Dear reviewers,

Thank you for your time in suggesting changes to my proceedings. I took your comments to heart and made as many changes as I believed were feasible. As such, I would like to respond to your reiteration of several suggestions in this second round:

- The introduction gives an overall picture of the GE1/1 project in order to give context to the electronics described in the following sections. I have opted for the phrase "Details on the different electronics versions and the results of the slice test will be discussed in this paper." rather than a more detailed of each section in order to remain within the length confines of 4 pages + title page. Due to the sheer number of sections that follow, I believe that describing each section in the introduction would require removing substantial content from the sections themselves in order to conform to the length requirement of the proceedings.
- I understand that Figure 1 has small text. However, the size of the images cannot be increased without threatening the length of the proceedings. I believe that, at the size of a standard page, the text is still legible, and that the proceedings benefit from having the images present.
- A dedicated reference to VFAT3 chip was not included on purpose.
 - This is partially again due to length constraints. Much of the VFAT3 parameters are discussed within reference 2, and adding another dedicated reference would require removing novel information from the proceedings in order to create the required space, as the reference section is already somewhat substantial compared to the length of the proceedings itself.
 - This is also due to a subject which was discussed in the conference presentation itself but was removed from the proceedings as a consideration of both length constraints and other issues. The VFAT3 chip is currently undergoing significant revisions as a result of the discharges seen within the detectors during the operation of the slice test that are discussed in section 4. Completing these revisions in a timely manner is critical for the success of the GE1/1 project, and as such, no published paper has yet even been considered for them. Any VFAT3 reference that could currently be added would be outdated in view of the final GE1/1 detector electronics.