$Z p_T$ description in GENEVA



Simone Alioli

ElectroWeak Working Group Meeting on Z/Wp_T

25 January 2018



http://geneva.physics.lbl.gov

SA, C. Bauer, C. Berggren, A. Hornig, F. Tackmann, C. Vermilion, J. Walsh, S. Zuberi JHEP09(2013)120

SA, C. Bauer, C. Berggren, F. Tackmann, J. Walsh, S. Zuberi JHEP06(2014)089

SA, C. Bauer, C. Berggren, F. Tackmann, J. Walsh, Phys.Rev. D92 (2015) 9

SA, C. Bauer, F. Tackmann, S. Guns, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) 614



European Research Council Established by the European Commission

Introduction

GENEVA combines the 3 theoretical tools we use for QCD predictions into a single framework:

1) Fully differential fixed-order calculations

- up to NNLO via N-jettiness subtraction
- 2) Higher-logarithmic resummation
 - up to NNLL' via SCET (but not limited to it)

3) Parton showering, hadronization and MPI

recycling standard SMC (currently using PYTHIA8)

Resulting Monte Carlo event generator has many advantages:

- consistently improves perturbative accuracy away from FO regions
- provides event-by-event systematic estimate of theoretical perturbative uncertainties and correlations
- gives a direct interface to SMC hadronization, MPI modeling and detector simulations.
 Simone Alioli | UNIMIB | EW WG 25/1/2018 | page 2



GENEVA in a nutshell: Drell-Yan production

- 1. Design IR-finite definition of events, based on resolution parameters $\mathcal{T}_0^{\text{cut}}$.
- 2. Associate differential cross-sections to events such that 0-jet events are (N)NLO accurate and T_0 is resummed at NNLL' accuracy
- Shower events imposing conditions to avoid spoiling NNLL' accuracy reached at step 2
- Hadronize, add multi-parton interactions (MPI) and decay without further restrictions



Combining resummation with fixed-order in GENEVA

For Drell-Yan at NNLO provide partonic formulae for up to 2 extra partons.

0-jet exclusive cross section

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}})$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) &= \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_0 \quad \sum_{ij} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{ij}^B}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0} H_{ij}(Q^2,\mu_H) \, U_H(\mu_H,\mu) \\ & \times \left[B_i(x_a,\mu_B) \otimes U_B(\mu_B,\mu) \right] \times \left[B_j(x_b,\mu_B) \otimes U_B(\mu_B,\mu) \right] \\ & \otimes \left[S(\mu_S) \otimes U_S(\mu_S,\mu) \right], \end{split}$$

• SCET factorization: hard, beam and soft function depend on a single scale. No large logarithms present when scales are at their characteristic values:

$$\mu_H = Q, \quad \mu_B = \sqrt{Q\mathcal{T}_0}, \quad \mu_S = \mathcal{T}_0$$

- Resummation performed via RGE evolution factors U to a common scale μ .
- At NNLL' all singular contributions to $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{\rm s}^2\right)$ already included by definition.
- Two-loop virtual corrections properly spread to nonzero \mathcal{T}_0 by resummation.
- Nonsingular matching constrained by requirement of NNLO₀ accuracy.

Combining resummation with fixed-order in GENEVA

For Drell-Yan at NNLO provide partonic formulae for up to 2 extra partons.

1-jet inclusive cross section

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{NC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}} \theta(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}})$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{NC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}} \theta(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}})$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}} \theta(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}\mathcal{P}(\Phi_{1}) \theta(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}})$$

esummed formula only differential in
$$\Phi_0, \mathcal{T}_0$$
. Need to make it differential in

- Resummed formula only differential in Φ_0 , T_0 . Need to make it differential in 2 more variables, e.g. energy ratio $z = E_M/E_S$ and azimuthal angle ϕ
- We use a normalized splitting probability to make the resummation differential in Φ_1 .
- All singular $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{\rm s}^2\right)$ terms again included at NNLL' by definition.
- Nonsingular matching fixed by NLO₁ requirement

Combining resummation with fixed-order in GENEVA

For Drell-Yan at NNLO provide partonic formulae for up to 2 extra partons.

