



# **Nuclear Emulsions in the FOOT experiment**

**FOOT**: **F**ragmentati**O**n **O**f **T**arget *An experiment for the measurement of nuclear fragmentation cross sections for Particle Therapy*

M.C. Montesi *(University of Napoli Federico II and INFN, Napoli) for the FOOT Collaboration*

> Second International Workshop on Nuclear Emulsions for Neutrino Studies and WIMP Search Anacapri 1 rt June 2018 1



 $\triangleright$  Radiotherapy is based on the use of ionizing radiation to kill the cancer cells, by damaging the DNA chain.

# Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



### $\triangleright$  Charged Particle vs photons



- Peak of dose released at the end of the track, **allows sparing the normal tissue**
- $\checkmark$  Beam penetration in tissue is function of the beam energy
- $\checkmark$  Accurate conformal dose to tumor with Spread Out Bragg Peak
- $\checkmark$  Greater biological effectiveness, increasing with the beam charge, well performing with radioresistant tumors



## Nuclear fragmentation: target and beam

### **Proton Beam Charged particle**

# Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleard

3

### Target fragmentation

- Small range fragments (~tens of μm)
- Missing experimental data for heavy fragments (**He,**
- **C, Be, O, N)** having the greatest contribution to the dose
- Increase of biological damage (~10%) in the entrance channel (Grun 2013)

O٥ O



Measurements of nuclear fragmentation cross sections useful to develop a new generation of biologically oriented Treatment Planning Systems for proton and ion therapy

### Beam and target fragmentation

- Fragments have the same velocity of the beam, but the lower mass allows longer range producing tail beyond the Bragg peak
- Scarce validation data for <sup>12</sup>C clinical beam
- New beams ( $4$ He and  $16$ O) to be study



Exp. Data (points) from Haettner et al, Rad. Prot. Dos. 2006 Simulation: A. Mairani PhD Thesis, 2007, Nuovo Cimento C, 31, 2008







### Goals:

- o Fragments production cross sections (at level of 5%)
- o Fragments energy spectra dσ/dE (energy resolution ~1 MeV/u)
- $\circ$  Charge ID (at the level of 2-3%)
- $\circ$  Isotopic ID (at the level of 5%)
- $\circ$  Data taking for beams at therapeutic energies and at high energy (space radioprotection):
	- o 200 MeV for protons
	- $\circ$  250 MeV/n (700 MeV/n) for He ions
	- $\circ$  350 MeV/n (700 MeV/n) for C ions
	- $\circ$  400 meV/n (700 MeV/n) for O ions
- $\circ$  target simulating the human tissue (C, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, 0)

### Experimental strategy:

- $\checkmark$  Inverse kinematic approach with double target
- Experimental apparatus: electronic detector and emulsion spectrometer

### FOOT: Inverse kinematic approach (target fragmentation in proton therapy)









- Protons  $\omega$  E<sub>kin</sub>= 200 MeV ( $\beta$ ~0.6) on a "patient" (98% C, O, and H nucleus)
- can be replaced by  $160, 12$ C ion beams ( $E_{kin}$  ~ 200 MeV/n  $\beta$ ~0.6) impinging on a target made of protons  $(C \rightarrow H)$
- by applying the Lorentz transformation (well known β) it is possible to switch from the *lab. frame* to the *patient frame*

*Requirements: the fragment direction must be well measured in the lab. frame to obtain the correct energy in the patient frame*

7



### FOOT: Double target



- $\triangleright$  H target? Use twin targets made of C and polyethylene  $(C_2H_4)_n$  and obtain the fragmentation results on H target from the difference
- $\triangleright$ C  $\rightarrow$  H cross-section can be estimated by subtracting C $\rightarrow$ C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and C $\rightarrow$ C cross-sections

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dE_{kin}}(H) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dE_{kin}} (C_2 H_4) - 2 \frac{d\sigma}{dE_{kin}}(C) \right)
$$





### GANIL experimental data





### Design Solution to develop a "table top" detector (< 2 m long):

- $\cdot$  electronic detector optimized for fragments with Z ≥ 3 and angular acceptance  $\pm$  10°
- ❖ emulsion spectrometer detecting light charged fragments at large angle (up to 70°)



