Small-q_T factorization and the soft function for top pair production at NNLO

Sebastian Sapeta

IFJ PAN Kraków

In collaboration with René Ángeles-Martinez and Michał Czakon

based on JHEP 1810 (2018) 201

European Commission

NATIONAL SCIENCE CENTRE POLAND

Workshop on Resummation, Evolution, Factorization, Kraków, 19-23 November, 2018

Top pair production: the status of QCD calculations

- A single *complete* NNLO result for total and differential cross section obtained with STRIPPER methodology [Czakon, Fiedler, Mitov '13; Czakon, Heymes, Mitov '16]



- Flavour off-diagonal channels at NNLO from q_T subtraction [Bonciani, Catani, Grazzini, Sargsyan, Torre '15]
- Approximate NNLO [Broggio, Papanastasiou, Signer '14] and N^{3}LO [Kidonakis '14]
- Soft and small-mass resummation at NNLL [Czakon, Ferroglia, Heymes, Mitov, Pecjak, Scott, Wang, Yang '18]
- Small-q_T resummation at NNLL [Li, Li, Shao, Yang, Zhu '13; Catani, Grazzini, Torre '14]

Top pair production: the status of QCD calculations

- A single *complete* NNLO result for total and differential cross section obtained with STRIPPER methodology [Czakon, Fiedler, Mitov '13; Czakon, Heymes, Mitov '16]



- Flavour off-diagonal channels at NNLO from q_T subtraction [Bonciani, Catani, Grazzini, Sargsyan, Torre '15]
- Approximate NNLO [Broggio, Papanastasiou, Signer '14] and N^{3}LO [Kidonakis '14]
- Soft and small-mass resummation at NNLL [Czakon, Ferroglia, Heymes, Mitov, Pecjak, Scott, Wang, Yang '18]
- Small-q_T resummation at NNLL [Li, Li, Shao, Yang, Zhu '13; Catani, Grazzini, Torre '14]

- Given the complexity of the calculation, a second result obtained with an *independent* method is highly desirable

The q_T slicing method

[Catani, Grazzini '07, '15]

$$p + p \to F\left(q_T\right) + X$$

$$\sigma^F_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}} = \int_0^{q_{T,\mathrm{cut}}} dq_T \, \frac{d\sigma^F_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}}}{dq_T} + \int_{q_{T,\mathrm{cut}}}^{\infty} dq_T \, \frac{d\sigma^F_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}}}{dq_T}$$

The q_T slicing method

[Catani, Grazzini '07, '15]

$$p + p \to F\left(q_T\right) + X$$

$$\sigma^{F}_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}} = \int_0^{q_{T,\mathrm{cut}}} dq_T \, \frac{d\sigma^{F}_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}}}{dq_T} + \int_{q_{T,\mathrm{cut}}}^{\infty} dq_T \, \frac{d\sigma^{F}_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}}}{dq_T}$$

$$= \int_0^{q_{T,\mathrm{cut}}} dq_T \, \frac{d\sigma^{F}_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}}}{dq_T} + \int_{q_{T,\mathrm{cut}}}^{\infty} dq_T \, \frac{d\sigma^{F+\mathrm{jet}}_{\mathrm{N}^{m-1}\mathrm{LO}}}{dq_T}$$

The q_T slicing method

[Catani, Grazzini '07, '15]

$$p + p \to F\left(q_T\right) + X$$

$$\sigma^F_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}} = \int_0^{q_{T,\mathrm{cut}}} dq_T \, \frac{d\sigma^F_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}}}{dq_T} + \int_{q_{T,\mathrm{cut}}}^{\infty} dq_T \, \frac{d\sigma^F_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}}}{dq_T}$$

$$= \int_0^{q_{T,\mathrm{cut}}} dq_T \, \frac{d\sigma^F_{\mathrm{N}^m\mathrm{LO}}}{dq_T} + \int_{q_{T,\mathrm{cut}}}^{\infty} dq_T \, \frac{d\sigma^{F+\mathrm{jet}}_{\mathrm{N}^{m-1}\mathrm{LO}}}{dq_T}$$

enough to know in small-q_T approximation

known

Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET)

$$\text{SCET} \simeq \text{QCD}\Big|_{\text{IR limit}}$$

- Hard degrees of freedom are integrated out into Wilson coefficients, which are then used to adjust new couplings of the (effective) theory.

Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET)

$$\text{SCET} \simeq \text{QCD}\Big|_{\text{IR limit}}$$

- Hard degrees of freedom are integrated out into Wilson coefficients, which are then used to adjust new couplings of the (effective) theory.

QCD fields written as sums of collinear, anti-collinear and soft components:

$$\phi(x) = \phi_c(x) + \phi_{\bar{c}}(x) + \phi_s(x)$$

Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET)

$$\text{SCET} \simeq \text{QCD}\Big|_{\text{IR limit}}$$

- Hard degrees of freedom are integrated out into Wilson coefficients, which are then used to adjust new couplings of the (effective) theory.

