

TPC field cage design and prototype - status report

Overview

- 1. TPC design concepts
- 2. **Prototype** for testing issues of the building process



IFAE: T.Lux, J.Mundet, C.J.Valls INFN Bari: L.Magaletti, E.Radicioni INFN Legnaro Labs: F.Gamegna, T.Marchi INFN Padova: G.Cogo, <u>G.Collazuol</u>, A.Longhin, A.Pepato, M.Romanato

1 - TPC design concepts – Concept and specs

Basic design idea is to build the new thin wall TPCs by piling up boxes made of composite material walls





Walls composite structure to define active gas volume and to provide mechanical support to electrodes. Main specs:

- \rightarrow gas tightness, for keeping O₂ level below O(1ppm)
- \rightarrow electric insulation against breakdown with cathode V max of O(40kV)
- → internal surfaces (E field shaping strips) flatness better than $O(100\mu m)$ considering O(5mbar) overpressure (and gravity)
- → alignment of strips plane (x-y) || end-plates || cathode better than $O(100\mu m)$

1 - TPC design concepts – Box structure

- Rectangular TPC of 200 (B field / drift dir.) x 180 (beam dir.) x 85 (height) cm³
- Aiming at thin wall (~3cm) made of low Z composite material (few % X_0)

Material	Thickss (mm)
Copper coated polymide film	~ 0.15
Carbon Fiber	1.20
Aramide HoneyComb panel	15.00
Carbon Fiber	1.20
Aramide HoneyComb panel (insulation)	10.00
Polymide film (insulation)	~ 0.10
Strips (double later) on Kapton foil	~ 0.15
TOTAL RADIATION LENGHT ~ 2% X ₀	~27.5

Carbon Fiber based layer stack

Material	Thickss (mm)
Copper coated polymide film	~ 0.15
Aramid Fiber Fabric (Kevlar)	4.00
Aramide HoneyComb panel	15.00
Aramid Fiber Fabric (Kevlar)	4.00
Polymide film (insulation)	~ 0.10
Strips (double later) on Kapton foil	~ 0.15
TOTAL RADIATION LENGHT ~ 4% X ₀	~23.5

Aramide Fiber fabric based layer stack

Note: aiming at a thin and low Z composite wall:

- peels symmetry about Honeycomb (HC) core to minimize deformations (overpressure 5mbar)
- Carbon Fiber (CF) provides best stability, simulations tells: max deform. < $O(150 \mu m)$
 - CF is low Z material (radiation length ~20cm)
 - CF has low resistivity \rightarrow additional electric insulaiton required

(*) mechanics simulation by J.Mundet

- Aramid Fiber (or Kevlar) (AF) allows much simplified stack:
 - AF has larger rad. length (~ 28cm)
 - AF provides very good insulation and stability: simulation tells deformation < $O(150 \mu m)$

1 – Box wall cross section - example

Option $1 \rightarrow$ **full aramide** wall structure



M.Romanato – G.Cogo (INFN-PD)

1 – Box wall cross section - example

Option 2 \rightarrow carbon fiber based wall structure



1 – Box wall cross section – impact on physics

César Jesús Valls (IFAE)



ND280-Upgrade TPC-FC Simulation Status

Objectives:

Three possible Models for the TPC FC: Nexus, GF, Solid.

I worked on a Geant4 simulation to study:

- The relative momentum loss.
- The angle spreading.

Simulation overview:

The simulation is made using different logical volumes (one per layer). Each volume has a precise thickness, and material.

To simulate particles I used Particle Gun (Either Muon or Electron). Energy for the Particle Gun in the range of 0.2 - 2.0 GeV in steps of 0.2 GeV. The angle of the Particle Gun has been tuned in from 0° to 75° in steps of 15°. (0° is \perp).

1 - TPC design concepts – Simulation work

Thanks to simulation work by Lorenzo (COMSOL)



Contour: Electric potential (V) Surface: abs(es,Ex) (V)cm)

strip geometry defined

1 - TPC design concepts – Strip design



Width: 3 mm

- double sided
- mirror strips
- all resistors on inner side
- cut marks all 5 cm on inner side
- cross marks for alignment on mirror strip
- foil dimensions currently: ~55x220 cm

ILC TPC Design



Figure 5.13: Resistor chain in the field cage at the central connection between the half bounds

1 - TPC design concepts – Strip design



1 - TPC design concepts - Overview

Rectangular TPC assembled either in 2 or 4 boxes:

- 2 boxes (100cm in height) solution apparently simpler than 4 (50 cm) but...
- \rightarrow composite material process for boxes 50cm in height might be simpler
- \rightarrow cost estimations will play a role in choice of the final concept design





 \rightarrow prototype useful for testing box composite material building procedure

1 - ... details about how to build a box



Various layers to be wrapped around the mold one by one

- the following layers should be continuos
 - foils w/ strips → minimizing strip junctions
 - kapton foils \rightarrow better insulation but ok w/ joints
 - structural peels (carbon-fiber or kevlar) \rightarrow mechanical properties
- discontinuos layers
 - panels (HC)
 - corners (PEEK) + bars at edges (PEEK)

1 - ... details about the mandrel/mold



Mandrel concept and design \rightarrow M.Romanato and G.Cogo (INFN-PD) (technical design – work in progress \rightarrow end by mid May)

1 - ... details about how to build a box



2) use of 3M AF163 type adhesive film \rightarrow layers where no glue is possible/needed

3) use of prepreg Carbon Fiber or Aramid (Kevlar) layers

1 - ... details about how to build a box



1 - TPC design concepts



mechanical stiffness gas tightness electrical insulation

5 junctions at box edges...

