FCC-he Update on SM Higgs Studies

Uta Klein on behalf of the LHeC/FCC-eh Higgs Group



2nd FCC Physics Week 2018, CERN, January 16th, 2018

SM Higgs Production in ep



Total cross section [fb]

(LO QCD CTEQ6L1 M_H=125 GeV)

c.m.s. energy	1.3 TeV LHeC	3.5 TeV FCC-he
CC DIS NC DIS	109 21	560 127
P=-80% CC DIS NC DIS	196 25	1008 148

•Scale dependencies of the LO calculations are in the range of 5-10%.

• NLO QCD corrections are small, but shape distortions of kinematic distributions up to 20%. QED corrections up to -5%.

[J. Blumlein, G.J. van Oldenborgh , R. Ruckl, Nucl.Phys.B395:35-59,1993][B.Jager, arXiv:1001.3789]

VBF Higgs Production in ep (top)





ep: Higgs production in ep comes uniquely from either CC or NC DIS via VBF

Clean bb final state, S/B >1 e-h Cross Calibration for Precision ep Clean, precise reconstruction and easy distinction of ZZH and WWH without pile-up:

<0.1@LHeC up to 1@FCCeh events

VBF: Small theoretical uncertainties!

pp: Higgs production in pp comes predominantly from $gg \rightarrow H$: high rates crucial for rare decays LHC VBF cross section about 200 fb (about as large as at the LHeC).

Pile-up in pp at 5 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ is 150@25ns FCC-hh: pile-up 500-1000 S/B very small for bb Final Precision in pp needs accurate N³LO PDFs & α_{c}



Uta & Max Klein, gHZZ in NC DIS

Kinematics and M_H : ee vs pe



Some ILC Results



4-jet channel:
Signal eff.~67%
and sample purity 4%
\rightarrow pre-selection
required trained NN

			https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/9912041v1
Process	$\epsilon~(\%)$	$\sigma_{\rm eff}$ (fb)	see also II C reference design report ·
$ZH \rightarrow q\bar{q}H$	67.27	31.08	
$ZH \to \ell^+ \ell^- H$	1.48	0.10	https://arxiv.org/pdf/0709.1893.pdf
$q\bar{q}$ (5 flavors)	6.76	290.96	The second se
$tar{t}$	4.26	24.79	
W^+W^-	5.00	391.07	SMALL signal cross sections
ZZ	12.30	70.11	similar like in ep!
Total Bckg		747.03	

Table 2: Hadronic channel preselection efficiencies and effective cross-sections.

$$ZH o \ell^+ \ell^- H, \ \ell = e, \mu : \quad (\Delta M_H)_{stat} \simeq 160 \text{ MeV}, \quad \left(\frac{\Delta g_{ZZH}}{g_{ZZH}}\right)_{stat} \simeq 3.8\%,$$

hadronic: $ZH o q\bar{q}H : \quad (\Delta M_H)_{stat} \simeq 50 \text{ MeV}, \quad \left(\frac{\Delta g_{ZZH}}{g_{ZZH}}\right)_{stat} \simeq 0.7\%,$

assuming $\int \mathcal{L}dt = 500 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity.

IMPORTANT LESSONs:

It was found very important to use sophisticated tools like various Neural Networks and kinematic fitting of the Higgs mass;

And: it is crucial to reach high luminosity and excellent detector performance!

→ certainly very interesting to follow up for pe as well, but obviously non-trivial

Branching for invisible Higgs Update of values given in case of 2 σ and L=1 ab⁻¹

Satoshi Kawaguchi, Masahiro Kuze Tokyo Tech



- Uses ZZH fusion process to estimate prospects of Higgs to invisible decay using standard cut/BDT analysis techniques
- Results for full MG5+Delphes analyses look very encouraging for a measurement of the branching of Higgs to invisible in ep down to 1.7% to 1.2% for 1 to 2 ab⁻¹
- ✓ We also checked LHeC ← → FCC-he scaling with the corresponding cross sections (* results in table) : Downscaling FCC-he simulation results to LHeC would give 4.5%, while up-scaling of LHeC simulation to FCC-he would result in 2.1% → all well within uncertainties of projections of ~25%
- employ further synergies within LHC community and HL-LHC&FCC study group
 Jurther detector and analysis details have certainly an impact on results