QCD fields written as sums of collinear, anti-collinear and soft components:

$$\phi(x) = \phi_c(x) + \phi_{\bar{c}}(x) + \phi_s(x)$$

The new fields decouple in the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SCET}} = \mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_{\bar{c}} + \mathcal{L}_s$$

- The separation of fields in the Lagrangian into collinear, anti-collinear and soft sectors, facilitates proofs of factorization theorems

Small-q_T factorization in SCET



where $F = H, Z, W, ZZ, WW, t\bar{t}, \ldots$

Small-q_T factorization in SCET



where $F = H, Z, W, ZZ, WW, t\bar{t}, \ldots$

$$\frac{d\sigma^F}{d\Phi} = \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{q_T^2}{q^2}\right)$$

Small-q_T factorization in SCET

Gluons' momenta in light-cone coordinates

$$k_i^\mu = \left(k_i^+, k_i^-, \boldsymbol{k}_i^\perp\right) \qquad \text{where} \qquad k^\pm = k^0 \pm k^3$$

Expansion parameter

$$\lambda = \frac{q_T^2}{q^2} \ll 1$$

Small-q_T factorization in SCET

Gluons' momenta in light-cone coordinates

$$k_i^\mu = \left(k_i^+, k_i^-, \boldsymbol{k}_i^\perp\right) \qquad \text{where} \qquad k^\pm = k^0 \pm k^3$$

Expansion parameter

$$\lambda = \frac{q_T^2}{q^2} \ll 1$$

Regions

collinear	$k_i^\mu \sim (1, \lambda^2, \lambda)\, Q^2$	$\mathcal{B}_1$
anti-collinear	$k_i^\mu \sim (\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)\, Q^2$	$\mathcal{B}_2$
hard	$k_i^\mu \sim (1, 1, 1)\, Q^2$	$\mathcal{H}$
soft	$k_i^\mu \sim (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)\, Q^2$	$\mathcal{S}$



Top pair production at small-q_T through NNLO

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{NNLO}}}{dq_T\, dy\, dM\, d\cos\theta} = \sum_{i,\bar{i}} \mathcal{B}_{i/h_1} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\bar{i}/h_2} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{i\bar{i}}\right]$$

where

q_T, y, M	:	transverse momentum, rapidity, mass of top quark pair
θ	:	scattering angle of the top quark in $t\bar{t}$ rest frame

Top pair production at small-q_T through NNLO

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{NNLO}}}{dq_T\, dy\, dM\, d\cos\theta} = \sum_{i,\bar{i}} \mathcal{B}_{i/h_1} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\bar{i}/h_2} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{i\bar{i}}\right]$$

where

q_T, y, M	:	transverse momentum, rapidity, mass of top quark pair
θ	:	scattering angle of the top quark in $t\bar{t}$ rest frame

$\mathcal{B}$ - known up to NNLO [Gehrmann, Lübbert, Yang '12, '14]

$\mathcal{H}$ - known up to NNLO [Czakon '08; Baernreuther, Czakon, Fiedler '13]

$\mathcal{S}$ - known up to NLO in small-q_T limit [Li, Li, Shao, Yan, Zhu '13; Catani, Grazzini, Torre '14] (and up to NNLO in the threshold limit [Ferroglia, Pecjak, Yang '12; Wang, Xu, Yang and Zhu '18])

Top pair production at small-q_T through NNLO

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{NNLO}}}{dq_T\, dy\, dM\, d\cos\theta} = \sum_{i,\bar{i}} \mathcal{B}_{i/h_1} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\bar{i}/h_2} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{i\bar{i}}\right]$$

where

q_T, y, M	:	transverse momentum, rapidity, mass of top quark pair
θ	:	scattering angle of the top quark in $t\bar{t}$ rest frame

$\mathcal{B}$ - known up to NNLO [Gehrmann, Lübbert, Yang '12, '14]

$\mathcal{H}$ - known up to NNLO [Czakon '08; Baernreuther, Czakon, Fiedler '13]

$\mathcal{S}$ - known up to NLO in small-q_T limit [Li, Li, Shao, Yan, Zhu '13; Catani, Grazzini, Torre '14] (and up to NNLO in the threshold limit [Ferroglia, Pecjak, Yang '12; Wang, Xu, Yang and Zhu '18])

Calculating the missing NNLO correction to the soft function in the small-q_T limit, $\mathcal{S}$, is the aim of this phase of our work.

Rapidity divergences and analytic regulator





Rapidity divergences and analytic regulator





Modification of the measure [Becher, Bell '12]

$$\int d^d k\, \delta^+(k^2) \to \int d^d k \left(\frac{\nu}{k_+}\right)^{\alpha} \delta^+(k^2)$$

- The regulator is necessary at intermediate steps of the calculation.
- Rapidity divergences do not appear in QCD, hence, the complete SCET result has to stay finite in the limit $\alpha \to 0$.

Soft function

- Represents corrections coming from exchanges of real, soft gluons, whose transverse momenta sum up to a fixed value q_T.

$$S_{\text{bare}}(q_T, \beta_t, \theta) \propto \sum$$



$$\delta\left(q_T - \left|\sum_i k_{i\perp}\right|\right) \prod_i \delta^+(k_i^2)$$

Soft function

- Represents corrections coming from exchanges of real, soft gluons, whose transverse momenta sum up to a fixed value q_T.

$$\beta_t = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\, m_t^2}{M^2}}$$

$$S_{\text{bare}}(q_T, \beta_t, \theta) \propto \sum$$



$$\delta\left(q_T - \left|\sum_i k_{i\perp}\right|\right) \prod_i \delta^+(k_i^2)$$

Soft function

- Represents corrections coming from exchanges of real, soft gluons, whose transverse momenta sum up to a fixed value q_T.

$$\beta_t = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\, m_t^2}{M^2}}$$

$$S_{\text{bare}}(q_T, \beta_t, \theta) \propto \sum$$



$$\delta\left(q_T - \left|\sum_i k_{i\perp}\right|\right) \prod_i \delta^+(k_i^2)$$

