Spontaneous Leptogenesis in Continuum-Clockwork Axion Models

Jeff Kost [IBS-CTPU]

[arXiv:1808.XXXXX]

collaborators on this work: Kyu Jung Bae [IBS-CTPU] Chang Sub Shin [IBS-CTPU]

SUSY 2018

Wednesday, July 25th, 2018





Outstanding Issues







Outstanding Issues



Kost

NG boson

Outstanding Issues

leff Kost



The $\mu_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$ shifts equilibrium n_L^{eq} value away from zero:

$$n_L^{\rm eq} \propto \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{1}{e^{(p-\mu_{\rm eff})/T} + 1} - \frac{1}{e^{(p+\mu_{\rm eff})/T} + 1} \right] \approx \frac{1}{6} \mu_{\rm eff} T^2$$

when L-violation occurs sufficiently fast $\Gamma_L\gg H$ but in general

$$\dot{n}_L + 3Hn_L = -\Gamma_L \left(n_L^{\rm eq} - n_L \right)$$

\Rightarrow need to specify a **source of** *L*-violation:

Assume Weinberg operators, with heavy $M_* \sim \Lambda_{\rm GUT} \gg T$ right-handed neutrinos: $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} \supset -\frac{(LH)^2}{2M_*}$, then the rate is fixed by experiment: $\Gamma_L = 4n_\ell^{\rm eq} \langle \sigma_L v \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(10^8 {\rm GeV}) \left(\frac{T}{10^{13} {\rm GeV}}\right)^3$.



• An **axion-like field** is a natural candidate for $\phi(x)$:

$${\cal L}_{
m eff} \,\, \supset \,\, {1\over f} \partial_\mu \phi J^\mu_\ell \sim - {\phi\over f} \partial_\mu J^\mu_\ell$$

 \Rightarrow recast using $U(1)_L$ anomalies:

$$-\frac{\phi}{f}\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{\ell} = \frac{\phi}{f} \left(\underbrace{\frac{N_{f}g_{2}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}W_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{W}^{\mu\nu}}_{\text{weak}} - \underbrace{\frac{N_{f}g_{1}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}B_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}}_{\text{hypercharge}} \right)$$

which can readily arise [e.g., string axion models]





Spontaneous Leptogenesis in Continuum-Clockwork Axion Models

• An **axion-like field** is a natural candidate for $\phi(x)$:

$${\cal L}_{
m eff} \,\, \supset \,\, {1\over f} \partial_\mu \phi J^\mu_\ell \sim - {\phi\over f} \partial_\mu J^\mu_\ell$$

 \Rightarrow recast using $U(1)_L$ anomalies:

$$-\frac{\phi}{f}\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{\ell} = \frac{\phi}{f} \left(\underbrace{\frac{N_{f}g_{2}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}W_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{W}^{\mu\nu}}_{\text{weak}} - \underbrace{\frac{N_{f}g_{1}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}B_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}}_{\text{hypercharge}} \right)$$

which can readily arise [e.g., string axion models]







• An **axion-like field** is a natural candidate for $\phi(x)$:

$${\cal L}_{
m eff} \,\, \supset \,\, {1\over f} \partial_\mu \phi J^\mu_\ell \sim - {\phi\over f} \partial_\mu J^\mu_\ell$$

 \Rightarrow recast using $U(1)_L$ anomalies:

$$-\frac{\phi}{f}\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{\ell} = \frac{\phi}{f} \left(\underbrace{\frac{N_{f}g_{2}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}W_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{W}^{\mu\nu}}_{\text{weak}} - \underbrace{\frac{N_{f}g_{1}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}B_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}}_{\text{hypercharge}} \right)$$

which can readily arise [e.g., string axion models]







• An axion-like field is a natural candidate for $\phi(x)$:

$${\cal L}_{
m eff} \,\, \supset \,\, {1\over f} \partial_\mu \phi J^\mu_\ell \sim - {\phi\over f} \partial_\mu J^\mu_\ell$$

 \Rightarrow recast using $U(1)_L$ anomalies:

$$-\frac{\phi}{f}\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{\ell} = \frac{\phi}{f} \left(\underbrace{\frac{N_{f}g_{2}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}W_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{W}^{\mu\nu}}_{\text{weak}} - \underbrace{\frac{N_{f}g_{1}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}B_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}}_{\text{hypercharge}} \right)$$

which can readily arise [e.g., string axion models]





