Top quark Measurements
- Number of degrees of freedom
  - Sour heavy quarks: 11 + 2 CPV
  - Two light and two heavy quarks: 14
  - Two heavy quarks and bosons: 9 + 6 CPV
  - Two heavy quarks and two leptons: (8 + 3 CPV) x 3 lepton flavors

#### ○२ Top EFT Operators implemented at tree-level in dim6top UFO model: https://feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/dim6top

#### Top Analyses for EFT Interpretation



- Various dimension-6 operators can effect the top quark production processes at the LHC in different production modes
- CMS/ATLAS interpretation for the following processes at vs=13 TeV so far





## Interpretation of ttZ measurements



- Same-sign and Opposite sign dilepton, trilepton and tetra-lepton inclusive cross-section analysis
- ◆ 5 operators can modify the ttZ rates:
   O<sup>(3)</sup><sub>φQ</sub>, O<sup>(1)</sup><sub>φQ</sub>, O<sub>φt</sub>, O<sub>tW</sub>, O<sub>tB</sub>
   ◆ O<sup>(3)</sup><sub>ΦQ</sub> and O<sup>(1)</sup><sub>ΦQ</sub> are contribute to ttZ vertex as a linear combination
  - ♦ Measurement is sensitive to the difference:  $\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{\Phi Q}$   $\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{\Phi Q}$







## Interpretation of ttZ measurements





- ♦ EFT ttZ signal weight estimation at the generator level wrt the SM signal strength
- Reconstructed events reweighted to obtain the EFT signal shape





## Interpretation of ttZ measurements







### New Physics limits with tW/tt $\rightarrow$ dilepton



electer

arXiv:1903.11144 [hep-ex] submitted to FPIC

- Final state signature with two  $\diamond$ isolated leptons and b-jets
- ♦ Signal categorization:

 $\diamond$  tt: 2 leptons + >=2 bjets  $\diamond$  tW: 2 leptons + 0-1 bjet

- $\diamond$  Wilson coefficients sensitive to BSM contributions to the tt and tW production:  $C_G$ ,  $C^{(3)}_{\Phi q}$ ,  $C_{tW}$ ,  $C_{tG}$ ,  $C_{uG}$ , and C<sub>cG</sub>; C<sub>tG</sub> can be probed with both tW and tt
- $\diamond$  Simultaneous fits in different dilepton & b-tagged regions: C<sub>G</sub>
- $\diamond$  Neural Network based separation between tt, tW and FCNC signal