# FOOT Detector: Emulsion spectrometer





- $\triangleright$  It will measure fragments as protons, deuterons, He and Li emitted within a wider angular aperture (up to 70°) with respect to heavier nuclei
- $\triangleright$  Detector based on the concept of Emulsion Cloud Chamber – **ECC**
- $\triangleright$ The measurement setup will integrate the ECC with the start counter and the beam monitor of the electronic detector



# FOOT Detector: Emulsion Spectrometer



 $\triangleright$  The emulsion technique has been already exploited to study the fragmentation of Carbon ions in polycarbonate: identification of the secondary nuclei produced by fragmentation of 400 MeV/n <sup>12</sup>C can be achieved with high significance





# FOOT Detector: Emulsion Spectrometer



> Other study: large angle fragmentation and momentum measurements of a 400 MeV/n <sup>12</sup>C beam impinging on a composite target has been performed by using two ECC detectors to cover a range from 34° to 81° with respect to the beam axis



A. Alexandrov et al., JINST 12 (2017) P08013

**Vertexing** 



• vertex detector

**Section 2**: made of emulsion films only

• charge identification for low Z fragments (H, He, Li)

**Section 3**: alternated layers of emulsions and passive materials (plastic and lead)

- Momentum measurement by range method and Multiple Coulomb Scattering (MCS)
- Isotopic identification

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare







### Alternate target layers of C or  $C_2H_4$  (1 mm) and emulsion films

- Vertex detector and particle tracking
- Chamber thickness defined by the interaction length  $\rightarrow$  obtain a sufficiently high number of interactions
- $\checkmark$  20% of Carbon ions interacting in 3 cm Lexan (G. De Lellis, Nucl. Phys. A Vol. 853, 2011)
- $\checkmark$  Total length  $\sim$  30 cells = 39mm (30 films)





 $\checkmark$  Emulsion films interleaved with passive layers (plastic and lead) (**30-50** passive layers)

FOOT: Emulsion Spectrometer – section 3

- $\checkmark$  Dedicated to the momentum measurements by using the range method and the Multiple Coulomb Scattering (MCS)
- $\checkmark$  Range Method: the kinetic energy of the particle is estimated on the basis of the range measurements ( NIST data)
- $\checkmark$  The MCS estimates the particles momuntum through the measurements of the position and the slope of the particles trajectory
- $\checkmark$  Isotopic identification: by means two indipendent methods for the momuntum measurements





**on** 



# FOOT: Emulsion Spectrometer – test beam

- ‣ New nuclear emulsions, produced by Nagoya group, have been tested to assess the refreshing procedures and to define the correct working point for the particle identification (Z<3)
- ‣ LNS test beam with 80 MeV proton, deuterium, helium and carbon

 $\checkmark$  Exposure of nuclear emulsion to calibrate the response at different ionizing beam (charge identification)

 $\checkmark$  Exposure of two Emulsion Cloud Chambers for the isotopic identification

‣ Proton Radiotherapy Center in Trento test beam with 50, 200 and 80 MeV













**H** (80 MeV)



Expected  $1/p\beta$ : 0.0068 MeV<sup>-1</sup>

FOOT: Emulsion Spectrometer – test beam ECC<sub>1</sub>

300

250

200

- ‣ Test with data an algorithm for isotopic identification
- Exposure of two ECC to H and D  $\omega$  80 MeV/n
- ‣ ECC: 21 nuclear emulsions spaced by 20 stainless steel

layers (0.5 mm thick,  $X_0 = 1.76$  cm)

- ‣ Preliminary estimation of **pβ** from OPERA algorithm [1] assuming the fitted track not to lose energy during its path (10 layers)
- ‣ Combination of **p** measurement by range and MCS ( dependent on the mass) provides **isotope identification**

Expected  $1/p\beta$ : 0.0034 MeV<sup>-1</sup>  $\triangleright$  Measured 1/p $\beta$ : 0.004±0.002 MeV<sup>-1</sup>