- external momenta $\longrightarrow$ Wilson Lines along n, $\bar{n}$, v_3, v_4 (Born kinematics)

Soft function

- Represents corrections coming from exchanges of real, soft gluons, whose transverse momenta sum up to a fixed value q_T.

$$\beta_t = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\, m_t^2}{M^2}}$$

$$S_{\text{bare}}(q_T, \beta_t, \theta) \propto \sum$$



$$\delta\left(q_T - \left|\sum_i k_{i\perp}\right|\right) \prod_i \delta^+(k_i^2)$$

- external momenta $\longrightarrow$ Wilson Lines along n, $\bar{n}$, v_3, v_4 (Born kinematics)

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{i\bar{i}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{S}_{i\bar{i}}^{(n)} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^n \qquad \boldsymbol{S}_{i\bar{i}}^{(n)} = \sum_{\{j\}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\{j\}}^{i\bar{i}} I_{\{j\}}$$

colour matrices $\longrightarrow$ $\boldsymbol{w}_{\{j\}}^{i\bar{i}}$; $I_{\{j\}}$ $\longrightarrow$ phase space integrals

Renormalization

separately divergent

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi} = \mathcal{B}_1^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \mathcal{S}^{(\text{bare})}\right]$$

finite

Renormalization

separately divergent

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi} = \mathcal{B}_1^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \mathcal{S}^{(\text{bare})}\right]$$

finite

$$= Z_B \mathcal{B}_1^{(\text{bare})} \otimes Z_B \mathcal{B}_2^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\boldsymbol{Z}_H^\dagger \mathcal{H}^{(\text{bare})} \boldsymbol{Z}_H \otimes \boldsymbol{Z}_S^\dagger \mathcal{S}^{(\text{bare})} \boldsymbol{Z}_S\right]$$

Renormalization

separately divergent

finite

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi} = \mathcal{B}_1^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \mathcal{S}^{(\text{bare})}\right]$$

$$= Z_B \mathcal{B}_1^{(\text{bare})} \otimes Z_B \mathcal{B}_2^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\boldsymbol{Z}_H^\dagger \mathcal{H}^{(\text{bare})} \boldsymbol{Z}_H \otimes \boldsymbol{Z}_S^\dagger \mathcal{S}^{(\text{bare})} \boldsymbol{Z}_S\right]$$

$$= \mathcal{B}_1(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{B}_2(\mu) \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mu)\right]$$

Renormalization

separately divergent

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi} = \mathcal{B}_1^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \mathcal{S}^{(\text{bare})}\right]$$

finite

$$= Z_B \mathcal{B}_1^{(\text{bare})} \otimes Z_B \mathcal{B}_2^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\boldsymbol{Z}_H^\dagger \mathcal{H}^{(\text{bare})} \boldsymbol{Z}_H \otimes \boldsymbol{Z}_S^\dagger \mathcal{S}^{(\text{bare})} \boldsymbol{Z}_S\right]$$

$$= \mathcal{B}_1(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{B}_2(\mu) \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mu)\right]$$

separately finite

Renormalization

separately divergent

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi} = \mathcal{B}_1^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \mathcal{B}_2^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \mathcal{S}^{(\text{bare})}\right]$$

finite

$$= Z_B \mathcal{B}_1^{(\text{bare})} \otimes Z_B \mathcal{B}_2^{(\text{bare})} \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\boldsymbol{Z}_H^\dagger \mathcal{H}^{(\text{bare})} \boldsymbol{Z}_H \otimes \boldsymbol{Z}_S^\dagger \mathcal{S}^{(\text{bare})} \boldsymbol{Z}_S\right]$$

$$= \mathcal{B}_1(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{B}_2(\mu) \otimes \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{H}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mu)\right]$$

separately finite

$$\frac{d}{d\mu}\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Renormalization Group Equations for } \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}$$

Renormalization

- RG equation

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}\boldsymbol{S}_{i\bar{i}}(\mu) = -\gamma^{s\dagger}_{i\bar{i}}\,\boldsymbol{S}_{i\bar{i}}(\mu) - \boldsymbol{S}_{i\bar{i}}(\mu)\,\gamma^{s}_{i\bar{i}}$$

- Soft anomalous dimension

$$\gamma^s = -\boldsymbol{Z}_s^{-1}\frac{d\boldsymbol{Z}_s}{d\ln\mu}$$

Renormalization

- RG equation

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}\boldsymbol{S}_{i\bar{i}}(\mu) = -\gamma^{s\dagger}_{i\bar{i}}\,\boldsymbol{S}_{i\bar{i}}(\mu) - \boldsymbol{S}_{i\bar{i}}(\mu)\,\gamma^{s}_{i\bar{i}}$$

- Soft anomalous dimension

$$\gamma^s = -\boldsymbol{Z}_s^{-1}\frac{d\boldsymbol{Z}_s}{d\ln\mu}$$

Specifically, at the order α_s^2, we get

$$\underbrace{\boldsymbol{S}^{(2)}}_{\text{finite part only}} = \overbrace{\boldsymbol{Z}_s^{\dagger(2)}\boldsymbol{S}^{(0)}_{\text{bare}} + \boldsymbol{S}^{(0)}_{\text{bare}}\boldsymbol{Z}_s^{(2)} + \boldsymbol{Z}_s^{\dagger(1)}\boldsymbol{S}^{(0)}_{\text{bare}}\boldsymbol{Z}_s^{(1)}}^{\text{pole part only}}$$

$$+ \underbrace{\boldsymbol{Z}_s^{\dagger(1)}\boldsymbol{S}^{(1)}_{\text{bare}} + \boldsymbol{S}^{(1)}_{\text{bare}}\boldsymbol{Z}_s^{(1)} + \boldsymbol{S}^{(2)}_{\text{bare}} - \frac{\beta_0}{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{S}^{(1)}_{\text{bare}}}_{\text{finite + pole part}}$$