LHeC@HL-LHC: Higgs rates @ 1 ab⁻¹

Baseline: For first time a realistic option of an 1 ab^{-1} ep collider (stronger esource, stronger focussing magnets) and excellent performance of LHC (higher brightness of proton beam) \rightarrow full MG5 + Pythia + Delphes feasibility studies

➔ used for extrapolations to FCC-he

_							ottimat
	√s= 1.3 TeV	LHeC Higgs		$CC(e^-p)$	NC (e^-p)	$\operatorname{CC}(e^+p)$	e-beam
_		Polarisation		-0.8	-0.8	0	and LHC
		Luminosity [$[ab^{-1}]$	1	1	0.1	beams,
		Cross Section	n [fb]	196	25	58	of opera
		Decay Br	Fraction	$\mathcal{N}_{CC}^{H} e^{-} p$	$N_{NC}^H e^- p$	$\mathcal{N}_{CC}^{H} e^{+} p$	
		$H \to b\overline{b}$	0.577	113 100	13 900	$3 \ 350$	→ Deca
		$H \to c\overline{c}$	0.029	5 700	700	170	dom
		$H \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	0.063	$12 \ 350$	1 600	370	deca
		$H \to \mu \mu$	0.00022	50	5	—	58 %
	nn, porfoct	$H \to 4l$	0.00013	30	3	—	
	<i>pp:</i> perfect	$H \rightarrow 2l 2 \nu$	0.0106	$2\ 080$	250	60	Higgs c
	Higgs	$H \to gg$	0.086	16 850	2050	500	charm
	factory for	$H \rightarrow WW$	0.215	42 100	5150	$1 \ 250$	
	gluon-	$H \to ZZ$	0.0264	$5\ 200$	600	150	
	induced	$H \to \gamma \gamma$	0.00228	450	60	15	than H
	rare decays	$H \to Z\gamma$	0.00154	300	40	10	

Ultimate polarised e-beam of <u>60 GeV</u> and LHC 7 TeV pbeams, 10 years of operation

➔ Decay to bb is dominating decay mode : 58%

Higgs decay to charm is factor 20 less likely than Hbb

BDT:U Klein; Cut-based: M Kuze, M Tanaka

Dijet Mass Candidates HFL untagged



'Worst' case scenario plot : Photoproduction background (PHP) is assumed to be 100%! → However, addition of small angle electron taggers will reduce PHP to ~1-2%



- → Realistic and conservative HFL tagging within Delphes realised, and dependence on vertex resolution (nominal 10 µm) and anti-kt jet radius studied
- → Light jet rejection very conservative, i.e. factor 10 worse than ATLAS
- → used in full LHeC analysis and for FCC-eh extrapolations

HFL Tagging

Uta Klein & Daniel Hampson



Light Jet Efficiency 10

BDT Results for Higgs @ LHeC

Uta Klein & **Daniel Hampson**

Signal Events Hbb

Hbb : Clear sensitivity to chosen jet radius; rather robust w.r.t. vertex resolution in range of 5 to 20 µm

700 Siganl

600

500

400

300

200

100





LHC: First 3_o Hbb Evidence!

ATLAS, Aug 2017, sub. to JHEP

- https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.03299
- use Higgs→bb in associated production with a W or Z boson
- explore various final states (e.g. $Z \rightarrow vv$, $W \rightarrow |v, Z \rightarrow ||$ categories)
- Run-I and II combined, S/B-weighted categories : μ=0.9±0.28(stat+syst)





- ✓ Encouraging result for HL-LHC prospects
- ✓ Very encouraging for prospects in ep that we can handle S/B ~10⁻³ processes with sophisticated analysis techniques

Hbb expectation @ LHeC for 36 fb⁻¹ (½ year data): δμ~7-8% with significance of ~14

SM Higgs into HFL Summary

- Assume a 60 GeV polarized electron beam and 1000 fb⁻¹ (~10 years running)
- Expected number of signal events and error of coupling constant from BDT results.
- Background assumed to be known to ~2%



LHeC Precision Partons for Higgs@pp

→ <u>Using LHeC input</u>: experimental uncertainty of predicted LHC Higgs

cross section due to PDFs and α_s is strongly **reduced to <~0.5%**

- → theoretically clean path to determine N³LO PDFs using ep DIS
- \rightarrow ALL those 'benefits' for pp within the first few years, using ~100 fb⁻¹ ep data



NNLO pp—Higgs Cross Sections at 14 TeV

→ precision from LHeC can add a very significant constraint on the Higgs mass and challenge Lattice QCD calculations for α_s :



Higgs Couplings at pp + ep After HL-LHC and LHeC running in parallel for 10 years



Uncertainty on pp Higgs cross section

Giulia Zanderighi, Vietnam 9/16, from C.Anastasiou et al, 1602.00695 who also discuss the ABM alpha_s..