 $\diamond$  tt vs tW:  $C^{(3)}_{\Phi q}$ ,  $C_{tW}$ ,  $C_{tG}$ 

 $\diamond$  SM (tt+tW) vs FCNC tW: C<sub>uG</sub>, and C<sub>cG</sub>



leeeoo

LHCP2019, Puebla, Mexico, May 20-25, 2019

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

0.7

0.9

0.8

0.8

0.9

NN output



### New Physics limits with tW/tt $\rightarrow$ dilepton



#### arXiv:1903.11144 [hep-ex] submitted to EPJC

Eff. coupling	Channel	Observed	Expected
	ee	$-0.14^{+0.51}_{-0.82}$ $[-1.14$ , $0.83]$	$0.00^{+0.59}_{-0.90}$ [ $-1.20$ , $0.88$ ]
C <sub>G</sub>	еµ	$-0.18\substack{+0.42\\-0.73}$ $[-1.01$ , 0.70]	$0.00^{+0.51}_{-0.82}$ [ $-1.08$ , 0.77]
	μμ	$-0.14\substack{+0.44\-0.75}$ $[-1.06$ , $0.75]$	$0.00^{+0.57}_{-0.88}$ [ $-1.16$ , 0.85]
	Combined	$-0.18\substack{+0.42\-0.73}$ $[-1.01$ , 0.70]	$0.00^{+0.51}_{-0.82}$ [-1.07 , 0.76]
	ee	$1.12^{+2.89}_{-1.18}\left[-4.03$ , $4.37 ight]$	$0.00^{+1.74}_{-2.53}$ [ $-6.40$ , $3.27$ ]
$C^{(3)}$	еµ	$-0.70^{+0.59}_{-2.16}$ $[-3.74$ , $1.61]$	$0.00^{+1.12}_{-1.34}$ $[-2.57$ , $2.15]$
$C_{\phi q}$	μμ	$1.13^{+2.86}_{-0.87} \left[-3.58$ , $4.46 ight]$	$0.00^{+1.92}_{-2.20}$ $[-4.68$ , $3.66$ ]
	Combined	$-1.52^{-0.33}_{-2.71}$ [ $-3.82$ , 0.63]	$0.00^{+0.88}_{-1.05}$ $[-2.04$ , $1.63]$
	ee	$6.18^{+7.81}_{-3.02}\left[-4.16 ight.$ , $8.95 ight]$	$0.00^{+6.81}_{-2.02}$ [ $-3.33$ , 8.12]
Carr	eμ	$1.64^{+\overline{5.59}}_{-0.80}$ [ $-1.89$ , 6.68]	$0.00^{+\overline{6}.\overline{19}}_{-1.40}$ [ $-2.39$ , 7.18]
$\subset_{tW}$	μμ	$-1.40^{+7.79}_{-3.00}$ $[-4.23$ , 9.01]	$0.00^{+6.97}_{-2.18}$ [ $-3.63$ , $8.42$ ]
	Combined	$2.38^{+4.57}_{+0.22} \left[-0.96$ , $5.74 ight]$	$0.00^{+\overline{5.93}}_{-1.14}$ $[-1.91$ , 6.70]
	ee	$-0.19^{+0.02}_{-0.40}$ $[-0.65$ , $0.22]$	$0.00^{+0.21}_{-0.22} \left[-0.44$ , $0.41 ight]$
Cue	eμ	$-0.03^{+0.11}_{-0.19}$ $[-0.34$ , $0.27]$	$0.00^{+0.15}_{-0.17}$ [ $-0.34$ , 0.29]
	μμ	$-0.15^{+0.02}_{-0.34}$ $[-0.53$ , $0.19]$	$0.00^{+0.18}_{-0.19}$ [ $-0.40$ , $0.35$ ]
	Combined	$-0.13\substack{+0.02\\-0.27}$ $[-0.41$ , $0.17]$	$0.00^{+0.14}_{-0.15}$ [ $-0.30$ , 0.28]
	ee	$-0.017^{+0.22}_{-0.22}$ [ $-0.37$ ,0.37 ]	$0.00^{+0.29}_{-0.29}$ $[-0.42$ , $0.42]$
C	eμ	$-0.017^{+0.17}_{-0.17}$ [-0.29, 0.29]	$0.00^{+0.26}_{-0.26}$ [ $-0.38$ , 0.38]
	μμ	$-0.017_{-0.17}^{+0.17}$ [-0.29, 0.29]	$0.00^{+0.27}_{-0.27}$ [ $-0.38$ , 0.38]
	Combined	$-0.017^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$ [ $-0.22$ ,0.22 ]	$0.00^{+0.21}_{-0.21}$ [-0.30, 0.30]
	ee	$-0.032^{+0.47}_{-0.47}$ [ $-0.78$ ,0.78 ]	$0.00^{+0.63}_{-0.63}$ [ $-0.92$ , 0.92]
	eμ	$-0.032^{+0.34}_{-0.34}$ [ $-0.60$ ,0.60 ]	$\left  \begin{array}{c} 0.00^{+0.56}_{-0.56} \left[ -0.81  , 0.81 \right] \right $
	μμ	$-0.032^{+0.36}_{-0.36}$ [-0.63 ,0.63 ]	$\left  \begin{array}{c} 0.00^{+0.58}_{-0.58} \left[ -0.84  , 0.84 \right]  ight $
	Combined	$-0.032^{+0.26}_{-0.26}$ [-0.46 ,0.46 ]	$0.00^{+0.46}_{-0.46}$ $[-0.65$ , 0.65]

