

on Freudenthal Duality



Alessio MARRANI
Enrico Fermi Center, Roma



Geometry, Duality & Strings, Murcia, May 23, 2018

Bibliography

Borsten, Dahanayake, Duff, Rubens, Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 026003

Ferrara, AM, Yeranyan, Phys. Lett. **B701** (2011) 640

Ferrara, AM, Orazi, Trigiante, JHEP **1311** (2013) 056

AM, p Adic Ultra. Anal. Appl. **7** (2015) 322-331

Klemm, AM, Petri, Rabbiosi, JHEP **1704** (2017) 013

Mandal, AM, Tripathy, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A32** (2017) no.19n20, 1750114

Borsten, Duff, Fernandez-Melgarejo, Marrani, Torrente-Lujan : **arXiv: 18xx.xxxxx**

see also (other applications)

Borsten, Duff, Ferrara, AM, Class. Quant. Grav. **30** (2013) 235003

Galli, Meessen, Ortin, JHEP **1305** (2013) 011

AM, Qiu, Shih, Tagliaferro, Zumino, JHEP **1303** (2013) 132

Fernandez-Melgarejo, Torrente-Lujan, JHEP **1405** (2014) 081

Summary

Maxwell-Einstein-Scalar Gravity Theories

Extremal Black Holes and Attractor Mechanism

U-Duality Orbits and Attractor Moduli Spaces

Freudenthal Duality

Groups of type E_7

Geometry of U-Orbits and PVS

Hints for the Future...

Maxwell-Einstein-Scalar Theories

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{R}{2} + \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(\varphi)\partial_\mu\varphi^i\partial^\mu\varphi^j + \frac{1}{4}I_{\Lambda\Sigma}(\varphi)F_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda F^{\Sigma|\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{-G}}R_{\Lambda\Sigma}(\varphi)\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda F_{\rho\sigma}^\Sigma$$

$$H := (F^\Lambda, G_\Lambda)^T;$$

D=4 Maxwell-Einstein-scalar system (with no potential)

[may be the bosonic sector of D=4 (ungauged) sugra]

$$*G_{\Lambda|\mu\nu} := 2\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta F^\Lambda_{|\mu\nu}}.$$

Abelian 2-form field strengths

static, spherically symmetric, asympt. flat, **extremal BH**

$$ds^2 = -e^{2U(\tau)}dt^2 + e^{-2U(\tau)}\left[\frac{d\tau^2}{\tau^4} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}(d\theta^2 + \sin\theta d\psi^2)\right]$$

$$\tau := -1/r$$

$$Q := \int_{S_\infty^2} H = (p^\Lambda, q_\Lambda)^T;$$

$$p^\Lambda := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S_\infty^2} F^\Lambda, \quad q_\Lambda = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S_\infty^2} G_\Lambda.$$

dyonic vector of e.m. fluxes
(BH charges)

$$S_{D=1} = \int [(U')^2 + g_{ij} \varphi'^i \varphi'^j + e^{2U} V_{BH}(\varphi(\tau), \mathcal{Q})] d\tau \quad ' \equiv \frac{d}{d\tau}$$

reduction D=4 \rightarrow D=1 : effective 1-dimensional (radial) Lagrangian

$$V_{BH}(\varphi, \mathcal{Q}) := -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q}^T \mathcal{M}(\varphi) \mathcal{Q},$$

BH effective potential

Ferrara, Gibbons, Kallosh

eoms

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 U}{d\tau^2} = e^{2U} V_{BH}; \\ \frac{d^2 \varphi^i}{d\tau^2} = g^{ij} e^{2U} \frac{\partial V_{BH}}{\partial \varphi^j}. \end{cases}$$

in N=2 ungauged sugra, **hyper mults. decouple**, and we thus disregard them : scalar fields belong to vector mults.