- \blacktriangleright The separation between 1 and 2 jets is determined by the NLL resummation of $\mathcal{T}_1^{\mathrm{cut}}$
 - Results in lengthier expressions. Need to include both the T_0 and T_1 resummations. See arXiv: 1508.01475 and arXiv: 1605.07192 for derivation.

• The fully differential \mathcal{T}_0 information is contained trough $\frac{d\sigma_{\geq 1}^{NNLL'}}{d\Phi_1}$



Scale profiles and theoretical uncertainties





- Theoretical uncertainties in resum. are evaluated by independently varying each µ.
- ▶ Range of variations is tuned to turn off the resummation before the nonsingular dominates and to respect SCET scaling $\mu_H \gtrsim \mu_B \gtrsim \mu_S$
- FO unc. are usual $\{2\mu_H, \mu_H/2\}$ variations.
- Final results added in quadrature.

$$\mu_H = \mu_{\rm FO} = M_{\ell^+\ell^-} ,$$

$$\mu_S(\mathcal{T}_0) = \mu_{\rm FO} f_{\rm run}(\mathcal{T}_0/Q) ,$$

$$\mu_B(\mathcal{T}_0) = \mu_{\rm FO} \sqrt{f_{\rm run}(\mathcal{T}_0/Q)}$$

▶ $f_{run}(x)$ common profile function: strict canonical scaling $x \to 0$ and switches off resummation $x \sim 1$



Adding the parton shower.

Purpose of the parton shower is to fill the 0- and 1-jet exclusive bins with radiation and add more emissions to the inclusive 2-jet bin



- Not allowed to change accuracy reached at partonic level.
- ▶ If shower ordered in *N*-jettiness setting starting scales is enough.
- For different ordering variable (i.e. any real shower), jet-boundaries constraints $\mathcal{T}_k^{\text{cut}}$ need to be imposed on hardest radiation (largest jet resolution scale)
- Impose the first emission has the largest jet resolution scale, by performing a splitting by hand using a NLL Sudakov and the T_k -preserving map.

Showering setting scales $\mathcal{T}_k^{\mathrm{cut}}$ does not spoil NNLL'+NNLO accuracy:

- Φ_0 events only constrained by normalization, shape given by PYTHIA
- Φ_1 events vanish forced to vanish by splitting down to $\Lambda_1 \lesssim 100$ MeV.
- Φ_2 events: PYTHIA showering can be shown to shift T_0 distribution at the same α_s^3/T_0 order of the dominant term beyond NNLL'. Beyond claimed accuracy.

Adding hadronization and MPI

- Hadronization is left totally unconstrained by the GENEVA-PYTHIA interface
- After showering level only small changes within pert. uncertainties.



After hadronization $\mathcal{O}(1)$ shift in peak, tail unchanged: as predicted by factorization.



Addition of MPI complicated by PYTHIA8 interleaved evolution. Shower constraints only applied to particle arising from primary hard interaction. Secondary interactions unconstrained.

Predictions for other observables : q_T , ϕ^* and jet-veto

- Comparison with DYqT Bozzi et al. arXiv:1007.2351 , BDMT Banfi et al. arXiv:1205.4760 and JetVHeto Banfi et al. 1308.4634
- Analytic NNLL predictions formally higher log accuracy than GENEVA
- PYTHIA8 provides non-perturbative hadronization corrections



- Very low end highly sensitive to non-pertub. effects, k_T smearing.
- Smaller unc. in GENEVA there not necessarily an indication of higher precision.
- No sistematic tuning attempt, nor inclusion of shower uncertainties yet.

Results for Zp_T

- Perturbative uncertainty via different weights
- Shower uncertainty via different shower parameters
- Hadronization/MPI unc. via different tunes
- non-trivial interplay for observables which are not the resolution parameter



Thank you for your attention!