Spontaneous Leptogenesis in Continuum-Clockwork Axion Models

Non-Canonical Kinetic Term

• As a toy model, consider a periodic scalar field $\theta(x)$ with non-trivial wavefunction renormalization $Z(\theta)$:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{Z(\theta)}{2} f^2 (\partial_\mu \theta)^2 - \Lambda^4 (1 - \cos \theta) + \frac{\theta}{8\pi^2} \left[F \widetilde{F} \right]_{\text{SM}}$$
$$\equiv -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) ,$$

so that $\phi/f \equiv \int \sqrt{Z(\theta)} d\theta$ is canonically normalized.

• The effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\phi)$ has the desired properties if $Z(\theta) \gg 1$ towards the minimum $(\theta \to 0)$, and $Z(\theta) \to 1$ at the edges





(1)

<u>discrete clockwork mechanism</u>: [Choi *et al.* '14] [Kaplan, Rattazzi '15] [Choi, Im '15] • N + 1 scalars θ_i with "nearest-neighbor" interactions $(q \in \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu^2 f^2 \cos\left(\theta_{j+1} - q\theta_j\right)$$

 $\Rightarrow U(1)^{N+1}$ broken down to $U(1)_{\mathrm{CW}}: heta_j o heta_j + lpha q^j$

• remaining massless field ϕ has an overlap with each θ_i :

 $\langle \phi | \theta_j \rangle \propto q^{j-N}$ for $N \gg 1$

verlap

$$SM = \theta_0 - \theta_1 - \theta_2 - \theta_3 - \theta_4 - \theta_5 - \theta_6 - \theta_N$$

any couplings $q^{-N}\phi \mathcal{O}_{SM}$ exponentially suppressed









consider $N \rightarrow \infty$ continuum limit: identify $y \equiv j\epsilon$ with extra spatial coordinate





⁰⁶/14

Continuum-Clockwork Axion

[Giudice et al. '16] [Craig et al. '17] [Choi et al. '17]

In continuum limit, find action for resulting bulk field $\theta(x, y)$:

$$\mathcal{S} = -rac{f_5^3}{2}\int d^5x \left[(\partial_\mu heta)^2 + (\partial_y heta - m\sin heta)^2
ight]$$

• massless 4D mode $\phi(x)$ realized as:

$$\tan\left[\frac{\theta(x,y)}{2}\right] = e^{my} u\left[\phi(x)\right]$$
$$ie^{-\pi mR} \sin\left[\frac{\phi(x)}{2if}\right] e^{-2\pi mR}$$

• any small deviation in boundary masses generates a potential:

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = \Lambda^4 \left\{ 1 - \cos\left[\theta(x,0)\right] \right\}$$
$$= \frac{2\Lambda^4 \left[u(\phi)\right]^2}{1 + \left[u(\phi)\right]^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad m_{\text{eff}}^2 \equiv \left. \frac{\partial^2 V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \phi^2} \right|_{\phi=0} = e^{-2\pi mR} \frac{\Lambda^4}{f^2}$$





Jeff Kost

Continuum-Clockwork Axion

[Giudice et al. '16] [Craig et al. '17] [Choi et al. '17]

In continuum limit, find action for resulting bulk field $\theta(x, y)$:

$$\mathcal{S} = -rac{f_5^3}{2}\int d^5x \left[(\partial_\mu heta)^2 + (\partial_y heta - m\sin heta)^2
ight]$$

• massless 4D mode $\phi(x)$ realized as:

$$\tan\left[\frac{\theta(x,y)}{2}\right] = e^{my} u\left[\phi(x)\right]$$
$$ie^{-\pi mR} \sin\left[\frac{\phi(x)}{2if}\right] e^{-2\pi mR}$$