Attractor Mechanism : $\partial_\varphi V_{BH} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi^a(\tau) = \varphi_H^a(\mathcal{Q})$

conformally flat geometry $AdS_2 \times S^2$ near the horizon

$$ds_{B-R}^2 = \frac{r^2}{M_{B-R}^2} dt^2 - \frac{M_{B-R}^2}{r^2} (dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega)$$

near the horizon, the scalar fields are **stabilized** purely in terms of **charges**

$$S = \frac{A_H}{4} = \pi V_{BH} |_{\partial_\varphi V_{BH}=0} = -\frac{\pi}{2} \mathcal{Q}^T \mathcal{M}_H \mathcal{Q}$$

Bekenstein-Hawking entropy-area formula for extremal dyonic BH

Example : Symmetric Scalar Manifolds

Let's specialize the discussion to theories with scalar manifolds which are **symmetric cosets G/H**

[**N>2** : general, **N=2** : particular, **N=1** : special cases]

H = isotropy group = *linearly* realized; scalar fields sit in an **H**-repr.

G = (global) electric-magnetic duality group
[in string theory : **U-duality**]

In general :

G is an *on-shell* symmetry of the Lagrangian

The 2-form field strengths (F,G) vector and the BH e.m. charges sit in a **G**-repr. **R** which is **symplectic** :

$$\exists! \mathbb{C}_{[MN]} \equiv \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R} \times_a \mathbb{R};$$

$$\langle Q_1, Q_2 \rangle \equiv Q_1^M Q_2^N \mathbb{C}_{MN} = - \langle Q_2, Q_1 \rangle$$

$$\mathbb{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & \mathbb{I}_n \\ -\mathbb{I}_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}$$

symplectic product

$$G \subset Sp(2n, \mathbb{R});$$

$$\mathbb{R} = 2n$$

Gaillard-Zumino embedding

(generally maximal, but not symmetric)

Dynkin, Gaillard-Zumino

❖ symmetric scalar manifolds of **N=2, D=4 sugra** [all but T³ model]

| all special Kaehler of projective type | $\frac{G_V}{H_V}$ | r | $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \equiv n_V$ |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| quadratic sequence $n \in \mathbb{N}$ | $\frac{SU(1,n)}{U(1) \otimes SU(n)}$ | 1 | n |
| $\mathbb{R} \oplus \Gamma_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ | $\frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)} \otimes \frac{SO(2,n)}{SO(2) \otimes SO(n)}$ | 2 ($n = 1$) 3 ($n \geq 2$) | $n + 1$ |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{O}}$ | $\frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_{6(-78)} \otimes U(1)}$ | 3 | 27 |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{H}}$ | $\frac{SO^*(12)}{U(6)}$ | 3 | 15 |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{C}}$ | $\frac{SU(3,3)}{S(U(3) \otimes U(3))} = \frac{SU(3,3)}{SU(3) \otimes SU(3) \otimes U(1)}$ | 3 | 9 |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{R}}$ | $\frac{Sp(6, \mathbb{R})}{U(3)}$ | 3 | 6 |

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -g_{i\bar{j}}g_{k\bar{l}} - g_{i\bar{l}}g_{k\bar{j}} + C_{ikm}\bar{C}_{jlp}g^{m\bar{p}}$$

symmetric scalar manifolds \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} (including symm. SKGs of N=2, D=4 sugra) :

The \mathbf{G} -representation space \mathbf{R} of the BH em charges gets **stratified**, under the action of \mathbf{G} , in **U-orbits** (*non-symmetric* cosets \mathbf{G}/\mathbb{H}). Ferrara, Gunaydin

\mathbb{H} is the **stabilizer** (isotropy) group of the **U-orbit** = symmetry of the charge configs., it relates equivalent BH charge configs

each **U-orbit** supports a class of crit. pts. of V_{BH} , corresponding to specific **SUSY-preserving properties** of the near-horizon geometry

When \mathbb{H} is **non-compact**, there is a residual compact symmetry linearly acting on scalars, such that the scalars belonging to the **“moduli space”** $\mathbb{H}/\text{mcs}(\mathbb{H})$ (symmetric **submanifold** of \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})

are **not** stabilized in terms of BH charges at the event horizon of the extremal BH

Ferrara, AM

The Attractor Mechanism is **inactive** on these **unstabilized** scalar fields, which are **flat directions** of V_{BH} at its critical points.

symmetric scalar manifolds G/H (cont'd) :

The **absence** of flat directions at **$N=2$ $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS attractors** can thus be explained by the fact that the stabilizer of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS orbit is **compact** : $\#=H/U(1)$, where H is the stabilizer of the scalar manifold G/H itself

The **massless Hessian modes**, ubiquitous at non-BPS crit pts of V_{BH} , are actually **all flat directions** of V_{BH} itself at the considered class of crit. pts.

