

B-anomalies vs High-pT Lepton Tails

Admir Greljo

24.10.2018, Workshop on high-energy implications of flavor anomalies, CERN







[1412.3989]

 \mathbf{u}_V

 \mathbf{d}_V

0.1

*typically

NP: Heavy flavour

g/10

0.01



Some of Part Bilden"-channels at the LHC. It's a FCNC solution of Part Bilden"-channels at the LHC. It's a FCNC supported by the Bilden definition of a solution of the SN 3.6×10^{-41} (response to the Bilden definition of a solution of

The difference of the state of The second seco Bee The proposed with the state of the state ber Grenze service and istill far, from BERES FOR THE AREA STORED AND A BRUNG 101802(2012) $\Delta \chi^2 = 1.0$ contours

s and investigation of the second of the property of the second straight sensitives but distill far from experimental restrictions of the probed in rare cha







 $\begin{aligned} \sum \\ \mathbf{EFT \ validity} \\ \hat{s} \lesssim M_X^2 \end{aligned}$

• Typically OK

An explicit model (counter)example later



Direct LHC limit on the FCNC operator?



How about flavour diagonal operators?

I would say... High-p_T Tails



Example: $\overline{b}_L \gamma^\mu b_L \overline{\tau}_L \gamma_\mu \tau_L$



High-pT Tails for flavour diagonal operators

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}} \supset \frac{\mathbf{C}_{ij}^{U\mu}}{v^2} (\bar{u}_L^i \gamma_\mu u_L^j) (\bar{\mu}_L \gamma^\mu \mu_L) + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{ij}^{D\mu}}{v^2} (\bar{d}_L^i \gamma_\mu d_L^j) (\bar{\mu}_L \gamma^\mu \mu_L).$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{ij}^{U\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{\mu\mu} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{c\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{t\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{ij}^{D\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{d\mu} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{s\mu} & C_{bs\mu}^* \\ 0 & C_{bs\mu} & C_{b\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Learn about the flavour structure

$$\lambda_{bs}^q \equiv C_{bs\mu}/C_{q\mu}$$

$$pp \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{bs}^{u} &> 0.072 \; (0.77), \quad \lambda_{bs}^{u} < -0.097 \; (-0.76), \\ \lambda_{bs}^{d} &> 0.049 \; (0.36), \quad \lambda_{bs}^{d} < -0.032 \; (-0.34), \\ \lambda_{bs}^{s} &> 0.007 \; (0.04), \quad \lambda_{bs}^{s} < -0.004 \; (-0.03), \\ \lambda_{bs}^{c} &> 0.003 \; (0.02), \quad \lambda_{bs}^{c} < -0.004 \; (-0.02), \\ \lambda_{bs}^{b} &> 0.002 \; (0.01), \quad \lambda_{bs}^{b} < -0.002 \; (-0.006). \end{split}$$

 $36 \text{ fb}^{-1} (3000 \text{ fb}^{-1})$

[AG, Marzocca] 1704.09015

See also talk by D. Marzocca @ CKM 2018

High-pT Tails for flavour diagonal operators



See also talk by D. Marzocca @ CKM 2018



Lepton flavour universality tests



Proposal: *R-ratios at high-p*_T





Example: **Vector LQ** $U_1^{\mu \text{quark and lepton currents}} = (3, 1, 2/3)$ $\mathcal{L}_U = g_U(J_U^{\mu}U_{1,\mu} + \text{h.c.})$ $J_U^{\mu} \equiv \beta_{i\alpha} \ \bar{Q}_i \gamma^{\mu} L_{\alpha}$