• any small deviation in boundary masses generates a potential:

$$\begin{split} V_{\rm eff}(\phi) &= \Lambda^4 \left\{ 1 - \cos \left[\theta(x,0) \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{2\Lambda^4 \left[u(\phi) \right]^2}{1 + \left[u(\phi) \right]^2} \\ \Rightarrow \quad m_{\rm eff}^2 &\equiv \left. \frac{\partial^2 V_{\rm eff}}{\partial \phi^2} \right|_{\phi=0} = e^{-2\pi mR} \frac{\Lambda^4}{f^2} \end{split}$$

so curvature as $\phi \rightarrow 0$ is suppressed





Jeff Kost



Some Interesting Early Dynamics



taking $mR \neq 0$ shows **substantial** differences:

• *prior* to undergoing coherent oscillations, axion field enters **"tracking phase"** (similar to that found in models of quintessence):

 $\phi(t)\approx -2f\log\left[\frac{m_{\rm eff}t}{\sqrt{2}}+{\rm constant}\right]$

for many e-foldings that drives $w_\phi
ightarrow w = rac{1}{3}$

- tracking prevents $\mu_{\rm eff} = \dot{\theta}$ oscillations that would wash out asymmetry
- \bullet deformation of mR>0 potential triggers leptogenesis at higher temperatures
- n_L never reaches equilibrium value n_L^{eq} \Rightarrow asymmetry generated through "freeze-in" process, in contrast to traditional models

Some Interesting Early Dynamics



taking $mR \neq 0$ shows **substantial** differences:

• *prior* to undergoing coherent oscillations, axion field enters **"tracking phase"** (similar to that found in models of quintessence):

 $\phi(t) \approx -2f \log \left[\frac{m_{\rm eff} t}{\sqrt{2}} + {\rm constant} \right]$

for many e-foldings that drives $w_\phi
ightarrow w = rac{1}{3}$

- tracking prevents $\mu_{\rm eff} = \dot{\theta}$ oscillations that would wash out asymmetry
- \bullet deformation of mR>0 potential triggers leptogenesis at higher temperatures
- n_L never reaches equilibrium value n_L^{eq} \Rightarrow asymmetry generated through "freeze-in" process, in contrast to traditional models

Viable Regions from Numerical Simulations

the baryon asymmetry η_B and axion abundance Ω_{ϕ} can be achieved simultaneously in observed amounts:



• decays suppressed not only by small effective mass $m_{\rm eff} = \Lambda^2 e^{-\pi m R}/f$, but also by suppressed couplings

$$\Gamma_{\phi} \sim \frac{m_{\text{eff}}^3}{f^2} e^{-\pi mR}$$

• abundance at large mR only weakly depends on clockwork factor $e^{\pi mR}$:

$$\frac{\Omega_{\phi}}{\Omega_{\rm DM}^{\rm obs}} \approx \left[\frac{f_{\rm eff}/\log\left(4e^{\pi mR}\right)}{10^{12} {\rm GeV}}\right]^2 \sqrt{\frac{m_{\rm eff}}{3.7 {\rm meV}}}$$
and **insensitive** to initial field

constraints from **isocurvature**? at first glance, presents a *major concern* for this type of scenario





Isocurvature Perturbations

The axion is subject to de-Sitter quantum fluctuations during inflation

$$\delta\phi = \frac{H_I}{2\pi}$$

In our model, ultimately manifested in isocurvature mode two ways:

1) **axion-photon** isocurvature

$$S_{\phi\gamma} \equiv \frac{\delta_{\phi}}{1+w_{\phi}} - \frac{3}{4}\delta_{\gamma}$$

2) **baryon-photon** isocurvature
 $S_{B\gamma} \equiv \frac{\delta n_B}{n_B} - \frac{3}{4}\delta_{\gamma}$

both show significant departures from standard (mR = 0) case



Isocurvature Perturbations Baryon Component

• When ϕ is slowly rolling most of baryon number density n_B is produced:

$$n_B \propto \dot{\theta} T^2 \propto \frac{\left[V_{\rm eff}'(\phi)\right]^2}{\sqrt{\left[1 - \frac{V_{\rm eff}}{2\Lambda^4}\right]\frac{V_{\rm eff}}{2\Lambda^4}}}$$