BH Entropy is Independent on All Unstabilized Scalars

Thus, the **flat directions** of V_{BH} at its critical points span various “**moduli spaces**”, related to the solutions of the **Attractor Eqs.**

❖ “large” U-Orbits of symmetric N=2, D=4 sugras [all but T³ model]

| | $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}\text{-BPS}} = \frac{G}{H_0}$ | non-BPS, $Z \neq 0$ orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\text{non-BPS}, Z \neq 0} = \frac{G}{H}$ | non-BPS, $Z = 0$ orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\text{non-BPS}, Z=0} = \frac{G}{\tilde{H}}$ |
|--|---|--|--|
| Quadratic Sequence ($n = n_V \in \mathbb{N}$) | $\frac{SU(1,n)}{SU(n)}$ | — | $\frac{SU(1,n)}{SU(1,n-1)}$ |
| $\mathbb{R} \oplus \Gamma_n$ ($n = n_V - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$) | $\frac{SU(1,1) \otimes SO(2,n)}{SO(2) \otimes SO(n)}$ | $\frac{SU(1,1) \otimes SO(2,n)}{SO(1,1) \otimes SO(1,n-1)}$ | $\frac{SU(1,1) \otimes SO(2,n)}{SO(2) \otimes SO(2,n-2)}$ |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{O}}$ | $\frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_6}$ | $\frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_{6(-26)}}$ | $\frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_{6(-14)}}$ |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{H}}$ | $\frac{SO^*(12)}{SU(6)}$ | $\frac{SO^*(12)}{SU^*(6)}$ | $\frac{SO^*(12)}{SU(4,2)}$ |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{C}}$ | $\frac{SU(3,3)}{SU(3) \otimes SU(3)}$ | $\frac{SU(3,3)}{SL(3, \mathbb{C})}$ | $\frac{SU(3,3)}{SU(2,1) \otimes SU(1,2)}$ |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{R}}$ | $\frac{Sp(6, \mathbb{R})}{SU(3)}$ | $\frac{Sp(6, \mathbb{R})}{SL(3, \mathbb{R})}$ | $\frac{Sp(6, \mathbb{R})}{SU(2,1)}$ |

Bellucci,
Ferrara,
Gunaydin,
AM

in N=2 :

$$\{Q_\alpha^A, Q_\beta^B\} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} Z^{[AB]} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{AB} Z$$

❖ non-BPS $Z \neq 0$ attractor “*moduli spaces*” of symmetric **N=2, D=4** sugras

Ferrara, AM

$$\hat{h} = \text{mcs } \hat{H}$$

| | $\frac{\hat{H}}{h}$ | r | $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}$ |
|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------|
| $\mathbb{R} \oplus \Gamma_n$ ($n = n_V - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$) | $SO(1,1) \otimes \frac{SO(1,n-1)}{SO(n-1)}$ | $1(n=1)$ $2(n \geq 2)$ | n |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{O}}$ | $\frac{E_{6(-26)}}{F_{4(-52)}}$ | 2 | 6 |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{H}}$ | $\frac{SU^*(6)}{USp(6)}$ | 2 | 14 |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{C}}$ | $\frac{SL(3,\mathbb{C})}{SU(3)}$ | 2 | 8 |
| $J_3^{\mathbb{R}}$ | $\frac{SL(3,\mathbb{R})}{SO(3)}$ | 2 | 5 |

They are nothing but the *real special* scalar manifolds of symmetric **N=2, D=5** sugras

let's reconsider the starting **Maxwell-Einstein-scalar Lagrangian density**

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{R}{2} + \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(\varphi)\partial_{\mu}\varphi^i\partial^{\mu}\varphi^j + \frac{1}{4}I_{\Lambda\Sigma}(\varphi)F_{\mu\nu}^{\Lambda}F^{\Sigma|\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{-G}}R_{\Lambda\Sigma}(\varphi)\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^{\Lambda}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\Sigma}$$

