8.08.2019 *Toronto, Canada*

INFN TORINO

Muon collider: the Low EMittance Muon Accelerator approach

Lepton Photon 2019

LP2019

Nazar Bartosik
(INFN Torino)
for the LEMMA Collaboration

The Standard Model is incomplete and we are looking for signs of New Physics

There are two ways to search for it:

1. direct	observe decays of BSM particles produced in high $\sqrt{s}$ collisions
2. indirect	find deviations from theory in precision SM measurements

The LHC will operate until about 2040 to produce ~3000 fb^{-1} of data at $\sqrt{s}$=14 TeV

- most-notably: ~170M Higgs bosons including **~120K HH pairs → ~3σ evidence**



Experimental + theoretical uncertainties will start to limit the precision



Post LHC scenarios

Typically two classes of accelerators are considered as LHC successors:

1. ***pp* colliders** *(FCC-hh)*
   - \+ very heavy particles can be produced (~few TeV)
   - \+ lots of additional radiation produced in hadronic collisions
   - \+ kinematics of interacting partons is uncertain *(limited by PDFs)*

   ↳ **preferable for <u>direct</u> searches**

2. ***e⁺e⁻* colliders** *(FCC-ee, ILC, CLIC)*
   - \+ extremely clean final states with minimum of additional radiation
   - \+ kinematics of interacting particles known precisely
   - \+ limited energy reach (up to 0.5 TeV at FCC) due to synchrotron radiation

   ↳ **vital for <u>indirect</u> searches**

Each of the two scenarios requires a dedicated accelerator complex **+ new tunnel**

↳ increased time and cost requirements for the accelerator construction

There is an alternative: Muon Collider

Advantages of both *pp* and *e⁺e⁻* colliders can be combined in a *μ⁺μ⁻* collider

- + **same clean final states as in e^+e^- collisions**
- + **initial state kinematics precisely known**
- + **all energy delivered to the collision**: *multi-TeV particles can be produced*
- + **much less synchrotron radiation:** *compact layout + energy efficient*
  - ↳ **$\sqrt{s}$ = 14 TeV collider** can be fit in the existing LHC tunnel ≈100 TeV ***pp*** collider

Serious challenges to be addressed:

- accelerating and colliding muons before they decay
- suppressing background from the $\mu^\pm$ beam decay products ($e^\pm$, ν)
- **producing a low-emittance muon beam** to the accelerator

focus of this talk



Classical scheme: MAP

Major effort towards a multi-TeV Muon Collider design made by:

- U.S. Muon Accelerator Program **(MAP)**
- International Muon Ionization Cooling Experiment **(MICE)**

FERMILAB-CONF-13-307-APC



A series of RF cavities + solenoid coils to reduce the transverse beam divergence

New scheme: LEMMA

NIM A807 (2016) 101-107

A new approach has been proposed recently: Low Emittance Muon Accelerator producing muons at the **$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$** threshold *($\sqrt{s} \approx 212$ MeV)*

+ divergence of the $\mu^{\pm}$ beams very small and tunable via $\sqrt{s}$
+ long $\mu^{\pm}$ beam lifetime (~500 µs) → reduced losses from the $\mu^{\pm}$ decays



high-intensity positron beam *(could be FCC-ee)*

***$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$* beam already cooled**

$\mu^{\pm}$ acceleration

$\mu^+ + \mu^- \rightarrow X$

Very elegant and technically simpler design → has to be experimentally proven

LEMMA testbeam: goals

The LEMMA concept put to a test in a series of testbeam campaigns in 2017/2018

- using the CERN SPS beam line as a positron source *(5×10^6 e^+/spill)*

<u>The main goal of the testbeam</u>: understand if the LEMMA approach is feasible

$$N(\mu^+\mu^-) = N(e^+) \cdot \rho(e^-) \cdot \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \cdot L$$

L – target length

A number of measurements foreseen to answer this question:

- **kinematic properties of the produced muons** (emittance, momentum, …)
- **cross section of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ production** *(depends on the e^+ energy)*
- **effect of the target material/thickness**

<u>Data taking performed with a number of different configurations:</u>

- **target materials:** *Be*, *C*
- **Target thickness:** 2 cm, 6 cm
- **positron-beam energies:** 45 GeV, 46.5 GeV, 49 GeV

LEMMA testbeam: layout

A combination of detectors used to measure the $\mu^{\pm}$ trajectories and energies

Layout of the experimental setup: *August 2018*



Scintillators used as external trigger for the **Silicon stations** and **Calorimeter**



DT chambers acquired with trigger-less readout

↳ allows to determine efficiency of the external trigger

LEMMA testbeam: analysis progress

Several calibration runs were performed without a target:

- **μ⁻ beam:** for alignment of the Calorimeters and DT muon chambers
- **e⁺ beam:** for alignment of the Silicon stations + calibration of the Calorimeters

First version of muon analysis performed: *calorimeter information not considered*

- reconstructing e^+ and $\mu^\pm$ trajectories and selecting good $\mu^+\mu^-$ candidate events
  - μ^+ and μ^- tracks intersecting inside the magnet
  - **$m(\mu^+\mu^-) = 2 \cdot 106$ MeV && $p(\mu^+) + p(\mu^-) = p(e^+)$**



LEMMA testbeam: preliminary results

Reconstructed hits from Silicon stations and DT chambers in a signal event →
(August 19th, 2018)



Reconstructed hit positions in silicon stations before and after the beam pipe

- good agreement with the MC simulation

X in det30



X in det31



LEMMA testbeam: preliminary results

Silicon stations and DT chambers used for the muon track reconstruction

- providing ~6% momentum resolution



Significant improvement in 2018 compared to 2017

- low statistics due to hardware problems in 2017





LEMMA testbeam: preliminary results

Reconstructed muon kinematics in a good agreement with the MC simulation









Not all setup features implemented in MC yet

Alignment of the detectors not perfect yet

LEMMA testbeam: trigger efficiency

DT muon chambers have a trigger-less readout: all channels acquired every 25ns

- can detect $\mu^+\mu^-$ events without the external trigger
- similar design considered by the LHCb/CMS/ATLAS for HL-LHC



Each of the 4 chambers contains 64 cells arranged in 4 layers

Measuring time of a charge carrier reaching the wire

↳ reference time t_0 needed to convert time to a hit position



A triplet of hits sufficient to determine t_0 *(meantimer method)*

↳ separate equation for each type of pattern

The determined t_0 found to be more precise than the external trigger due to a ~3 ns jitter in the trigger electronics

The number of events identified with DT data: ~10K events **preliminary**

- trigger efficiency: 2% *(hardware problems)* → 20% *(problems solved)*

$$\varepsilon_{trg} = \frac{N_{trg}}{N_{DT}}$$

Summary

A Muon Collider is a promising project that could replace or complement the rather well studied e^+e^- and *pp* collider options

LEMMA is an elegant solution for producing low-emittance muon beams

↳ has been successfully implemented using the e^+ beam at CERN

A number of open questions remain:

1. Can the desired $\mu^\pm$ production rate of ~10^{11} be achieved?
2. What is the actual *luminosity* vs *emittance* dependence?
3. What is the effect of the target material and length on the emittance?

The obtained testbeam data is the first step in providing the answers

A lot of work has already been done: experimental setup + data analysis

↳ results are close to publication *(unfortunately not as precise as anticipated)*

A lot has been learned from the two testbeam campaigns

↳ expecting to have a much better setup for the next time