

Response Letter to the Reviewer's Comments

Reviewer 1's comments:

Comment 1. In caption of figure 1, "...the gun..." should be removed as it is duplicate with "...RF-gun." at the end of caption.

Response to comment 1:

We have removed the word "...the gun..." out from the caption of figure 1 in this revised manuscript.

Comment 2. In caption of figure 2, the word "on" should be used instead of "in" in the parentheses

Response to comment 2:

The word "on" in the caption of figure 2 has been substituted by "in" for this revised manuscript.

Comment 3. Using word "respectively" should help smooth flow of the sentences in section 2.2, 3.1, and 3.2. For example, "...are 30.62 and 64.86 MV/m, respectively.", "...mode were 2855.68 MHz and 12264, respectively, that are...", and "...RF powers are 3.44 and 0.96 MW, respectively."

Response to comment 3:

Line 5 in section 2.2, we have removed the word "respective" and added the word "respectively".

Line 5 in section 3.1, we have already moved the word "respectively" to be in front of the word "that".

Line 8 in section 3.2, we have added the word "respectively" in the sentence.

Comment 4. In section 1 the paragraph below figure 1 ("For operation...") should be indented as the guidelines from Journal of Physics: Conference Series.

Response to comment 4:

The beginning of the paragraph below figure 1 ("For operation...") has been indented in this revised manuscript.

Comment 5. In paper should refer to all figures using "figure x" instead of "fig. x" as the guidelines from Journal of Physics: Conference Series. So please change all refer in text body from "fig. x" to "figure x"

Response to comment 5:

We have replaced the words "fig. x" in the paper with the word "figure x" in this revised manuscript.

Comment 6. In paper should refer to all tables using “table x” instead of “Table x” or “tab. x” as the guidelines from Journal of Physics: Conference Series. So please change all refer in text body from “Table x” to “table x”

Response to comment 6:

We have replaced the words “Table x” in the paper with the word “table x” in this revised manuscript.

Comment 7. In references section, reference [1-3] “et al” should be Italic style.

Response to comment 7:

The word “et al” in references [1-3] have been changed to be Italic style.

Note to the Editor:

We would like to publish this paper with 5 pages for more complete information that we added in this revise manuscript. Therefore, we agree to pay the publication fees of $2,500 + 1 \times 500 = 3,000$ Baht.