#### Keiko Nagao (Okayama University of Science)



## Potential of NEWSdm Revealing DM Physics

NEWSdm collaboration meeting 30 May 2018

## **Outline**

1. What can we say on physics?

2. An example -- velocity distribution

Any comment, question and suggestion are very welcome: nagao@dap.ous.ac.jp

## What can we say on physics

#### Ordinally direct detection

Recoil energy  $E_R$ Cross section < 10<sup>-46</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> (SI), 10<sup>-38</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> (SD)



XENON 1T (2018)



Schumann arXiv:1501.01200

3

## What can we say on physics

NEWAGE (2010) DMTPC (2011) DRIFT-IId (2012)

PICASSO (2012) SIMPLE (2011) COUPP (2012)

DRIFT-Ild (this work

da)

-dependent WIMP-proton

1e+01

1e-01

Spin-di 1e-03 DMTPC PoS IDM2010 (2011) 042

 Ordinally direct detection Recoil energy E<sub>R</sub> Cross section < 10<sup>-46</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>
 Directional detections + Direction of nuclear recoil (+ time)





DRIFT Phys. of the Dark Universe \_\_\_\_\_ 9–10 (2015)

Δ

WIMP mass (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

10

100

1000

NEWAGE Physics Letters B 686 (2010)

## What can we say on physics

 Ordinally direct detection Recoil energy E<sub>R</sub> Cross section < 10<sup>-46</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>
 Directional detections + Direction of nuclear recoil (+ time) <sup>c</sup><sup>(μ)</sup> 10<sup>40</sup> 10<sup>40</sup> 10<sup>40</sup> 10<sup>40</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> WIPM mass (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) 10<sup>3</sup>

NEWSdm

High sensitivity ~10<sup>-42</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> Wide mass range O(10-100)GeV – heavy DM SI int. (SD int. for Ag)

NEWSdm arXiv:1705.00613

#### Annual/daily modulation



DAMA/LIBRA phase-2 arXiv:1805.10486

SNOWMASS report (2013)

### Annual/daily modulation Neutrino floor



Mayet et.al. Physics Reports 627 (2016) 1-49 mdm=6 GeV



Takaaki Kajita Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci. 2010; 86(4)

#### Annual/daily modulation

#### Neutrino floor

#### Velocity distribution of DM

 Observation
 N-body simulation
 Direct detection Drees, Shan (2007)

#### Directional direct detection

Morgan, Green, Spooner (2004) Host, Hansen (2007) KN, Yakabe, Naka, Miuchi (2017) ... I discuss it later.



1.8

1.6

0

9

-1

-0.5

## Annual/daily modulation Spin

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 &= \mathbf{1}_{\chi} \mathbf{1}_N \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_3 &= i \hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_N} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\chi} \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_4 &= \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_5 &= i \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_N} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp}\right) \mathbf{1}_N \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_6 &= \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_N}\right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_N}\right) \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_7 &= \hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} \mathbf{1}_{\chi} \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_8 &= \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} \mathbf{1}_N \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_9 &= i \hat{\mathbf{S}}_X \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_N}\right) \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{10} &= i \hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_N} \mathbf{1}_N \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{12} &= \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp}\right) \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{13} &= i \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{m_N}\right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \cdot \hat{\mathbf{m}}_N\right) \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{14} &= i \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_N}\right) \left[\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp}\right) \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{17} &= - \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_N}\right) \left[\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp}\right) \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_N}\right] \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{17} &= i \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_N} \cdot S \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_N \end{split}$$

TABLE I. Quantum mechanical operators defining the nonrelativistic effective theory of dark matter-nucleon interactions [38, 39]. The notation is the one introduced in

## Catena et.al (2017) $- - \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \pmod{0}$ $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{10} \ (\text{spin } 0)$ $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_5$ (spin 1) $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_7$ (spin 1/2)

0

 $\cos\theta$ 

PhysRevD.97.023007

0.5

Annual/daily modulation **Inelastic interaction** 

 $\cos \gamma_{\rm max} =$ 

$$v_{\min}(E_R) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{m_N E_R}{2\mu^2}} & \text{elastic} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m_N E_R}} \left(\frac{m_N E_R}{\mu} + \delta m\right), & \text{inelastic} \end{cases}$$



Phys.Rev.D81:096005 (2010)

 $v_e$ 

## Outline

1. What can we say on physics?

2. An example -- velocity distribution

... talk is based on arXiv:1707.05523; KN, Yakabe, Naka, Miuchi (2017)