...and introduce the following real $2n \times 2n$ matrix :

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & -R \\ 0 & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ -R & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I + RI^{-1}R & -RI^{-1} \\ -I^{-1}R & I^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(R, I) = \mathcal{M}(\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{N}), \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{N})).$$

$$\mathcal{M}^T = \mathcal{M} \quad \mathcal{M}\mathbb{C}\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = -(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T)^{-1} = -\mathbf{L}^{-T}\mathbf{L}^{-1},$$

\mathbf{L} = element of the **$\mathbf{Sp}(2n, \mathbf{R})$** -bundle over the scalar manifold
 (= *coset representative* for homogeneous spaces **\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}**)

...by virtue of this matrix, one can introduce a (scalar-dependent) **anti-involution** in *any* Maxwell-Einstein-scalar gravity theory with symplectic structure :

$$\mathfrak{F}(\varphi) := -\mathbb{C}\mathcal{M}(\varphi)$$

$$\mathfrak{F}^2(\varphi) = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{M}(\varphi)\mathbb{C}\mathcal{M}(\varphi) = \mathbb{C}^2 = -Id$$

Ferrara,AM,Yeranyan; Borsten,Duff, Ferrara,AM

This allows to define an **anti-involution** on the dyonic charge vector Q , named (scalar-dependent) **Freudenthal duality**

By recalling $V_{BH}(\varphi, Q) := -\frac{1}{2}Q^T \mathcal{M}(\varphi) Q,$

Freudenthal duality can be related to the **effective BH potential** :

$$\mathfrak{F} : Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(Q) := \mathbb{C} \frac{\partial V_{BH}}{\partial Q}.$$

All this enjoys a remarkable physical interpretation when evaluated **at the horizon** :

Attractor Mechanism $\partial_\varphi V_{BH} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi^a(\tau) = \varphi_H^a(Q)$

Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S = \frac{A_H}{4} = \pi V_{BH}|_{\partial_\varphi V_{BH}=0} = -\frac{\pi}{2} Q^T \mathcal{M}_H Q$

...by evaluating the matrix M at the horizon $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \mathcal{M}(\varphi(\tau)) = \mathcal{M}_H(Q)$

one can define the **horizon Freudenthal duality** as:

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \mathfrak{F}(Q) =: \mathfrak{F}_H(Q) = -\mathbb{C} \mathcal{M}_H Q = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbb{C} \frac{\partial S_{BH}}{\partial Q} =: \tilde{Q},$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_H^2(Q) = \mathfrak{F}_H(\tilde{Q}) = -Q$$

non-linear (scalar-independent) anti-involutive map on Q (hom of degree one)

Bekenstein – Hawking entropy is **invariant** under its **non-linear symplectic gradient** :

$$S(Q) = S(\mathfrak{F}_H(Q)) = S\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \mathbb{C} \frac{\partial S}{\partial Q}\right) = S(\tilde{Q})$$

This can be extended to include *at least* **all quantum corrections** with **homogeneity 2 or 0** in the BH charges Q

Ferrara, AM, Yeranyan
(and late Raymond Stora)

Lie groups of type $E_7 : (G, \mathbf{R})$

Brown (1967);
 Garibaldi; Krutelevich;
 Borsten, Duff *et al.*
 Ferrara, Kallosh, AM;
 AM, Orazi, Riccioni

❖ the (ir)repr. \mathbf{R} is **symplectic** :

$$\exists! \mathbb{C}_{[MN]} \equiv \mathbf{1} \in \mathbf{R} \times_a \mathbf{R}; \quad \langle Q_1, Q_2 \rangle \equiv Q_1^M Q_2^N \mathbb{C}_{MN} = -\langle Q_2, Q_1 \rangle;$$

symplectic product

❖ the (ir)repr. admits a unique completely symmetric **invariant rank-4** tensor

$$\exists! K_{MNPQ} = K_{(MNPQ)} \equiv \mathbf{1} \in [\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}]_s \quad (\text{K-tensor})$$

↓ G-invariant quartic polynomial

$$I_4 := K_{MNPQ} Q^M Q^N Q^P Q^Q =: \epsilon |I_4|, \quad \rightarrow \boxed{S_{BH} = \pi \sqrt{|I_4|}}$$