Soft function at NLO



Soft function at NLO



- Known in analytic form
  [Li, Li, Shao, Yan, Zhu '13; Catani, Grazzini, Torre '13]

$$L_\perp = \ln \frac{x_T^2 \mu^2}{4 e^{-2\gamma_E}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{S}_{i\bar{i}}^{(1)} &= 4 L_\perp \left(2 \boldsymbol{w}_{i\bar{i}}^{13} \ln \frac{-t_1}{m_t M} + 2 \boldsymbol{w}_{i\bar{i}}^{23} \ln \frac{-u_1}{m_t M} + \boldsymbol{w}_{i\bar{i}}^{33} \right) \\
&- 4 \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i\bar{i}}^{13} + \boldsymbol{w}_{i\bar{i}}^{23} \right) \mathrm{Li}_2 \left(1 - \frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2} \right) + 4 \boldsymbol{w}_{i\bar{i}}^{33} \ln \frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2} \\
&- 2 \boldsymbol{w}_{i\bar{i}}^{34} \frac{1+\beta_t^2}{\beta_t} \left[L_\perp \ln x_s - \mathrm{Li}_2 \left(-x_s \, \mathrm{tg}^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) + \mathrm{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{1}{x_s} \, \mathrm{tg}^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right. \\
&\left. + 4 \ln x_s \ln \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

Soft function at NNLO

Three distinct groups of diagrams:

- Bubble



Soft function at NNLO

Three distinct groups of diagrams:

- Bubble



- Single-cut



Soft function at NNLO

Three distinct groups of diagrams:

- Bubble



- Single-cut



- Double-cut







$+ \quad \ldots$

Soft function at NNLO

Three distinct groups of diagrams:

- Bubble

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

- Single-cut



- Double-cut







+ ...

Soft function at NNLO

Three distinct groups of diagrams:

- Bubble
- Single-cut

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

DIRECT INTEGRATION

- Double-cut





+ ...

Soft function at NNLO

Three distinct groups of diagrams:

- Bubble
- Single-cut

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

DIRECT INTEGRATION

- Double-cut

SECTOR DECOMPOSITION

Double-cut NNLO integrals

Example:

$$\tilde{I}_{3gv,ij} = \int \frac{d^d k_1 \, d^d k_2 \, \delta^+(k_1^2) \, \delta^+(k_2^2) \, \delta((k_1+k_2)_T^2 - q_T^2)}{(n \cdot k_1)^\alpha \, (n \cdot k_2)^\alpha \, (n_i \cdot k_1) \, (n_j \cdot (k_1+k_2)) \, (k_1+k_2)^2}$$

Double-cut NNLO integrals

Example:

$$\tilde{I}_{3gv,ij} = \int \frac{d^d k_1\, d^d k_2\, \delta^+(k_1^2)\, \delta^+(k_2^2)\, \delta((k_1+k_2)_T^2 - q_T^2)}{(n\cdot k_1)^\alpha\, (n\cdot k_2)^\alpha\, (n_i\cdot k_1)\, (n_j\cdot(k_1+k_2))\, (k_1+k_2)^2}$$

- divergent in the limits $\epsilon \to 0$ and $\alpha \to 0$
- a range of overlapping singularities
- complication introduced by $\delta((k_1+k_2)_T^2 - q_T^2)$ which additionally couples gluon's momenta

Double-cut NNLO integrals

Example:

$$\tilde{I}_{3gv,ij} = \int \frac{d^d k_1\, d^d k_2\, \delta^+(k_1^2)\, \delta^+(k_2^2)\, \delta((k_1+k_2)_T^2 - q_T^2)}{(n\cdot k_1)^\alpha\, (n\cdot k_2)^\alpha\, (n_i\cdot k_1)\, (n_j\cdot(k_1+k_2))\, (k_1+k_2)^2}$$

- divergent in the limits $\epsilon \to 0$ and $\alpha \to 0$
- a range of overlapping singularities
- complication introduced by $\delta((k_1+k_2)_T^2 - q_T^2)$ which additionally couples gluon's momenta

To disentangle overlapping singularities and calculate regularized integrals we use the method of sector decomposition [Binoth, Heinrich, '00; Borowka, Heinrich, Jahn, Jones, Kerner, Schlenk, Zirke '17].