 \Rightarrow perturbation at the end of slow roll:

$$\frac{\delta n_B}{n_B} \approx \left\{ 2 \frac{V_{\rm eff}^{\prime\prime}(\phi)}{V_{\rm eff}^\prime(\phi)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{\rm eff}^\prime(\phi)}{V_{\rm eff}(\phi)} \left[\frac{1 - \frac{V_{\rm eff}}{\Lambda^4}}{1 - \frac{V_{\rm eff}}{2\Lambda^4}} \right] \right\} \delta \phi$$

inflection points/cancelations in terms can drive $\rightarrow 0$

• Numerical simulations show additional suppression by up to $\mathcal{O}(10)$ factor



cancelation in δn_B terms



Isocurvature Perturbations Axion Component

 \bullet The tracking behavior in axion field implies a non-trivial evolution in $S_{\phi\gamma}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\left[(1+w_{\phi})S_{\phi\gamma}\right]}{d\log a} = \Gamma$$
$$-2\left[(1+w_{\phi})S_{\phi\gamma}\right] - \Gamma = \frac{d\Gamma}{d\log a}$$

where it is coupled to the intrinstic entropy perturbation:



 \Rightarrow can be solved analytically to show amplitude of $S_{\phi\gamma} \propto 1/\sqrt{a}$ falls while axion follows tracking trjacetory





Isocurvature Perturbations Axion Component

 \bullet The tracking behavior in axion field implies a non-trivial evolution in $S_{\phi\gamma}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\left[(1+w_{\phi})S_{\phi\gamma}\right]}{d\log a} = \Gamma$$
$$-2\left[(1+w_{\phi})S_{\phi\gamma}\right] - \Gamma = \frac{d\Gamma}{d\log a}$$

where it is coupled to the intrinstic entropy perturbation:



 \Rightarrow can be solved analytically to show amplitude of $S_{\phi\gamma} \propto 1/\sqrt{a}$ falls while axion follows tracking trjaectory



/14

1b^S Jeff Kost

Revisiting the Viable Regions

Including Isocurvature Constraints

We cannot actually discriminate between $S_{B\gamma}$ and $S_{\phi\gamma}$ contributions in CMB observations — together these bound *effective* CDM isocurvature:



¹³/14

Revisiting the Viable Regions

Including Isocurvature Constraints

We cannot actually discriminate between $S_{B\gamma}$ and $S_{\phi\gamma}$ contributions in CMB observations — together these bound *effective* CDM isocurvature:



TAKE-AWAY MESSAGE:

Taking advantage of deformations in the effective potential of **continuum-clockwork axion**, we can produce appropriate **matter-antimatter asymmetry** via spontaneous leptogenesis, with axion serving as **dark matter** candidate with proper abundance

 axion exhibits "tracking behavior" — driven towards radiation-like equation of state prior to coherent oscillations

 suppression of isocurvature and more efficient asymmetry production due to these dynamics

• we have provided an example, but *in general* this can arise from models with non-canonical kinetic terms

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!



[BEGIN BACK-UP SLIDES]





The Discrete-Clockwork [Choi, Kim, Yun '14] [Choi, Im '15] [Kaplan, Rattazzi '15] A Brief Review for Context

In the *discrete* clockwork mechanism N + 1 axions θ_j are coupled by "nearest-neighbor" interactions (with $q \in \mathbb{Z}$):

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} f^2 \left(\partial_{\mu} \theta_j \right)^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu^2 f^2 \cos\left(\theta_{j+1} - q \theta_j \right)$$

 \Rightarrow symmetry $U(1)^{N+1}$ broken down to a single $U(1)_{\text{CW}}: \theta_j \to \theta_j + \alpha q^j$

The massless field ϕ associated with $U(1)_{CW}$ has an overlap with each θ_j :

 $\langle \phi | \theta_j
angle \propto q^{j-N}$ for $N \gg 1$

verlap $\langle \phi | \theta_j$

that **localizes** ϕ toward end of θ_i -chain with "strength" set by q

As a result, couplings to other operators $g\theta_j \mathcal{O}$ give hierarchically different contributions to massless mode $\sim gq^{j-N}\phi \mathcal{O}$

any couplings $q^{-N}\phi \mathcal{O}_{SM}$ exponentially suppressed

leff Kost

The Discrete-Clockwork [Choi, Kim, Yun '14] [Choi, Im '15] [Kaplan, Rattazzi '15] A Brief Review for Context







The Discrete-Clockwork [Choi, Kim, Yun '14] [Choi, Im '15] [Kaplan, Rattazzi '15] A Brief Review for Context

consider $N \rightarrow \infty$ continuum limit: identify $y \equiv j\epsilon$ with extra spatial coordinate