❖ defining a triple map in \mathbf{R} as

$$T: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \quad \langle T(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3), Q_4 \rangle \equiv K_{MNPQ} Q_1^M Q_2^N Q_3^P Q_4^Q$$

it holds $\langle T(Q_1, Q_1, Q_2), T(Q_2, Q_2, Q_2) \rangle = \langle Q_1, Q_2 \rangle K_{MNPQ} Q_1^M Q_2^N Q_2^P Q_2^Q$

this third property makes a **group of type E_7** amenable to be defined as the **automorphism group** of a **Freudenthal triple systems**

All electric-magnetic (**U**-)duality groups of D=4 sugras with **symmetric** scalar manifolds and *at least 8* supersymmetries are of type **E₇**

$N = 2$

| G | R |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| $U(1, n)$ | $(1 + n)$ |
| $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(2, n)$ | $(2, 2 + n)$ |
| $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ | 4 |
| $Sp(6, \mathbb{R})$ | $14'$ |
| $SU(3, 3)$ | 20 |
| $SO^*(12)$ | 32 |
| $E_{7(-25)}$ | 56 |

| N | G | R |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| 3 | $U(3, n)$ | $(3 + n)$ |
| 4 | $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(6, n)$ | $(2, 6 + n)$ |
| 5 | $SU(1, 5)$ | 20 |
| 8 | $E_{7(7)}$ | 56 |

(E₇, 912 – embedding tensor) satisfies the first two axioms, *but not the third one!*

“degenerate” groups of type E₇

$$I_4(p, q) = (I_2(p, q))^2$$

$$S_{BH} = \pi \sqrt{|I_4(p, q)|} = \pi |I_2(p, q)|.$$

In sugras with electric-magnetic duality group of type \mathbf{E}_7 , the \mathbf{G} -invariant **K-tensor** determining the extremal BH Bekenstein-Hawking entropy

$$S_{BH} = \pi \sqrt{|I_4|}$$

$$I_4 := K_{MNPQ} Q^M Q^N Q^P Q^Q =: \epsilon |I_4|,$$

can generally be expressed as adjoint-trace of the product of \mathbf{G} -generators (dim $\mathbf{R} = 2n$, and dim $\mathbf{Adj} = d$)

$$K_{MNPQ} = -\frac{n(2n+1)}{6d} \left[t_{MN}^\alpha t_{\alpha|PQ} - \frac{d}{n(2n+1)} \mathbb{C}_{M(P} \mathbb{C}_{Q)N} \right]$$

The **horizon Freudenthal duality** can be expressed in terms of the **K-tensor**

$$\mathfrak{F}_H(Q)_M = \tilde{Q}_M = \frac{\partial \sqrt{|I_4(Q)|}}{\partial Q^M} = \epsilon \frac{2}{\sqrt{|I_4(Q)|}} K_{MNPQ} Q^N Q^P Q^Q$$

Borsten, Dahanayake, Duff, Rubens

the **invariance** of the BH entropy under **horizon Freudenthal duality** reads as

$$I_4(Q) = I_4(\mathbb{C}\tilde{Q}) = I_4\left(\mathbb{C} \frac{\partial \sqrt{|I_4(Q)|}}{\partial Q}\right)$$

Metric structure on the U-orbits with non-vanishing I_4 :

$$M_{-|MN}^H = -\partial_M \partial_N \sqrt{|I_4(Q)|} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \partial_M \partial_N S_{BH}$$

Ferrara, AM Orazi, Trigiante

(opposite of the) **Hessian of the BH entropy**

$$(M_-^H(Q))^T \mathbb{C} M_-^H(Q) = \epsilon \mathbb{C} \quad \epsilon := I_4(Q) / |I_4(Q)|$$

$$(M_-^H(Q))^T = M_-^H(Q) \quad Q^T M_-^H(Q) Q = -2\sqrt{|I_4(Q)|}$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_H(M_-^H(Q)) = \epsilon M_-^H$$

This matrix is the (opposite of the) **pseudo-Riemannian metric** of a non-compact, real form of a **pre-homogeneous vector space (PVS)**, associated to a certain orbit of the electric-magnetic duality group