Sector decomposition

$$\int_0^1 dx\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,y)}{(x+y)^{2+\epsilon}}$$

Sector decomposition

$$\int_0^1 dx\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,y)}{(x+y)^{2+\epsilon}} = \int_0^1 dx\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,y)}{(x+y)^{2+\epsilon}} \Big[\overbrace{\Theta(x-y)}^{(1)} + \overbrace{\Theta(y-x)}^{(2)} \Big]$$

Sector decomposition

$$\int_0^1 dx\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,y)}{(x+y)^{2+\epsilon}} = \int_0^1 dx\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,y)}{(x+y)^{2+\epsilon}} \Big[\overbrace{\Theta(x-y)}^{(1)} + \overbrace{\Theta(y-x)}^{(2)} \Big]$$

(1) $y = x\,t$ (2) $x = y\,t$

Sector decomposition

$$\int_0^1 dx\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,y)}{(x+y)^{2+\epsilon}} = \int_0^1 dx\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,y)}{(x+y)^{2+\epsilon}} \Big[\overbrace{\Theta(x-y)}^{(1)} + \overbrace{\Theta(y-x)}^{(2)} \Big]$$

$$(1) \quad y = x\, t \qquad\qquad (2) \quad x = y\, t$$

$$= \int_0^1 dx\, dt \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,tx)}{(1+t)^{2+\epsilon} x^{1+\epsilon}} + \int_0^1 dt\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(ty,y)}{(1+t)^{2+\epsilon} y^{1+\epsilon}}$$

Sector decomposition

$$\int_0^1 dx\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,y)}{(x+y)^{2+\epsilon}} = \int_0^1 dx\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,y)}{(x+y)^{2+\epsilon}} \Big[\overbrace{\Theta(x-y)}^{(1)} + \overbrace{\Theta(y-x)}^{(2)} \Big]$$

$$(1) \quad y = x\,t \qquad\qquad (2) \quad x = y\,t$$

$$= \int_0^1 dx\, dt \frac{\mathcal{W}(x,tx)}{(1+t)^{2+\epsilon} x^{1+\epsilon}} + \int_0^1 dt\, dy \frac{\mathcal{W}(ty,y)}{(1+t)^{2+\epsilon} y^{1+\epsilon}}$$



Sector decomposition

In general, each integral can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_{i \in \text{sectors}} \int_0^1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1^{1+a_1\epsilon}} \frac{dx_2}{x_2^{1+a_2\epsilon}} \cdots \frac{dx_n}{x_n^{1+a_n\epsilon}} \mathcal{W}_i(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$$

Sector decomposition

In general, each integral can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_{i \in \text{sectors}} \int_0^1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1^{1+a_1\epsilon}} \frac{dx_2}{x_2^{1+a_2\epsilon}} \cdots \frac{dx_n}{x_n^{1+a_n\epsilon}} \mathcal{W}_i(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$$

and then we use

$$\frac{1}{x_i^{1+a_i\epsilon}} = -\frac{1}{a_i\epsilon}\delta(x_i) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_i^n \epsilon^n}{n!} \left[\frac{\log^n(x_i)}{x_i}\right]_+$$

Sector decomposition

In general, each integral can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_{i \in \text{sectors}} \int_0^1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1^{1+a_1\epsilon}} \frac{dx_2}{x_2^{1+a_2\epsilon}} \cdots \frac{dx_n}{x_n^{1+a_n\epsilon}} \mathcal{W}_i(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$$

and then we use

$$\frac{1}{x_i^{1+a_i\epsilon}} = -\frac{1}{a_i\epsilon}\delta(x_i) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_i^n \epsilon^n}{n!} \left[\frac{\log^n(x_i)}{x_i}\right]_+$$

After the above procedure is performed, all divergences become explicit and are turned in to ϵ poles

Sector decomposition

Two types of singularities

- Endpoint, *e.g.* soft:

$$\left(k_1^+, k_1^-, k_1^\perp\right) \to 0$$

Sector decomposition

Two types of singularities

- Endpoint, *e.g.* soft:

$$\left(k_1^+, k_1^-, k_1^\perp\right) \to 0$$

- Manifold, *e.g.* collinear

$$k_1 \cdot k_2 \to 0$$



The strategy

Given the integral: $$I_G = \int d^d k_1 d^d k_2 \, \mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G$$

The strategy

boundary integral encodes all $\alpha, \epsilon \to 0$ singularities

Given the integral:

$$I_G = \int d^d k_1 d^d k_2 \, \mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G$$

finite weight

The strategy

boundary integral encodes all $\alpha, \epsilon \to 0$ singularities

Given the integral:

$$I_G = \int d^d k_1 d^d k_2 \, \mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G$$

finite weight

- Analytically integrate 3 out of $2d$ dimensions
- Map the remaining variables to a unit hypercube (split the original integral into a sum if necessary)

$$= \sum_j \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^{2d-3} dx_i \, (\mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G)_j$$

The strategy

boundary integral encodes all $\alpha, \epsilon \to 0$ singularities

Given the integral:

$$I_G = \int d^d k_1 d^d k_2 \, \mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G$$

finite weight

- Analytically integrate 3 out of $2d$ dimensions
- Map the remaining variables to a unit hypercube (split the original integral into a sum if necessary)
- Apply sector decomposition to disentangle overlapping singularities

$$= \sum_j \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^{2d-3} dx_i \, (\mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G)_j$$

$$= \sum_j \sum_{k \in \text{sectors}} \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^{2d-3} dx_i \, (\mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G)_{jk}$$

The strategy

boundary integral encodes all $\alpha, \epsilon \to 0$ singularities

Given the integral:

$$I_G = \int d^d k_1 d^d k_2 \, \mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G$$

finite weight

- Analytically integrate 3 out of $2d$ dimensions
- Map the remaining variables to a unit hypercube (split the original integral into a sum if necessary)
- Apply sector decomposition to disentangle overlapping singularities
- Expand the result in Laurent series in ϵ and α

$$= \sum_j \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^{2d-3} dx_i \, (\mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G)_j$$

$$= \sum_j \sum_{k \in \text{sectors}} \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^{2d-3} dx_i \, (\mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G)_{jk}$$

$$= \sum_{j,m,n} \sum_{k \in \text{sectors}} \left(\int_0^1 a_{mn} \times \mathcal{W}_G \right) \alpha^m \epsilon^n$$