- No excess in data have been observed and limits on the 6 coupling constants are set
- ♦ First experimental
   bound on C<sub>G</sub> from top
   quark results
- ♦ The limits on C<sub>uG</sub> and C<sub>cG</sub> are translated into the FCNC branching ratios at 95% CL:

 $\Rightarrow$  BR(t $\rightarrow$ ug)<0.12%



### Search for 4 top quarks



- ♦ Inclusive cross-section in single lepton and opposite sign dilepton signatures
- $\diamond$  In SM, NLO predicted

 $\sigma_{pp \rightarrow tttt}$ ≈ 9 fb at vs=13 TeV

- Event categorization based on number jets and tagged jets
- ♦ Only relevant EFT dimension-6 operators:  $\mathcal{O}_{tt}^1$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{QQ}^1$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{Qt}^1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{Qt}^8$
- Probe for 4 heavy quark interactions including tttt operator
- Observed cross-section is consistent with the SM and is used to constrain the EFT coupling parameters

#### CMS-PAS-TOP-17-019



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{tt}^{1} = (\bar{t}_{R}\gamma^{\mu}t_{R})\left(\bar{t}_{R}\gamma_{\mu}t_{R}\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{QQ}^{1} = (\bar{Q}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}Q_{L})\left(\bar{Q}_{L}\gamma_{\mu}Q_{L}\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{Qt}^{1} = (\bar{Q}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}Q_{L})\left(\bar{t}_{R}\gamma_{\mu}t_{R}\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{Qt}^{8} = \left(\bar{Q}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}T^{A}Q_{L}\right)\left(\bar{t}_{R}\gamma_{\mu}T^{A}t_{R}\right), \end{aligned}$$

_	Operator	Expected $C_k/\Lambda^2$ (TeV $^{-2}$ )	Observed (TeV <sup>-2</sup> )
	$\mathcal{O}_{tt}^1$	[-1.5, 1.4]	[-2.2, 2.1]
	$\mathcal{O}_{QQ}^{1}$	[-1.5, 1.4]	[-2.2, 2.0]
	$\mathcal{O}^1_{Qt}$	[-2.5, 2.4]	[-3.7, 3.5]
,	$\mathcal{O}_{Qt}^{8}$	[-5.7, 4.5]	[-8.0, 6.8]



### Top Chromo-magnetic Dipole Moment



- Anomalous Chromo-Magnetic Dipole
   Moment (CMDM) of the top quark
   corresponds to the O<sub>tG</sub> operator in EFT <sub>№</sub>
- Top pair Spin Density matrix measurement using the dilepton events
- Simultaneous fit using 20 parton-level differential distributions sensitive to tt spin correlation and top polarization:

+0.07< C<sub>tG</sub>/Λ<sup>2</sup> <0.16 at 95% CL

↔ Previous constraints on C<sub>tG</sub>/Λ<sup>2</sup> using the dσ/dΔφ(I,I) JHEP 02, 149 (2019)

 $\diamond$  -0.06<  $C_{tG}/\Lambda^2$  <0.41 at 95% CL





# **Summary & Conclusions**



- ♦ With the 2016 and 2017 datasets both ATLAS and CMS have completed some of the key analyses related to Top quarks
- No clear evidence for the New Physics contribution into the Top physics is observed yet
- Many top quark results (FCNC, differential cross-section, 4 top) have been interpreted using Effective Field Theory approach using relevant dimension-6 operators (recommended by the LHCTopWG)
  - For quite a few sensitive channels, Top quark related Wilson Coefficients are best constrained
- Any more Top EFT interpretation to follow using Run 2 measurements
  - Further exploration of different approaches considering consistent treatment of different top production processes





### References

♦ LHCTopWG: <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/LHCTopWG</u>
 ♦ ATLAS: <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/TopPublicResults</u>
 ♦ CMS: <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsTOP</u>