# Asymptotic Scale Invariance, Vacuum Stability and Higgs Inflation

Kengo Shimada

EPFL (Lausanne, Switzerland)

arXiv: 1808.\*\*\*\* with Mikhail Shaposhnikov

SUSY2018, Barcelona July 26

#### Introduction

LHC experiment

- $\cdot$  Higgs boson  $m_h \simeq 125\,{
  m GeV}$
- The SM is consistent so far.

SM is valid up to very high energy scale?



depending on top mass.

D.Buttazzo, G.Degrassi, P.P.Giardino, G.F.Giudice, F.Sala, A.Salvio, A.Strumia (2014)



#### Introduction

LHC experiment

- $\cdot$  Higgs boson  $m_h \simeq 125\,{
  m GeV}$
- The SM is consistent so far.

SM is valid up to very high energy scale?



D.Buttazzo, G.Degrassi, P.P.Giardino, G.F.Giudice, F.Sala, A.Salvio, A.Strumia (2014)



Introduction

Scale Anomaly and Effective Potential

Asymptotic Scale Invariance and Stability

Asymptotic Scale Invariance and Higgs Inflation

Summary

Invariance under 
$$\left\{ egin{array}{c} x^\mu o \sigma^{-1} x^\mu \ \Phi(x) o \sigma^{d_\Phi} \Phi(x) \end{array} 
ight. d_\Phi : {
m Mass dimension} {
m of dynamical fields } \Phi \end{array} 
ight.$$

Explicit mass scale breaks SI :

$$V = -\frac{\mu_{\rm EW}^2}{2} h^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} h^4$$

In the SM of particle physics sector,

SI is broken by the negative Higgs mass term.

Scale invariant for  $h \gg \mu_{\rm EW}$  .  $_{\rm (approximately)}$ 

If you want,  $\mu_{\rm EW} \propto \phi$  : dynamical but is NOT crucial here.

SI is anomalous with regularization/renormalization NOT respecting the symmetry.

Dimensional regularization  $n = 4 - 2\varepsilon$  $\frac{\lambda h^4}{4} \implies \mu^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \times \frac{\lambda h^4}{4}$ 

An explicit mass scale is introduced for the divergence and defines coupling "constants".

SI is anomalous with regularization/renormalization NOT respecting the symmetry.



Effective potential

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{h^4}{4} \left[ \lambda + \frac{B}{2} \ln \frac{h^2}{\mu^2} + \cdots \right]$$
$$\equiv \frac{\lambda_{\text{eff}}(h)}{4} h^4$$







Field-dependent



for 
$$h\gg\mu_{\star}$$



for 
$$h\gg\mu_{\star}$$

Pawel Olszewski's talk (Tuesday) about formal aspects of quantum scale invariance

#### **Asymptotic Scale Invariance**

Some Cosmological Implications

$$\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{\text{aSI}}(h) = \lambda + \frac{B}{2} \ln \frac{h^2}{\omega^2} + \cdots$$



$$\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{\text{aSI}}(h) = \lambda + \frac{B}{2} \ln \frac{h^2}{\mu^2 (1 + h^2/\mu_\star^2)} + \cdots \implies \lambda_{\text{eff}}(\mu_\star) > 0$$

$$\overset{\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{\text{aSI}}}{\underset{\lambda_{\text{eff}}(\mu_\star)}{}} \xrightarrow{\text{Stops "running" at}} \underset{h \sim \mu_\star}{} \underset{h \sim \mu_\star}{} \underset{\text{If } \mu_\star < h_\star}{} \underset{\text{If } \mu_\star < h_\star}{}$$

Couplings run as energy scale of scattering increases.