The strategy

boundary integral encodes all $\alpha, \epsilon \to 0$ singularities

Given the integral:

$$I_G = \int d^d k_1 d^d k_2 \, \mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G$$

finite weight

- Analytically integrate 3 out of $2d$ dimensions
- Map the remaining variables to a unit hypercube (split the original integral into a sum if necessary)
- Apply sector decomposition to disentangle overlapping singularities
- Expand the result in Laurent series in ϵ and α
- Numerically integrate series coefficients

$$= \sum_j \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^{2d-3} dx_i \, (\mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G)_j$$

$$= \sum_j \sum_{k \in \text{sectors}} \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^{2d-3} dx_i \, (\mathcal{I}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G)_{jk}$$

$$= \sum_{j,m,n} \sum_{k \in \text{sectors}} \left(\int_0^1 a_{mn} \times \mathcal{W}_G \right) \alpha^m \epsilon^n$$

$$= \sum_{j,m,n} \sum_{k \in \text{sectors}} c_{mn}^{jk} \, \alpha^m \epsilon^n$$

Single-cut (real-virtual)



Single-cut (real-virtual)



$$S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}} = \sum_{ijk} \int d^d l \frac{\delta^+(l^2)\,\delta(l_T - q_T)}{l_+^\alpha\, n_k \cdot l} n_k^\mu T_k^a J^\mu_{ij,a}(l)$$

Single-cut (real-virtual)



$$S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}} = \sum_{ijk} \int d^d l \frac{\delta^+(l^2)\,\delta(l_T - q_T)}{l_+^\alpha\, n_k \cdot l} n_k^\mu T_k^a J^\mu_{ij,a}(l)$$

- The soft current $J^\mu_{ij,a}(l)$ is known up to NLO [Catani, Grazzini '00; Bierenbaum, Czakon, Mitov '12; Czakon, Mitov '18].

Single-cut (real-virtual)



$$S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}} = \sum_{ijk} \int d^d l \frac{\delta^+(l^2)\,\delta(l_T - q_T)}{l_+^\alpha\, n_k \cdot l} n_k^\mu T_k^a J^\mu_{ij,a}(l)$$

- The soft current $J^\mu_{ij,a}(l)$ is known up to NLO [Catani, Grazzini '00; Bierenbaum, Czakon, Mitov '12; Czakon, Mitov '18].
- $S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}}$ can be obtained by a relatively simple integration over l^μ.

Single-cut (real-virtual)



$$S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}} = \sum_{ijk} \int d^d l \frac{\delta^+(l^2)\,\delta(l_T - q_T)}{l_+^\alpha\, n_k \cdot l} n_k^\mu T_k^a J^\mu_{ij,a}(l)$$

- The soft current $J^\mu_{ij,a}(l)$ is known up to NLO [Catani, Grazzini '00; Bierenbaum, Czakon, Mitov '12; Czakon, Mitov '18].
- $S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}}$ can be obtained by a relatively simple integration over l^μ.
- Single-cut piece of the soft function exhibits both real and imaginary part. The latter when $i \neq j \neq k$, the former, otherwise.

Bubble



Bubble



- Solvable analytically: direct cross check of our sector decomposition-based implementation
- Non-trivial tensor structure $\rightarrow$ challenging numerators
- Laboratory to stress-test sector decomposition-based methodology

Bubble part of the soft function from differential equations



$$\propto \int \frac{d^d q\, \delta(q_T - 1)\, \theta^+(q^2)\, n_i^\mu n_j^\nu}{q^4\, (n_i \cdot q)\, (n_j \cdot q)} \Bigg(\Bigg.$$



$$\Bigg. \Bigg)_{\mu\nu}$$

Bubble part of the soft function from differential equations



$$\propto \int \frac{d^d q\, \delta(q_T - 1)\, \theta^+(q^2)\, n_i^\mu n_j^\nu}{q^4\, (n_i \cdot q)\, (n_j \cdot q)} \Bigg(\quad \Bigg)_{\mu\nu}$$



where



$$= \int \frac{d^d k\, N_{\mu\nu}\, \delta^+(k^2)\, \delta^+((q-k)^2)}{(n \cdot k)^\alpha\, (n \cdot (q-k))^\alpha\, k^2 (q-k)^2}$$

$$= T_{00}\, g^{\mu,\nu} + T_{qq}\, q^\mu q^\nu + T_{nn}\, n^\mu n^\nu + T_{qn}\, (n^\mu q^\nu + q^\mu n^\nu)$$

Bubble part of the soft function from differential equations

- Reverse unitarity [Anastasiou, Melnikov '02, Cutkosky '60]

$$2i\pi\delta(p^2 - m^2) \to \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 - i\epsilon}$$

Bubble part of the soft function from differential equations

- Reverse unitarity [Anastasiou, Melnikov '02, Cutkosky '60]

$$2i\pi\delta(p^2 - m^2) \to \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 - i\epsilon}$$

  - real gluons are turned into virtual ones
  - this allows us to utilize all the existing loop technology

Bubble part of the soft function from differential equations

- Reverse unitarity [Anastasiou, Melnikov '02, Cutkosky '60]

$$2i\pi\delta(p^2 - m^2) \to \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 - i\epsilon}$$