Talk by Di Luzio

VTM W' = (1, 3, 0)Example: [AG, Isidori, Marzocca] 1506.01705 $J_{W'}^{a\mu} \equiv \lambda_{ij}^q \bar{Q}_i \gamma^\mu \sigma^a Q_j + \lambda_{ij}^\ell \bar{L}_i \gamma^\mu \sigma^a L_j$ $\lambda_{ij}^{q(\ell)} \simeq g_{b(\tau)} \delta_{i3} \delta_{j3}$ [Faroughy, AG, F. Kamenik] 1609.07138 $|g_{b}g_{\tau}| \times v^{2}/M_{Z'}^{2}$ 50 ATLAS 13 TeV, 3.2 fb⁻ 0.11 40 6 0.09 [%] 30 20 20 0.12 0.08 0 0.07 0.06 0.05 10 0.04 0.03 $\Gamma_{Z'} < \Gamma_{Z' \to bb} + \Gamma_{Z' \to \tau\tau}$ 0 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 M_Z (TeV) **Broad!** *New ATLAS analysis available Talks by Tetiana Hrynova, Roman Kogler

18

For updates ask D. Faroughy







$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{LEEFT}} \supset -\frac{2V_{kl}}{v^2} \left[\left(1 + \epsilon_L^{kl\tau} \right) \bar{\tau} \gamma_\mu P_L \nu_\tau \cdot \bar{u}_k \gamma^\mu P_L d_l + \epsilon_R^{kl\tau} \bar{\tau} \gamma_\mu P_L \nu_\tau \cdot \bar{u}_k \gamma^\mu P_R d_l \right]$$

$$+ \epsilon_T^{kl\tau} \,\bar{\tau} \sigma_{\mu\nu} P_L \nu_\tau \cdot \bar{u}_k \sigma^{\mu\nu} P_L d + \epsilon_{S_L}^{kl\tau} \bar{\tau} P_L \nu_\tau \cdot \bar{u}_k P_L d_l + \epsilon_{S_R}^{kl\tau} \bar{\tau} P_L \nu_\tau \cdot \bar{u}_k P_R d_l + \text{h.c.},$$

٦









- Systematic uncertainties
- Signal prediction @ NLO QCD
- PDF determination not effected by this NP



Analysis of the most sensitive bins



Conclusions



 High-p_T Tails relevant for several models presented here. See talks
Z', W': Cox, Ziegler, Shih
LQ: Kosnik, Sumensari, Marzocca

Backup slides

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(q_{p_{1}}^{i}\bar{q}_{p_{2}}^{j} \to \ell_{p_{1}'}^{-}\ell_{p_{2}'}^{+}) \\ &= i \sum_{q_{L},q_{R}} \sum_{\ell_{L},\ell_{R}} (\bar{q}^{i}\gamma^{\mu}q^{j}) \; (\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}\ell) \; F_{q\ell}(p^{2}), \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{q\ell}(p^2) = \delta^{ij} \frac{e^2 Q_q Q_\ell}{p^2} + \delta^{ij} \frac{g_Z^q g_Z^\ell}{p^2 - m_Z^2 + im_Z \Gamma_Z} + \frac{\epsilon_{ij}^{q\ell}}{v^2}$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{s}{144\pi} \left(|F_{q_L \ell_L}(s)|^2 + |F_{q_R \ell_R}(s)|^2 + |F_{q_L \ell_R}(s)|^2 + |F_{q_L \ell_R}(s)|^2 + |F_{q_R \ell_L}(s)|^2 \right),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{q\bar{q}}(\tau,\mu_F) = \int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} f_q(x,\mu_F) f_{\bar{q}}(\tau/x,\mu_F).$$

$$\sigma^{\rm bin}(p \ p \to \ell^+ \ell^-) = \sum_{q} \int_{\tau_{\rm min}^{\rm bin}}^{\tau_{\rm max}^{\rm bin}} \mathrm{d}\tau \ 2\mathcal{L}_{q\bar{q}}(\tau, \mu_F) \ \hat{\sigma}(\tau s_0)$$