Example : “large” supersymmetric orbit in maximal supergravity

$$N = 8, D = 4 : \text{scalar manifold } \mathbf{M}_{N=8} = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}, \dim_{\mathbb{R}} = 70, \text{rank} = 7$$

$$I_4 > 0 : \frac{1}{8}\text{-BPS } E_{7(7)}\text{-orbit in } \mathbf{56} \text{ repr.space} : \mathcal{O}_{I_4 > 0} = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(2)}}$$

$$\text{(quaternionic) moduli space } \mathcal{M}_{I_4 > 0} = \frac{E_{6(2)}}{SU(6) \times SU(2)} \left(\subset \frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)} \right), \dim_{\mathbb{R}} = 40, \text{rank} = 4$$

$$M_-^H = -\partial^2 \sqrt{I_4} : \text{metric of } \mathcal{O}_{I_4 > 0} \times \mathbb{R}^+ = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(2)}} \times \mathbb{R}^+; (n_+, n_-) = (30, 26)$$

As mentioned, $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(2)}} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ is a non-compact, real form of $\frac{E_7}{E_6} \times GL(1)$

Regular **Pre-Homogeneous Vector Space (PVS)** of type (29) in the classification by Sato and Kimura ('77):

(29) $(GL(1) \times E_7, \square \otimes \Lambda_6, V(1) \otimes V(56)).$

(i) $H \sim E_6$, (ii) $\deg f = 4$, (iii) $f(X) = T(x^\#, y^\#) - \xi N(x) - \eta N(y) - \frac{1}{4}(T(x, y) - \xi\eta)^2$ (see (1.16), or Proposition 52 in § 5).

A **PVS** is a finite-dimensional vector space V together with a subgroup G of $GL(V)$ such that G has an **open, dense orbit** in V [Sato, Kimura; Knapp]

PVS are subdivided into two types, according to whether there exists a *homogeneous* polynomial f on V which is **invariant** under the semisimple part of G .

In this case: $V = 56$ (fundamental irrep. of $G=E_7$), $f = \text{quartic}$ invariant polynomial I_4
 $H =$ isotropy (stabilizer) group = E_6

Manifestly E_6 -invariant expression of the quartic invariant I_4 of the 56 of E_7 :
much before ('77 = almost contemporary to sugra) the expression introduced by Ferrara, Gunaydin ('97)!

$$I_4(p^0, p^i, q_0, q_i) = -(p^0 q_0 + p^i q_i)^2 + 4 \left[q_0 I_3(p) - p^0 I_3(q) + \left\{ \frac{\partial I_3(p)}{\partial p}, \frac{\partial I_3(q)}{\partial q} \right\} \right]$$

Simple groups. “of type E_7 ” of sugra almost saturate list of irr. PVS with invariant deg 4

| G | V | n | Isotropy algebra | Degree | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------|---|--------|---------------------------------|
| $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ | $S^3 \mathbb{C}^2$ | 0 | | 4 | N=2, T ³ model |
| $SL(6, \mathbb{C})$ | $\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^6$ | 1 | $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ | 4 | N=2 magic on \mathbb{R} |
| $SL(7, \mathbb{C})$ | $\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^7$ | 1 | $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ | 7 | |
| $SL(8, \mathbb{C})$ | $\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^8$ | 1 | $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ | 16 | |
| $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ | $S^2 \mathbb{C}^3$ | 2 | 0 | 6 | |
| $SL(5, \mathbb{C})$ | $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^3$ | 3,4 | $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}), 0$ | 5,10 | |
| $SL(6, \mathbb{C})$ | $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^3$ | 2 | $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ | 6 | |
| $SL(3, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ | 2 | $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})$ | 6 | |
| $Sp(6, \mathbb{C})$ | $\Lambda_0^3 \mathbb{C}^6$ | 1 | $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ | 4 | N=2 magic on \mathbb{C} |
| $Spin(7, \mathbb{C})$ | \mathbb{C}^8 | 1,2,3 | $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{so}(2, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C})$ | 2,2,2 | |
| $Spin(9, \mathbb{C})$ | \mathbb{C}^{16} | 1 | $\mathfrak{spin}(7, \mathbb{C})$ | 2 | |
| $Spin(10, \mathbb{C})$ | \mathbb{C}^{16} | 2,3 | $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C})$ | 2,4 | 3-ctr. Inv. of N=0 MESGT |
| $Spin(11, \mathbb{C})$ | \mathbb{C}^{32} | 1 | $\mathfrak{sl}(5, \mathbb{C})$ | 4 | ? |
| $Spin(12, \mathbb{C})$ | \mathbb{C}^{32} | 1 | $\mathfrak{sl}(6, \mathbb{C})$ | 4 | N=2 magic on \mathbb{H} , N=6 |
| $Spin(14, \mathbb{C})$ | \mathbb{C}^{64} | 1 | $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ | 8 | |
| $G_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ | \mathbb{C}^7 | 1,2 | $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ | 2,2 | |
| $E_6^{\mathbb{C}}$ | \mathbb{C}^{27} | 1,2 | $\mathfrak{f}_4^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{so}(8, \mathbb{C})$ | 3,6 | |
| $E_7^{\mathbb{C}}$ | \mathbb{C}^{56} | 1 | $\mathfrak{e}_6^{\mathbb{C}}$ | 4 | N=2 magic on \mathbb{O} , N=8 |