  - real gluons are turned into virtual ones
  - this allows us to utilize all the existing loop technology

- Topology:

$$\int \frac{d^d k}{(n\cdot k)^{a_1+2\alpha}\,(\bar{n}\cdot k)^{a_2}\,(v_3\cdot k)^{a_3}\,(v_4\cdot k)^{a_4}\,(k^2-m^2)^{a_5}\,((n\cdot k)\,(\bar{n}\cdot k)-m^2-1)^{a_6}}$$

Integration by parts and differential equations

- Identities

$$\int d^d k \, \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\mu} q^\mu I(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_6) = 0 \,, \qquad q^\mu = n^\mu, \bar{n}^\mu, v_3^\mu, v_4^\mu, k^\mu$$

Integration by parts and differential equations

- Identities

$$\int d^d k \, \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\mu} q^\mu I(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_6) = 0 \,, \qquad q^\mu = n^\mu, \bar{n}^\mu, v_3^\mu, v_4^\mu, k^\mu$$

- Reduction to a set of Master Integrals: $\{M_i\}$

Integration by parts and differential equations

- Identities

$$\int d^d k \, \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\mu} q^\mu I(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_6) = 0 \,, \qquad q^\mu = n^\mu, \bar{n}^\mu, v_3^\mu, v_4^\mu, k^\mu$$

- Reduction to a set of Master Integrals: $\{M_i\}$

- Differential equations

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{d\beta_t} M_i = A_{ij} M_j}$$

Integration by parts and differential equations

- Identities

$$\int d^d k \, \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\mu} q^\mu I(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_6) = 0 \,, \qquad q^\mu = n^\mu, \bar{n}^\mu, v_3^\mu, v_4^\mu, k^\mu$$

- Reduction to a set of Master Integrals: $\{M_i\}$

- Differential equations

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{d\beta_t} M_i = A_{ij} M_j}$$

- Straightforward integrations with $\beta_t = 0$ fix boundary conditions

Integration by parts and differential equations

- Identities

$$\int d^d k \, \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\mu} q^\mu I(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_6) = 0 \,, \qquad q^\mu = n^\mu, \bar{n}^\mu, v_3^\mu, v_4^\mu, k^\mu$$

- Reduction to a set of Master Integrals: $\{M_i\}$

- Differential equations

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{d\beta_t} M_i = A_{ij} M_j}$$

- Straightforward integrations with $\beta_t = 0$ fix boundary conditions

- Final set of bubble integrals: $\left\{ I_{jk}(\beta_t, \theta) \right\}$

Complete Soft Function at NNLO: structure of the result

$$S^{(2,\text{bare})}(L_\perp, \beta_t, \theta) = \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + L_\perp + L_\perp^2 + \ldots\right]$$
$$\times \left[S^{(2)}_{\text{bubble}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon) + S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon) + S^{(2)}_{\text{2-cut}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon)\right]$$

Complete Soft Function at NNLO: structure of the result

$$S^{(2,\text{bare})}(L_\perp, \beta_t, \theta) = \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + L_\perp + L_\perp^2 + \ldots\right]$$

$$\times \left[S^{(2)}_{\text{bubble}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon) + S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon) + S^{(2)}_{\text{2-cut}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} S^{(2,-2)}(L_\perp) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} S^{(2,-1)}(L_\perp) + S^{(2,0)}(L_\perp)$$

Complete Soft Function at NNLO: structure of the result

$$S^{(2,\text{bare})}(L_\perp, \beta_t, \theta) = \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + L_\perp + L_\perp^2 + \ldots\right]$$

$$\times \left[S^{(2)}_{\text{bubble}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon) + S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon) + S^{(2)}_{\text{2-cut}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon)\right]$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} S^{(2,-2)}(L_\perp) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} S^{(2,-1)}(L_\perp)} + S^{(2,0)}(L_\perp)$$

can be cross-checked against RG; fixes all $L_\perp$-dependent terms in $S^{(2,0)}(L_\perp)$

Complete Soft Function at NNLO: structure of the result

$$S^{(2,\text{bare})}(L_\perp, \beta_t, \theta) = \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + L_\perp + L_\perp^2 + \ldots\right]$$

$$\times \left[S^{(2)}_{\text{bubble}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon) + S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon) + S^{(2)}_{\text{2-cut}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon)\right]$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} S^{(2,-2)}(L_\perp) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} S^{(2,-1)}(L_\perp)}_{} + S^{(2,0)}(L_\perp)$$

can be cross-checked against RG; fixes all $L_\perp$-dependent terms in $S^{(2,0)}(L_\perp)$

- The only term that has to be obtained through direct calculation is the $L_\perp$-independent part of $S^{(2,0)}(L_\perp)$.

Complete Soft Function at NNLO: structure of the result

$$S^{(2,\text{bare})}(L_\perp, \beta_t, \theta) = \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + L_\perp + L_\perp^2 + \ldots\right]$$

$$\times \left[S^{(2)}_{\text{bubble}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon) + S^{(2)}_{\text{1-cut}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon) + S^{(2)}_{\text{2-cut}}(\beta_t, \theta, \epsilon)\right]$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} S^{(2,-2)}(L_\perp) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} S^{(2,-1)}(L_\perp)}_{} + S^{(2,0)}(L_\perp)$$

can be cross-checked against RG; fixes all $L_\perp$-dependent terms in $S^{(2,0)}(L_\perp)$

- The only term that has to be obtained through direct calculation is the $L_\perp$-independent part of $S^{(2,0)}(L_\perp)$.
- However, we calculate all terms and use the redundant ones for cross checks against Renormalization Group prediction.