[AG, Marzocca] 1704.09015

$\overline{C_i}$	ATLAS 36.1 fb ⁻¹	3000 fb ⁻¹
$C^{(1)}_{0^{1}L^{2}}$	$[-5.73, 14.2] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-1.30, 1.51] \times 10^{-4}$
$C_{01L^2}^{(3)}$	$[-7.11, 2.84] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-5.25, 5.25] \times 10^{-5}$
$Q^{1}L^{2}$ $C_{m}L^{2}$	$[-0.84, 1.61] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-2.00, 2.66] \times 10^{-4}$
$C_{\mu R \mu R}$	$[-0.52, 1.36] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-1.04, 1.08] \times 10^{-4}$
$C_{O^1 \mu p}$	$[-0.82, 1.27] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-2.25, 4.10] \times 10^{-4}$
$C_{d_P L^2}$	$[-2.13, 1.61] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-8.98, 5.11] \times 10^{-4}$
$C_{d_R\mu_R}$	$[-2.31, 1.34] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-4.89, 3.33] \times 10^{-4}$
$C_{O^2L^2}^{(1)}$	$[-8.84, 7.35] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-3.83, 2.39] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{O^2 I^2}^{(3)}$	$[-9.75, 5.56] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-1.43, 1.15] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{O^2 \mu P}$	$[-7.53, 8.67] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-2.58, 3.73] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{s_PL^2}$	$[-1.04, 0.93] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-4.42, 3.33] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{s_R\mu_R}$	$[-1.09, 0.87] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-4.67, 2.73] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{c_R L^2}$	$[-1.33, 1.52] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-4.58, 6.54] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{c_R\mu_R}$	$[-1.21, 1.62] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-3.48, 6.32] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{b_L L^2}$	$[-2.61, 2.07] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-11.1, 6.33] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{b_L \mu_R}$	$[-2.28, 2.42] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-8.53, 10.0] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{b_R L^2}$	$[-2.41, 2.29] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-9.90, 8.68] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{b_R\mu_R}$	$[-2.47, 2.23] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-10.5, 7.97] \times 10^{-3}$
$C^{(1)}_{Q^1L^1}$	$[-0.0, 1.75] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-1.01, 1.13] \times 10^{-4}$
$C^{(3)}_{Q^1L^1}$	$[-8.92, -0.54] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-3.99, 3.93] \times 10^{-5}$
$C_{u_R L^1}$	$[-0.19, 1.92] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-1.56, 1.92] \times 10^{-4}$
$C_{u_R e_R}$	$[0.15, 2.06] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-7.89, 8.23] \times 10^{-5}$
$C_{Q^1e_R}$	$[-0.40, 1.37] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-1.8, 2.85] \times 10^{-4}$
$C_{d_R L^1}$	$[-2.1, 1.04] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-7.59, 4.23] \times 10^{-4}$
$C_{d_R e_R}$	$[-2.55, 0.46] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-3.37, 2.59] \times 10^{-4}$
$C^{(1)}_{Q^2L^1}$	$[-6.62, 4.36] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-3.31, 1.92] \times 10^{-3}$
$C^{(3)}_{Q^2L^1}$	$[-8.24, 2.05] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-8.87, 7.90] \times 10^{-4}$
$C_{Q^2e_R}$	$[-4.67, 6.34] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-2.11, 3.30] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{s_RL^1}$	$[-7.4, 5.9] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-3.96, 2.8] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{s_R e_R}$	$[-8.17, 5.06] \times 10^{-3}$	$[-3.82, 2.13] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{c_R L^1}$	$[-0.83, 1.13] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-3.74, 5.77] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{c_R e_R}$	$[-0.67, 1.27] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-2.59, 4.17] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{b_L L^1}$	$[-1.93, 1.19] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-8.62, 4.82] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{b_L e_R}$	$[-1.47, 1.67] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-7.29, 8.99] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{b_R L^1}$	$[-1.65, 1.49] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-8.86, 7.48] \times 10^{-3}$
$C_{b_R e_R}$	$[-1.73, 1.40] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-9.38, 6.63] \times 10^{-3}$