/14

The Continuum-Clockwork Axion [Giudice et al. '16] [Craig et al. '17] [Choi et al. '17] Taking the number of clockwork fields $N \rightarrow \infty$ we can construct a continuum limit:

$$\mathcal{S} = -\frac{f_5^3}{2} \int d^5x \left[(\partial_\mu \theta)^2 + (\partial_y \theta - m\sin\theta)^2 \right]$$

with y interpreted as coordinate of an S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 flat **extra dimension** of size πR , and $\theta = \theta(x, y)$ is now a bulk angular field with periodicity $\theta \to \theta + 2\pi$

• The bulk/boundary masses above furnish a massless 4D axion $\phi(x)$:

$$\tan\left[\frac{\theta(x,y)}{2}\right] = e^{my}u\left[\phi(x)\right]$$

where

$$u\left[\phi(x)\right] = ie^{-\pi mR} \operatorname{sn}\left[\frac{\phi(x)}{2if}\Big|e^{-2\pi mR}\right]$$
Jacobi elliptic function
$$f \equiv \sqrt{\frac{f_5^3}{2m}(1 - e^{-2\pi mR})}$$

• A mode expansion for $\delta \theta \equiv \theta - \langle \theta \rangle$ $\delta\theta(x,y) = f_0(y)\delta\phi(x) + \sum f_n(y)\delta\phi_n(x)$ shows localization of the massless mode $\delta \phi$ is retained: $f_0(y) \propto \operatorname{sech}\left[m\left(y-y_0\right)\right]$ $y_0 \equiv -\log\left[\langle u(\phi) \rangle\right]$ localization dependent on 4D axion VEV $\langle \phi \rangle$



Effective 4D-Axion Potential [Choi, Im, Shin '17]

• While this axion ϕ is massless, a small deviation in boundary mass generates an effective potential:

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = \Lambda^4 \left\{ 1 - \cos \left[\theta(x, 0) \right] \right\}$$
$$= \frac{2\Lambda^4 \left[u(\phi) \right]^2}{1 + \left[u(\phi) \right]^2}$$



shape of potential $V_{\rm eff}(\phi)$ is **contorted** as mR deviates from zero, since position of localization set by $\langle \phi \rangle$









 $^{14}/_{14}$

Summary of the Model

The effective four-dimensional model then appears as

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Big[-\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) - \mu_{\text{eff}} n_L + \cdots \Big]$$

The leptons couple to $\theta \equiv \theta(x, 0)$:

$$\mu_{\rm eff} \equiv \dot{\theta} = \frac{\frac{{\rm sgn}\{\phi\}}{2\Lambda^4} V_{\rm eff}'(\phi)}{\sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm eff}}{2\Lambda^4}\right) \frac{V_{\rm eff}}{2\Lambda^4}}} \dot{\phi}$$

 $\Rightarrow n_L^{\rm eq} \propto \mu_{\rm eff} \text{ non-linear function of} \\ \text{both } \{\phi, \phi\} \text{ which significantly alters} \\ \text{dynamics from the standard scenario:} \end{cases}$

$$\frac{d(n_L/s)}{d\log T} = \frac{T}{T_L} \left(\frac{n_L}{s} - \frac{n_L^{\rm eq}}{s}\right)$$
$$T_L \approx 1.73 \cdot 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$$

To model during/after reheating:

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\dot{\rho}_{\varphi} + 3H\rho_{\varphi} = -\Gamma_{\varphi}\rho_{\varphi}}{\inf_{\phi\varphi} + 3H\rho_{\varphi} = -\Gamma_{\varphi}\rho_{\varphi} + \Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}} \\ & \underset{\text{radiation}}{\overset{\dot{\rho}_{R} + 4H\rho_{R}}{=} + \Gamma_{\varphi}\rho_{\varphi} + \Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}} \end{split}$$

and the axion evolution goes as $\ddot{\phi} + (3H + \Gamma_{\phi})\dot{\phi} + \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \phi}$ $= \frac{\partial \mu_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \dot{\phi}}\Gamma_L(n_L - n_L^{\text{ecc}})$

backreaction usually negligible

