

# ATLAS Rivet feedback

**Christian Gütschow**

**MCnet meeting, CERN**

**10 April 2018**



## ATLAS for Rivet

- ATLAS formally committed to Rivet (with lots of room for improvement in practice)
- Rivet heavily used by ATLAS, but Rivet development sadly not recognised as service work
  - PMG pushing hard to change that (first Rivet-based qualification tasks now a thing)
- formally all measurements should be accompanied by a HEPData entry/Rivet routine
  - so far only SM and Top groups have made this a requirement of their approval procedure, but even that is consistently being ignored by analysers
  - some top routines 'in the making' for over a year now
- level of commitment somewhat convenor dependent, currently worsening
- occasionally problems with the measurement identified as part of routine validation
- essentially no input from searches, but not dismissive when we come advertise

## Rivet for ATLAS

- new release every few months would be fine for us
  - slightly preferred in fact, since Rivet being used increasingly for validation
  - easier to pick up new routines through the release than to plug them in manually
- Genser sometimes a bit slow to install new versions
  - could try building Rivet as part of an ATLAS release instead
- Why is multiweight support still not native to Rivet?