In sugra, n can be associated to the # of centers of the **multi-centered BH**

Here we only consider *irreducible PVS*, with **G** simple and **complex** Lie group

→ Classification of groups “of type E_7 ” ? *in progress....*

Some advances in rather recent papers,
e.g. [Garibaldi, Guralnick]

| G | V | $\dim V$ | $\text{char } k$ | G | V | $\dim V$ | $\text{char } k$ |
|-------|--------------|----------|------------------|-------|--|----------|------------------|
| B_n | λ_1 | $2n + 1$ | $\neq 2$ | A_1 | $\lambda_1 + p^i \lambda_1 \ (i \geq 1)$ | 4 | $= p \neq 0$ |
| D_n | λ_1 | $2n$ | all | A_2 | $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ | 7 | 3 |
| A_1 | $2\lambda_1$ | 3 | $\neq 2$ | A_3 | λ_2 | 6 | all |
| A_5 | λ_3 | 20 | 2 | B_4 | λ_4 | 16 | all |
| B_3 | λ_3 | 8 | all | B_5 | λ_5 | 32 | 2 ? |
| C_3 | λ_3 | 8 | 2 | C_3 | λ_2 | 13 | 3 |
| D_6 | half-spin | 32 | 2 | G_2 | λ_1 | 7 | $\neq 2$ |
| E_7 | λ_7 | 56 | 2 | F_4 | λ_4 | 25 | 3 |

$p=2$: T^3 model

known simple Lie groups “of type E_7 ” occurring in **D=4 (super)gravity theories**

Some Hints for the Future...

- ❖ **Freudenthal Duality for non-symmetric PSK manifolds**
[deWit, Van Proeyen; Alekseevsky, Cortes, ...]
and relation to **T-Algebras** [Vinberg, Cecotti]
- ❖ **D=5 : Jordan Duality for black holes and black strings,** Borsten, Duff *et al.*
groups of type E_6 , PVS , and D=5 Maxwell-Einstein (super)gravity
- ❖ **Freudenthal duality** for intrinsically quantum black holes
(«small» orbits)
- ❖ extension to **Multi-Centered (extremal) BH solutions:**
some progress [Yeranyan; Ferrara,AM,Shcherbakov,Yeranyan]
- ❖ into the ***quantum regime*** of gravity [**U-duality over *discrete* fields**]:
Freudenthal Duality for integer, quantized charges ?
Borsten, Duff *et al.*,
- ❖ ...what is the «***square root***» of Freudenthal duality?
[related to establishing the «***square root***» of the attractor mechanism...]

The background features a complex, abstract pattern of glowing light trails. A prominent, bright yellow trail curves from the left side towards the center, then extends horizontally across the middle. Other trails in shades of blue and purple swirl and loop around the yellow one, creating a sense of dynamic movement and energy. The overall effect is reminiscent of a long-exposure photograph of light or a digital visualization of data flow.

Thank You!