Constraints on particle dark matter from cosmology



Subinoy Das Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Bangalore 25/02/2018

Joint astronomy& particles physics meeting IISER Pune 25/02/2018 Search for Dark matter is basically finding Lamp Post !



- Need guess work. Because we don't know what it interacts with.
- WIMP Miracle is one such lamp post! Predicts DM is cold(heavy)
- Cosmology puts robust constraints on DM and may even help us design NEW lamp posts if DM is not cold WIMP.

Hubble expansion saves us !



For thermal freeze-out the relation between dark matter relic density and cross-section is remarkably simple



mass~ 100 GeV coupling~ 0.6



STATUS OF WIMP ? (NOT SO GOOD)



: Model Independent limits:

Astrophysical constraints on any DM models

 Free-streaming of Dark matter: Whatever be the DM particle, it's better be cold z_f< 10^5 or T~ 25 eV (SDSS), z_f < 9 x 10^5 (Ly-alpha) to T~ 200 eV



Sarkar, SD, Sethi JCAP

: Model Independent limits:

For Decaying DM

stability: if a fraction of dark matter is decaying over cosmological time scale

 $f_{DM} \times \Gamma_D M \le 0.086/\tau_U$ 1606.02073 (From CMB alone) J. Lesgourgues et al. 1605.03928 (CMB + reionization) D. Schwarz et al.

For DM having extra interaction in dark sector

 For long range dark force (violation of equivalence principle) disruption of dSpH galaxies in Milkyway : strength of the force < 0.2 of grav (Kesden + Kamionkoswki et al.)

Evolution of density fluctuation



Transfer function T(k): contains same information as P(k)



Smaller scales

<u>What is the clustering behavior of dark matter to the</u> <u>smallest scales?</u>



Canonical Cold Dark Matter



Transition from hot / warm to CDM

Can be controlled by mass m ~ T. This is case of well known **keV WDM**

Can be controlled by New Physics between BBN and CMB : **Axion** like particle

Similar effect on P(k) possible through dark acoustic oscillation **DAO** between **CDM** and **DR**

Constraints on Sterile neutrino WDM

Thermal WDM : keV particle like thermalised neutrino

Produced later through active -sterile oscillation: *Dodelson-Widrow / Shi-Fuller mechanism*



Mapping between two case

Thermal	Resonant	
1.6 keV	4.6 keV	
2 keV	7 keV	
2.9 keV	8 keV	

arxiv:1403.0954, PRL by Kevork Abazajian

Mass of DM?

All of these mass below give the same cosmological DM density.

- If DM mass is 100 GeV 1 particle @ tea cup
- If DM mass is keV 100 million particle @ tea cup
- If DM mass is neutrino like 100 billions particle @ tea cup

All are ok to give exact DM abundance but ... N-body simulation



Some facts about WDM simulation

N-body Simulation is very sensitive to WDM mass. Eventually, WDM is a too much of a good thing, over suppressing dwarf galaxy scale.

m_wdm = 0.8 keV ruled out by 10 o
 m_wdm = 1.7 keV -2 keV is sweet spot of
 N-body simulation. But might be in tension
 with Ly-alpha experiments.

Cusp vs core may be resolved. But core size is smaller in WDM simulation.

SMOKING GUN

Sterile neutrino N → ν + γ



 $E_x-ray \sim m_N / 2$



Has found an un-identified line at 3.5 keV from Andromeda galaxy and persius galaxy cluster.

(Absent in blank sky data set.. but also in Vergo not found)

Sattelite x-ray telescope : XMM-Newton

Possible explanation

Non-thermal sterile neutrino 7 keV WDM decay to two 3.5 keV xray photon.

arXiv:1403.0954,PRL, Kevork Abzajian

Full stacked spectra



Significance

Our Data

M31 galaxy	$\Delta \chi^2 = 13.0$	3.2σ for 2 d.o.f.
Perseus cluster (MOS)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 9.1$	2.5σ for 2 d.o.f.
Perseus cluster (PN)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 8.0$	2.4σ for 2 d.o.f.
Blank sky	No detection	
M31 + Perseus (MOS)	$\Delta\chi^2=25.9$	4.4σ for 3 d.o.f.

Bulbul et al. 2014

73 clusters (XMM, MOS)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 22.8$	4.3σ for 2 d.o.f
73 clusters (XMM, PN)	$\Delta\chi^2 = 13.9$	3.3σ for 2 d.o.f
Perseus center (XMM, MOS)	$\Delta\chi^2 = 12.8$	3.1σ for 2 d.o.f.
Perseus center (XMM, PN)	No detection	
Perseus center (Chandra, ACIS-S)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 11.8$	3.0σ for 2 d.o.f.
Perseus center (Chandra, ACIS-I)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 6.2$	2.5σ for 1 d.o.f.
Virgo cluster (Chandra, ACIS-I)	No detection	

Decay vs annihilation

Decay signal depends on density. Annihilation depends on density^2

Search for decaying dark matter



DM decay signal from a galaxy

DM annihilation signal from a galaxy

Transition from hot / warm to CDM

Can be controlled by mass m ~ T. This is case of well known **keV WDM**

Can be controlled by New Physics between BBN and CMB : **Axion** like particle / *Late forming* DM

Similar effect on P(k) possible through dark acoustic oscillation **DAO** between **CDM** and **DR**

On the Mass of Dark matter particle !

All of the masses below give correct relic density

- If DM mass is 100 GeV 1 particle @ tea cup (challenged by density profile
- If DM mass is keV 100 million particle @ tea cup (a part got ruled out)
- If DM mass is neutrino like 100 billions particle @ tea cup (Ruled Out)



m_a ~ 10^-21 eV (de Broglie wavelength 1 Kpc) Cold fuzzy DM (Lam Hui , E Witten 2016, M Kamionkowski et al. 2017 SD, S Sethi, A Sarkar, D Marsh 2017)



@ m_a = 3H , starts to oscillate Behaves like CDM.