$$\omega^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \times \frac{\lambda h^4}{4} \quad : \text{Non-renormalizable}$$

$$\omega^2 \propto \mu_\star^2 + h^2$$



Non-polynomial operators are needed for renormalization

$$\omega^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \times \frac{h^{4+2k}}{(\mu_{\star}^2 + h^2)^k} \quad (k \ge 1)$$

$$\omega^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \times \frac{\lambda h^4}{4} \quad : \text{Non-renormalizable}$$

$$\omega^2 \propto \mu_\star^2 + h^2$$



Non-polynomial operators are needed for renormalization

$$\omega^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \times \frac{h^{4+2k}}{(\mu_{\star}^2 + h^2)^k} \quad (k \ge 1)$$

Asymptotically SI

Both of regularization and renormalization respect the approximate scale invariance for  $h\gg\mu_{\star}$  .

$$\omega^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \times \frac{\lambda h^4}{4} \quad : \text{Non-renormalizable}$$

$$\omega^2 \propto \mu_\star^2 + h^2$$



Non-polynomial operators are needed for renormalization

$$\omega^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \times \frac{h^{4+2k}}{(\mu_{\star}^2 + h^2)^k} \quad (k \ge 1)$$

Asymptotically SI

Up to which energy scale is this effective theory valid?

 $\frac{h^{4+2k}}{(\mu_\star^2+h^2)^k} \qquad \mathbb{N}$ 

Non-polynomial operators required

J.M.Cornwall, D.N.Levin, G.Tiktopoulos (1974)

Unitarity bound

Tree unitarity violation

N-particle amplitude

 $\mathcal{M}_N \sim E^{4-N}$ 

at most

at  $\Lambda \sim \sqrt{\mu_\star^2 + h^2}$ 

Strong coupling or New physics







$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{M_{\mathrm{P,eff}}^2}{2} R - \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{2} \partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h - V(h) + \cdots$$
Effective
Planck mass
$$M_{\mathrm{P,eff}}^2 = M_{\mathrm{P}}^2 + \xi h^2$$

F.Bezrukov, M.Shaposhnikov (2007)

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{M_{\mathrm{P,eff}}^2}{2} R - \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{2} \partial_{\mu}h\partial_{\nu}h - V(h) + \cdots$$
Effective
Planck mass
$$M_{\mathrm{P,eff}}^2 = M_{\mathrm{P}}^2 + \xi h^2$$

F.Bezrukov, M.Shaposhnikov (2007)

$$\xi \sim 10^4 \sqrt{\lambda}$$
 Large non-minimal coupling  $A_s \simeq 2.2 imes 10^{-9}$ 











✓ Perturbative computation of effective potential is justified.

 $\Lambda > m_t \quad (\text{ the largest mass scale in the loops})$   $\checkmark \,$  Generation of inflaton (Higgs) fluctuation is also computable.  $\Lambda > H > k_{\rm fluc} \quad \text{during Higgs inflation}$ 

✓ Perturbative computation of effective potential is justified.

 $\Lambda > m_t$  (the largest mass scale in the loops)

- $\checkmark~$  Generation of inflaton (Higgs) fluctuation is also computable.
  - $\Lambda > H > k_{\mathrm{fluc}}$  during Higgs inflation

**??** Reheating temperature becomes very high.

Critical case

\_\_\_\_

Critical case



Critical case





## Summary

# Asymptotic Scale Invariance can be responsible for our EW vacuum stability.

Perturbative computation of the effective potential is valid because tree-unitary violation scale  $\Lambda$  is larger than any others.

# Then, Higgs inflation is also possible.

 $T_{
m rh} > \Lambda$  for the non-critical case. Theory above  $\Lambda$ ?  $T_{
m rh} < \Lambda$  for the critical case. The theory below  $\Lambda$  is enough.

# Thank you



#### Non-Critical Higgs Inflation

#### Contour plot of $n_s$



Effective EoS (End of Inflation ightarrow Perturbative Temp.  $T_{\star} \sim \mu_{\star}$  )

# Critical Higgs Inflation without $\xi$

With the standard thermal history,  $n_s \approx 0.975$  : allowed within  $2\sigma$  level  $n_s \approx 1 - \frac{3}{2N}$  with N = 60



Non-standard thermal history is favored with  $\Delta N \sim 15$  .

Super-cooling stage? (typically takes place in scale invariant models)