## **Velocity distribution 1**

## Maxwell distribution $f(v) = \frac{1}{(\pi v_0^2)^{3/2}} e^{-(v+v_E)^2/v_0^2}$ $\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \frac{N_T \rho_0}{m_{\chi}} \int^{v_{\text{max}}} d\vec{v} f(\vec{v}) |\vec{v}| \frac{d\sigma(\vec{v})}{dE_R}$



commonly supposed in direct detections

isotropy also supposed

How can we test it? cosmological observations directional detection



#### Some N-body simulations suggest anisotropy



Ling, Nezri, Athanassoula & Teyssier (2009) cf. Kuhlen et al. (2012), David R. Law (2009) ...

## **Numerical calculation**

$$f(v_{\phi}) = \frac{1-r}{N(v_{0,\text{iso.}})} \exp\left[-v^2/v_{0,\text{iso.}}^2\right] + \frac{r}{N(v_{0,\text{ani.}})}$$
isotropic

Tangential velocity

 anisotropy parameter r
 r=0.25 is suggested by simulation

 Goal: Discrimination

 isotropic case (r=0) --- anisotropic case (r=0.3)





Monte Carlo simulation of scattering supposing f(v)
 Direction (scattering angle) + Recoil energy
 Elastic scattering
 Mass m<sub>dm</sub>=300 GeV for simplicity
 Target : Ag

## Analysis

#### depends on resolutions of a detector

#### Energy resolution :OK Angular resolution :OK



E<sub>R</sub>-cosθ distribution

#### Energy resolution :NG Angular resolution :OK



cosθ histogram

#### Isotropic



#### Statistical test to examine the similarity of distributions.

Chi-squared test

•••

- Kolmogorov–Smirnov test
- Likelihood analysis

Anisotropic



## Chi squared test

#### Ethr is optimized to DM mass



E<sub>R</sub>-cosθ #exp.=6\*10^4 Ethr=50keV (Ag)



**COSθ hist.** #exp.=2\*10^4 Ethr=50keV (Ag)

## Summary

 Possibility to explore dark matter physics through NEWSdm (large DM mass/high sensitivity/…)
 Annual/daily modulation
 Neutrino floor
 Velocity distribution of DM
 Spin
 Inelastic interaction

•••••

An example: velocity distribution of DM ~O(10<sup>4</sup>) events required for discrimination (once m<sub>dm</sub> is known).

### BACKUP

# Energy-angular distribution (light target)



Ethr = 0keV

# Energy-angular distribution (heavy target)



Ethr = 0keV

## Chi squared test of $E_R$ -cos $\theta$ (light target)

#exp.=6\*10^3 Ethr=20keV (F)



✓ If r=0.3, isotropic case (r=0) can be excluded at 90% C.L.

 Energy threshold is a factor to clearly characterize the difference between r=0 and 0.3.

## Chi squared test of $E_R$ -cos $\theta$ (heavy target)

#exp.=6\*10^4 Ethr=50keV (Ag)



 ✓ Isotropic case can be rejected in heavy target case, but required event # is 6×10<sup>4</sup> (in light target case: 6×10<sup>3</sup>).



 Due to form factor effect, more signal number is required in heavy target case than light target case.

## Directionality Histogram (heavy target)

Ethr=50keV (Ag)



✓ Shape for r=0.3 is quite similar to that for r=1 in both case target F and Ag.

#exp.=5\*10^3 Ethr=20keV (F)

### + Chi squared test (light target)



#exp.=6\*10^4 Ethr=50keV (Ag)

#### $\overset{\text{reduced}}{\overset{1}{}}\chi^{2}$ reduced $\chi^2$ reduced $\chi^2$ 10 10 10 1 = 0.3 \_ = O = 1 tmp tmp 10-10-10 0 0.2 0.2 0 0.2 0.8 0.4 0.8 0.8 0.4 0.6 0.6 0 0.4 0.6 r<sub>exp</sub> r<sub>exp</sub> r<sub>exp</sub>

+ Chi squared test (heavy target)

### To discriminate the anisotropy, required event # are...

- 6×10<sup>3</sup>/6×10<sup>4</sup> (Energy-angular distribution)

- 5×10<sup>3</sup>/2×10<sup>4</sup> (Directional histogram)

Event number for one bin is missed in test of energy-angular distribution.

[ER+ θ] is worse than only [ θ]?
 Test efficiency also depends on ER, so the comparison is not so simple.