Vanishing of higher order poles

Even though the NNLO Soft Function exhibits at most $\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ singularity, higher order poles appear in individual contributions.

Vanishing of higher order poles

Even though the NNLO Soft Function exhibits at most $\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ singularity, higher order poles appear in individual contributions.

- All α poles, including $\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}$, as well as $\frac{1}{\epsilon^4}$ pole cancel within each colour structure, for example

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^4}\begin{pmatrix} 0.00009\, N_c^{-1} - 0.00009\, N_c & -0.00002\, N_c^2 - 0.00009\, N_c^{-2} + 0.0001 \\ -0.00002\, N_c^2 - 0.00009\, N_c^{-2} + 0.0001 & 0.00008\, N_c^3 - 0.00006\, N_c + 0.00007\, N_c^{-3} - 0.00009\, N_c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Vanishing of higher order poles

Even though the NNLO Soft Function exhibits at most $\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ singularity, higher order poles appear in individual contributions.

- All α poles, including $\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}$, as well as $\frac{1}{\epsilon^4}$ pole cancel within each colour structure, for example

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^4}\begin{pmatrix} 0.00009\, N_c^{-1} - 0.00009\, N_c & -0.00002\, N_c^2 - 0.00009\, N_c^{-2} + 0.0001 \\ -0.00002\, N_c^2 - 0.00009\, N_c^{-2} + 0.0001 & 0.00008\, N_c^3 - 0.00006\, N_c + 0.00007\, N_c^{-3} - 0.00009\, N_c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\frac{1}{\epsilon^3}$ pole cancels between 1-cut and 2-cut contributions

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^3}\begin{pmatrix} 0.0004\, N_c^3 - 0.0007\, N_c + 0.0004\, N_c^{-1} & 0.0004\, N_c^2 - 0.0004\, N_c^{-2} - 7.\times 10^{-6} \\ 0.0004\, N_c^2 - 0.0004\, N_c^{-2} - 7.\times 10^{-6} & -0.0004\, N_c^3 - 0.00001\, N_c + 0.0003\, N_c^{-3} + 0.0002\, N_c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

† We used $\beta = 0.4$, $\theta = 0.5$.

NNLO, small-q_T soft function for top pair production

Quark bubble contribution ($q\bar{q}$ channel)



Validation of the framework

- Perfect agreement of quark bubble results obtained from *differential equations* and *sector decomposition* for all terms in ϵ expansion
- Reproduction of the n_f part of Renormalization Group result

Imaginary part

($q\bar{q}$ channel)



(gg channel)



Real part ($q\bar{q}$ channel)



Real part (gg channel)



Conclusions

- Our goal: Use small-q_T factorization and q_T slicing to perform independent calculation of top pair production at NNLO

Conclusions

- Our goal: Use small-q_T factorization and q_T slicing to perform independent calculation of top pair production at NNLO
- The only missing component: the NNLO soft function

Conclusions

- Our goal: Use small-q_T factorization and q_T slicing to perform independent calculation of top pair production at NNLO
- The only missing component: the NNLO soft function
- We have constructed a framework based on sector decomposition and differential equations and used it to complete the calculation of the the small-q_T soft function for top pair production at NNLO

Conclusions

- Our goal: Use small-q_T factorization and q_T slicing to perform independent calculation of top pair production at NNLO
- The only missing component: the NNLO soft function
- We have constructed a framework based on sector decomposition and differential equations and used it to complete the calculation of the the small-q_T soft function for top pair production at NNLO
- The framework has been extensively validated and cross-checked:
  1. Cancellation of α poles, including ϵ/α, and ϵ poles beyond $1/\epsilon^2$
  2. Perfect agreement with analytic calculation for bubble graphs
  3. RG result for the complete NNLO soft function recovered: real and imaginary part

Conclusions

- Our goal: Use small-q_T factorization and q_T slicing to perform independent calculation of top pair production at NNLO
- The only missing component: the NNLO soft function
- We have constructed a framework based on sector decomposition and differential equations and used it to complete the calculation of the the small-q_T soft function for top pair production at NNLO
- The framework has been extensively validated and cross-checked:
  1. Cancellation of α poles, including ϵ/α, and ϵ poles beyond $1/\epsilon^2$
  2. Perfect agreement with analytic calculation for bubble graphs
  3. RG result for the complete NNLO soft function recovered: real and imaginary part $\rightarrow$ direct demonstration of validity of the small-q_T factorization for top pair production at NNLO

Conclusions

- Our goal: Use small-q_T factorization and q_T slicing to perform independent calculation of top pair production at NNLO
- The only missing component: the NNLO soft function
- We have constructed a framework based on sector decomposition and differential equations and used it to complete the calculation of the the small-q_T soft function for top pair production at NNLO
- The framework has been extensively validated and cross-checked:
  1. Cancellation of α poles, including ϵ/α, and ϵ poles beyond $1/\epsilon^2$
  2. Perfect agreement with analytic calculation for bubble graphs
  3. RG result for the complete NNLO soft function recovered: real and imaginary part $\rightarrow$ direct demonstration of validity of the small-q_T factorization for top pair production at NNLO
- The soft function can now be used to obtain full $t\bar{t}$ cross section at NNLO as well for resummation up to NNLL'

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the National Science Centre, Poland grant POLONEZ No. 2015/19/P/ST2/03007. The project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement NO. 665778.