Mechanical point of view

- junctions B1-B2 and B3-B4
- Mechanical stiffness given by proper joints on the corner structures (made by keramic material – Peek)
 → not critical

Gas tightness point of view

- junctions B1-B2, B2-CP-B3 and B3-B4 externally sealed by gluing a kapton band wrapped all around
- \rightarrow must be tested (but not expected to be critical)

(C) Electrical point of view

box edges should be "sealed" by dielectric material

against dangerous current paths to conductive layers (in case of Carbon Fiber option)

Note: presence of internal Carbon Fiber layer might be critical (should think to set its potential at which level)

1 - TPC design concepts



mechanical stiffness gas tightness electrical insulation

5 junctions at box edges...

Mechanical point of view

- junctions B1-B2 and B3-B4
- Mechanical stiffness given by proper joints on the corner structures (made by keramic material – Peek)
 → not critical

Note: inserts here for alignment Indeed due to solution below the edges will not be machinable \rightarrow we should rely only on corner structures for alignment

Note: corner structure to be easility machined \rightarrow Peek

bores for [/] joining boxes

Joining 2 boxes – by the angular structures



access to screw with tool from below

Joining 2 boxes – by the angular structures



Note, BTW: no relevant problem in joining End-Plate Al frame to Field-Cage edge/corner Peek parts

MODULE FRAME (ALUMINIUM) / TPC BOX FLANGE (PEEK) - Thermal loads

TPC BOX FLANGE (PEEK) MODULE FRAME (Aluminium) BOLT M5 (Stainless Steel)

∆tmax = 5ºC

∆Tmin = -5ºC

DEFORMATION DUE TO THERMAL LOAD

		Module Frame	Frame	Assembly	Screw	Difference	
Material		AI7075-T6	PEEK	-	SS	-	
Coefficient thermal expansion	CTE [1/K]	2,14E-05	4,68E-05	-	1,80E-05	-	
Length	L [mm]	15	15	30	30	-	
Deformation due to $\Delta Tmax$	xmax [mm]	-0,0016	-0,0035	-0,0051	-0,0027	0,0024	INCREASE
Deformation due to ∆Tmin	xmin [mm]	0,0016	0,0035	0,0051	0,0027	-0,0024	DECREASE

LOAD DUE TO THERMAL LOAD

Screw Young's Modulus	E [Mpa]	200000
Screw Equivalent section	As [mm2]	14,18
Screw Length	L [mm]	30
Screw Rigidity	k [N/mm]	94552
Deformation due to ATmax	xmax [mm]	0,0024
Deformation due to ATmin	xmin [mm]	-0,0024
Force in the screw due to ΔTmax	Pdtmax [N]	228
Force in the screw due to ∆Tmin	Pdtmin [N]	-228

- Preload on M5 = 5000 N
- Increase of 4% preload (OK)
- Loss of 4% preload (OK)

Calculations by Juli; OK also by Adriano

1 - TPC design concepts



mechanical stiffness gas tightness electrical insulation

5 junctions at box edges...

Gas tightness point of view

- junctions B1-B2, B2-CP-B3 and B3-B4 externally sealed by gluing a kapton band wrapped all around
- \rightarrow must be tested (but not expected to be critical)



mechanical stiffness gas tightness electrical insulation

5 junctions at box edges...

(C) Electrical point of view

 box edges should be "sealed" by dielectric material against dangerous current paths to conductive layers

Note: presence of internal Carbon Fiber layer might be critical (should think to set its potential at which level)

Dielectric and gas "sealing" at Box edges

Option $1 \rightarrow$ **full aramide** wall structure



\rightarrow will be proved with mockup structure

Dielectric and gas "sealing" at Box edges

Option 2 \rightarrow **carbon fiber** based wall structure



Dielectric and gas sealing at box edges – CF problems

Carbon fiber seems to add more complications due enhanced probability of breakdown

Electrical point of view



This wall structure might require additional insulation (by Kapton later folded outward)

Dielectric and gas sealing at box edges – CF potential



Note: presence of internal Carbon Fiber layer might be critical (should think to set its potential at which level)

2. Prototype and tests



Composite samples to be produced at 2 facilities:

NEXUS company (Barcelona)
CERN composite matrerial lab

TPC concept design tests by buiding the prototype

- 1. building the box
- \rightarrow 100cm in height (baseline)
- 2. mechanical properties of walls
- \rightarrow deformation
- \rightarrow planarity of internal wall plane
- \rightarrow bubbles affecting internal wall side
- \rightarrow building box edges
- 3. electrical breakdown at edges
- \rightarrow edge termination structure
- 4. gas tightness solution (O_2)
- \rightarrow gas sealing by kapton band gluing (in vacuum w/ mass spectrometer)

2. Prototype Tentative Time schedule

Test structures

- test structures at CERN: by end of May

- \rightarrow mechanical and electrical tests (Padova) by end of June
- \rightarrow gas sealing and outgassing test (Padova) be end of June
- test structures by NEXUS: by mid May

Prototype:

- mandrel design (INFN Padova): by mid May
- mandrel building (INFN Bari): by mid July
- mandrel at NEXUES (Barcellona): by end July
- building of the boxes: still to be agreed with NEXUS
- ... dead line for prototype assembly at CERN \rightarrow late October ?

Conclusions

- Design of the new thin TPCs by exploiting composite material techniques
- Two main options for composite structure under evaluation: Carbon Fiber vs Full Aramid
- Building by Boxes approach: box length (drift direction) under evaluation
- Electric field: details at the Anode, Cathode corners under study
- Mechanical and Electrical breakdown tests: samples in preparation
- Prototype not ready for August TB, but plans are still to have it ready for tests with particles at CERN in Fall 2018

Additional material

1 - TPC design concepts – Box structure

- Rectangular TPC of 200 (B field / drift dir.) x 180 (beam dir.) x 85 (height) cm³
- Aiming at thin wall (~3cm) made of low Z composite material (few % X₀)

Material	Thickss (mm)
Copper coated polymide film	~ 0.15
Carbon Fiber	1.20
Aramide HoneyComb panel	15.00
Carbon Fiber	1.20
Aramide HoneyComb panel (insulation)	10.00
Polymide film (insulation)	~ 0.10
Strips (double later) on Kapton foil	~ 0.15
TOTAL RADIATION LENGHT ~ 4,0 % X ₀	~27.5

Carbon Fiber based layer stack

Glass Fiber based layer stack

Material	Thickss (mm)
Copper coated polymide film	~ 0.15
Glass Fiber	0.30
Aramide HoneyComb panel	20.00
Glass Fiber	0.30
Polymide film (insulation)	~ 0.10
Strips (double later) on Kapton foil	~ 0.15
TOTAL RADIATION LENGHT ~ 6.5 % X ₀	~21.5

Note: aiming at a thin and low Z composite wall:

- peels symmetry about Honeycomb (HC) core to minimize deformations (overpressure 5mbar)
- Carbon Fiber (CF) provides best stability, simulations (*) tells: baseline structure max deform. < $O(150 \mu m)$
- CF is low Z material (radiation length ~20cm)
- CF has low resistivity \rightarrow additional electric insulaiton required
- Fiber Glass (GF) allows much simplied stack;
- GF has lower rad. length (~ 13cm)
- GF provides worser stability: simulation tells deformation < O(400μm)

(*) mechanics simulation by J.Mundet

Possible procedure for

- bulding the boxes in 3 phases and
- assembling them

Phase I – preparing the inner layer on a flat table



Phase I – preparing the "inner layer" on a flat table

On top of a flat table the strip double layer + the kapton layer are glued together (possibly with a no flaw adhesive thin film)

 \rightarrow we call it "inner layer" in what follows



strips geometry not in scale

Note #3: the dip here is for allowing proper folding outward of the extra region of the foil (see 3D view next slide)

cm

50

cm

Phase II – the "inner layer" is wrapped around the mold

The Inner layer is aligned (with markers markers) on the mold Blowing out air simplifies a lot the procedure



Phase II – the other layers are applied around the mold

Layers are applied according to the stack sequence (going outward): 1. inner layer – 2. HC (6mm) – 3. CF – 4. HC (16mm) – 5. CF – 6. (outer layer)

Note #1: the use of thin (160um) adhesive film layers (no flow) simplify the stack preparation

Note #2: intermediate curing phases might be needed



Phase II – the other layers are applied around the mold

Layers are applied according to the stack sequence (going outward): 1. inner layer – 2. HC (6mm) – 3. CF – 4. HC (16mm) – 5. CF – 6. (outer layer)



Phase II – the other layers are applied around the mold



Corner structure to be applied here

Phase III – completion of the composite layers stack including edge sealing bar

Vertical cross section of the stack @ box edge





Dielectric and gas sealing at box edges – Note #1



"soft" gas sealing (illustrated in picture) vs "hard" sealing (by gluing here)

To be discussed... tested

 \rightarrow "soft" sealing appears more convenient for various reasons (including it allows to rework the chamber

 \rightarrow if "soft" sealing OK \rightarrow it might be applied also at Box-cathode and Box-end_Plate junctions !!!

Dielectric and gas sealing at box edges – "soft" sealing