Already with the first ~100 fb⁻¹

- → use ep as the 'near' detector for pp to beat the α_s and PDF uncertainties from ~3% to <~0.5%,
 → δm_b to 10 MeV;
 - δm_{charm} to 3 MeV

Uta & Max Klein, Contribution to FCC Workshop, 16.1.2018, preliminary

New: Estimates of Higgs Prospects

- Use LO Higgs cross sections σ_H for M_H=125 GeV, in [fb], and branching fractions BR(H→XX from Higgs Cross Section Handbook (c.f. appendix)
- Apply further branching, BR(X→FS) in case e.g. of W→ 2 jets and use acceptance, Acc, estimates based on MG5, for further decay
- Use reconstruction efficiencies, ε, achieved at LHC Run-1, see e.g. prospect calculations explored in arXiV:1511.05170
- Use fully simulated LHeC Hbb and Hcc results as baseline for S/B ranges
- Use fully simulated Higgs to invisible for 3 ep c.m.s. scenarios as guidance for extrapolation uncertainty (~25%)
- Estimate HIggs events per decay channel for certain Luminosity in [fb⁻¹]

$$N = \sigma_{_H} \bullet BR(H \to XX) \bullet BR(X \to FS) \bullet L$$

• Calculate uncertainties of signal strengths w.r.t. SM expectation

$$\frac{\delta\mu}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \bullet f$$
 with $f = \sqrt{\frac{1+1/(S/B)}{Acc \bullet \varepsilon}}$

 μ = -

CC DIS WWH \rightarrow H

FCC-he L=2 ab⁻¹

	bb	ww	gg	ττ	СС	ZZ	γγ
BR	0.577	0.215	0.086	0.0632	0.0291	0.0264	0.00228
$\delta \text{BR}_{\text{theory}}$	3.2%	4.2%	10.1%	5.7%	12.2%	4.2%	5.0%
Ν	1.15 10 ⁶	4.3 10 ⁵	1.72 10 ⁵	1.26 10 ⁵	5.8 10 ⁴	5.2 10 ⁴	4600
f	2.86 _{BDT}	16	7.4	5.9	5.6 _{BDT}	8.9	3.23
δμ/μ [%]	0.27	2.45	1.78	1.65	2.36	3.94	3.23
$\delta \kappa = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta \mu}{\mu}$	0.14	0.61*	0.89	0.83	1.18	1.97	2.37



→ Sum of first 6 branching fractions that could be measured
 LHeC : 0.9964 +- 0.02
 FCChe: 0.9964 +- 0.01
 pp: < 0.99 → cc? gg?

Further coupling constraints to be explored: $\sigma(WW \to H \to WW) \propto \kappa^{4}(HWW)$ $\sigma(WW \to H \to bb) \propto \kappa^{2}(HWW) \cdot \kappa^{2}(Hbb)$ $\sigma(WW \to H \to \tau\tau) \propto \kappa^{2}(HWW) \cdot \kappa^{2}(H\tau\tau)$ $\sigma(WW \to H \to gg) \propto \kappa^{2}(HWW) \cdot \kappa^{2}(Hgg)$ $\sigma(WW \to H \to cc) \propto \kappa^{2}(HWW) \cdot \kappa^{2}(Hcc)$ $\sigma(WW \to H \to ZZ) \propto \kappa^{2}(HWW) \cdot \kappa^{2}(HZZ)$ Note: $\sigma(ZZ \to H \to WW) \propto \kappa^{2}(HZZ) \cdot \kappa^{2}(HWW)_{18}$ Uta & Max Klein, Contribution to FCC Workshop, 16.1.2018, preliminary