Also solves core-cusp issue due to quantum pressure



m_a ~ T^2 /m_pl vs m_wdm ~ T

Dark matter from light sterile neutrino nuggets

Phase transition in thermalised sterile neutrino in presence of scalar interaction

SD, K. Sigurdson (UBC)

Radiation to matter transition:



a fractional dark radiation ΔN_{eff}

is enough eV sterile states can hide from Planck

Idea: Instability in MaVaN dark energy (Zaldariaga and afshordi)

Signature of new physics in p(k)



- If a relativistic fluid (dark radiation) transits to CDM state at redshift z_f (SD, Neal Weiner PRD,2011, M kamionkowski 2008)
- If CDM interacts with dark radiation (B Dasgupta 2015)
- String axiverse (ULA). Controlled by mass m_a ~ H. From C.C to dark matter transition (Avnirtaki, D. Marsh (2016), Lam Hui, Ed. Witten, 2016)

Caveat !

Small scales perturbation evolve till today and become highly non-linear from z=3 or 4 onwards!



BUT (early epoch)High redshift 21 cm signal (z= 7-10) will probe this features with linear power spectra.



Importance of SKA for dark matter search!



In collaboration with Marc Kamionkowski (JHU) and Shiv Sethi (RRI) and Adrienne (UNC, Chapel Hill)

Transition from hot / warm to CDM

Can be controlled by mass m ~ T. This is case of well known **keV WDM**

Can be controlled by New Physics between BBN and CMB : **Axion** like particle / *Late forming* DM

Similar effect on P(k) possible through dark acoustic oscillation **DAO** between **CDM** and **DR**

Results from Planck (March, 2013)

Planck combined with WMAP polarisation gives

 $N_{\rm eff}^{\rm Planck} = 3.36 \pm 0.34$

 Planck combined with astrophysical Hubble constant measurement (HST) gives

 $N_{
m eff}^{
m Planck} = 3.62 \pm 0.25$

So, a fractional dark radiation ΔN_{eff} component is not ruled out (highly possible)

• On the other side, anomalies from neutrino oscillation experiments may strongly hint for O(1) ΔN_{eff}





$$n\sigma \sim a_4 T^{4+1} \qquad T \sim (1+z)$$

$$a_4 = 0.4 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{g_{\chi}}{1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{g_{\nu}}{1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{0.5 \text{ MeV}}{m_{\phi}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{2 \text{ TeV}}{m_{\chi}}\right) \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$$

From structure to reionization

Parameters:

$$N_{\gamma}^{\text{halo}} = N_{\text{ion}} \frac{M_{\text{halo}}}{m_{H}}$$
$$N_{\text{ion}} = 8 \left(\frac{N_{\text{ion}}^{\text{b}}}{4000}\right) \left(\frac{M_{\text{b}}/M_{\text{halo}}}{1/5}\right) \left(\frac{\epsilon_{\text{esc}}}{10\%}\right) \left(\frac{\epsilon_{\text{SF}}}{10\%}\right)$$



$N_{ m ion} \leq 500~$ can be safely assumed

Linear Power Spectrum (z=124)



Halo mass distribution (z=8)



Our Result

 Constraint on a₄ from demanding consistency with global history of reionization



HI brightness temperature (z = 8)



Transition from hot / warm to CDM

Can be controlled by mass m ~ T. This is case of well known **keV WDM**

Can be controlled by New Physics between BBN and CMB : **Axion** like particle / *Late forming* DM

Similar effect on P(k) possible through dark acoustic oscillation **DAO** between **CDM** and **DR**

Story learnt from visible sector



FUTURE OF DARK IS BRIGHT !

30 Oct 1998

IS COSMOLOGY SOLVED? An Astrophysical Cosmologist's Viewpoint

P. J. E. Peebles

Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, and Princeton Institute for Advanced Study

ABSTRACT

We have fossil evidence from the thermal background radiation that our universe expanded from a considerably hotter denser state. We have a well defined, testable, and so far quite successful theoretical description of the expansion: the relativistic Friedmann-

"Does Λ CDM signify completion of the fundamental physics that will be needed in the analysis of ... future generations of observational cosmology? Or might we only have arrived at the simplest approximation we can get away with at the present level of evidence?" – P. J. E. Peebles

Full stacked spectra



Significance

Our Data

M31 galaxy	$\Delta \chi^2 = 13.0$	3.2σ for 2 d.o.f.
Perseus cluster (MOS)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 9.1$	2.5σ for 2 d.o.f.
Perseus cluster (PN)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 8.0$	2.4σ for 2 d.o.f.
Blank sky	No detection	
M31 + Perseus (MOS)	$\Delta\chi^2=25.9$	4.4σ for 3 d.o.f.

Bulbul et al. 2014

73 clusters (XMM, MOS)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 22.8$	4.3σ for 2 d.o.f
73 clusters (XMM, PN)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 13.9$	3.3σ for 2 d.o.f
Perseus center (XMM, MOS)	$\Delta\chi^2 = 12.8$	3.1σ for 2 d.o.f.
Perseus center (XMM, PN)	No detection	
Perseus center (Chandra, ACIS-S)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 11.8$	3.0σ for 2 d.o.f.
Perseus center (Chandra, ACIS-I)	$\Delta \chi^2 = 6.2$	2.5σ for 1 d.o.f.
Virgo cluster (Chandra, ACIS-I)	No detection	