Higgs SM Coupling Prospects: pe+pp



HL LHC: ATLAS-PUB-2014-016 14 TeV $3ab^{-1}$ – LHC has no gg, no cc, and poor bb, but rare channels as $\gamma\gamma$ **LHeC**: $1ab^{-1}$, 60 GeV x 7 TeV - Work in progress. ep also provides precise: xg, α_s and PDFs to N³LO.. **LHC (ep+pp)**: HL LHC with reduced theory uncertainty combined with LHeC –**running in parallel FCCeh**: $2ab^{-1}$, 60 GeV x 50 TeV - Work in progress. ep also provides precise: xg, α_s and PDFs to N³LO..

Improvements: ATLAS 2014 conservative, no CMS. ep (LHeC/FCCeh) are overconstrained: CC+NC, ratios, sum(br)=1.. \rightarrow joint coupling determination: especially WW and ZZ should improve

Please take home:

- We just got a first glance on the exciting combined ep+pp Higgs potential to constrain the sum of most important SM Higgs branching fractions to 1+-1%, i.e. with a precision of the dominant couplings to sub-percent level.
- An ep collider would complement the most powerful pp machines by providing invaluable high precision proton structure data required for high precision PDF, α_s and N³LO.
- <u>For the FCC CDR :</u> Quantify in a consistent way the joint Higgs coupling measurement potential

→ fix the assumptions and benchmark, e.g. add also ttH and HH

 \rightarrow pp: use ep PDFs and α_s to estimate error reduction

→ combined analysis of pp and ep cross sections to constrain SM (and BSM) Higgs scenario's and to design the <u>most powerful and</u> <u>sustainable</u> search complex at the energy frontier.

Additional Sources & Thanks to

- Much more material can be found here: LHeC and FCC-eh Workshop, September 2017, CERN <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/639067/</u>
- The LHeC/FCC-eh study group, <u>http://cern.ch/lhec</u>.
- "On the Relation of the LHeC and the LHC" [arXiv:1211.5102]
- 1st FCC Physics Workshop, 16.1.-20.1.2017, CERN <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/550509/</u>
- Higgs branching fractions and uncertainties taken from : <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/</u> <u>CERNYellowReportPageBR2014</u>

Special thanks to my colleagues in the LHeC/FCC-eh Higgs group, the project leader Max Klein, our detector expert Peter Kostka, and our biweekly Higgs-top working group discussions.

Additional material

Analysis Framework

Event generation

- SM or BSM production
- CC & NC DIS background
- by MadGraph5/MadEvent



- Hadronization
 - by PYTHIA (modified for ep)

Fast detector simulation by Delphes

 \rightarrow test of FCCeh detector

S/B analysis \rightarrow cuts or BDT

- Calculate cross section with tree-level Feynman diagrams (any UFO) using <u>pT of scattered quark</u> <u>as scale (CDR ŝ)</u> for ep processes with MadGraph5
- Standard HERA tools can NOT to be used !
- Higgs mass 125 GeV as default
- Fragmentation & hadronisation uses epcustomised Pythia.
- Delphes 'detector' → displaced vertices and signed impact parameter distributions → studied for LHeC, and used for FCC-eh SM Higgs extrapolations
- powerful method to optimise detector tuning and S/N for various Higgs, top and BSM decays
- Ongoing : Integration of FCCeh into FCC simulation framework

SM Higgs Decay into b-quarks

• Typical background processes



Invisible Higgs@LHeC relating the Higgs and the 'dark' sectors

HL-LHC @ 3 ab⁻¹ [arXiv:1411. 7699] Br $(h \rightarrow \not\!\!\!\!E_T)$ < 3.5% @95% C.L., MVA based For LHeC, assume : 1ab⁻¹, P_e=-0.9, <u>cut based</u> Br $(h \rightarrow \not\!\!\!E_T)$ < 6% @ 95 % C.L.

 $\xrightarrow{} \mathcal{L}_T) \xrightarrow{} \mathcal{C}_{\text{MET}} = \kappa_Z^2 \times \text{Br}(h \to \not\!\!E_T)$







- ➔ potential much enhanced for FCC-eh @ 3.5 TeV and HE-LHC-eh @ 1.8 TeV
- NEW studies performed on Delphes detectorlevel using our Madevent framework