Mean

**RMS** 

 $\chi^2$  / ndf

Constant

Siama

Prob



 $H$  $(80 \text{ MeV}/u)$ 

 $8.866 \pm 0.04538$ 

 $2.917 \pm 0.03209$ 

37.99 / 36

 $281.9 \pm 5.5$ 

 $8.86 \pm 0.05$ 

 $2.9 \pm 0.0$ 

0.3789

250F

200

150

**Entries** 

 $\gamma^2$  / ndf

Constant

Prob

Mean

Sigma



 $3.988 \pm 0.03605$ 

 $1.464 \pm 0.02549$ 

66 24 / 20

7.39e-07

 $238.3 + 7.7$ 

 $3.899 \pm 0.037$ 

 $1.326 \pm 0.028$ 



## FOOT: Emulsion Spectrometer – first run



- ‣ Planned for November 2018 at GSI
- ‣ He @ 700 MeV/n on C target
- ‣ ECC structure: almost 110 nuclear emulsions are needed
- $\cdot$  The emulsion setup will be XY stage remotely controlled to avoid pile-up (particle density < 10 particles/mm<sup>2</sup>)
- ‣ The start counter and the beam monitor will provide a feedback (impact point and rate) to the stage movement





### **Conclusions**



- Target fragmentation and beam are "hot" topics in Charged Particle Therapy
- $\triangleright$  The FOOT detector will measure both target fragmentation in proton therapy and projectile fragmentation in charged particle therapy (He, C and O); energy of space radioprotection interest will be also investigated
- The FOOT experiment has been approved and funded by INFN for 2018-2021
- FOOT emulsion spectrometer data taking in November 2018 (GSI)
- Whole detector data taking foreseen in early 2020 (CNAO/Heidelberg/GSI)







## Back-up slides



# RBE: Relative Biological Effectiveness





**In clinical practice protons RBE is a constant equal to 1.1, but experimental data show that RBE varies with Linear Energy Transfer (LET)!**







1. Simultaneous determination of  $\beta$  and  $p$  respectively from the TOF and the magnetic spectrometer:

$$
A_1 = \frac{p}{U\beta c\gamma}
$$
 where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}$  and U = 931.5 MeV (Unified Atomic Mass)

2. Simultaneous determination of  $\beta$  and  $E_{kin}$  respectively from the TOF and the calorimeter:

$$
A_2 = \frac{K}{Uc^2(\gamma - 1)}
$$

3. Simultaneous determination of *p* and *Ekin* respectively from the magnetic spectrometer and the calorimeter:

$$
A_3 = \frac{p^2 c^2 - K}{2 U c^2 E_K}
$$





### FOOT Conceptual Design Report

A. Alexandrov<sup>a</sup>, G. Ambrosi<sup>j</sup> S. Argiro<sup>b,m</sup>, G. Battistoni<sup>c</sup>, N. Belcari<sup>d,t</sup>, S. Biondi<sup>e,u</sup>, M. G. Bisogni<sup>d,t</sup>, G. Bruni<sup>e</sup>, S. Brambilla<sup>c</sup>, N. Camarlinghi<sup>d,t</sup>, P. Cerello<sup>b</sup>, E. Ciarrocchi<sup>d,t</sup>, A. Clozza<sup>f</sup>, G. De Lellis<sup>a,s</sup>, A. Di Crescenzo<sup>a,s</sup>, M. Durante<sup>g</sup>, M. Emde<sup>†</sup>, R. Faccini<sup>h,o</sup>, V. Ferrero<sup>b</sup>, F. Ferroni<sup>h,o</sup>, C. Finck<sup>x</sup>, M. Francesconi<sup>d,t</sup>, M. Franchini<sup>e,u</sup>, L. Galli<sup>d</sup>, M. Garbini<sup>*l,e,u*</sup>, G. Giraudo<sup>b</sup>, R. Hetzel<sup>†</sup>, E. Iarocci<sup>h,o</sup>, M. Ionica<sup>j</sup>, K. Kanxheri<sup>j,w</sup>, A. Lauria<sup>a,s</sup>, C. La Tessa<sup>g,q</sup>, M. Marafini<sup>*l,h*</sup>, I. Mattei<sup>c</sup>, R. Mirabelli<sup>h,o</sup>, M. C. Montesi<sup>a,s</sup>, M. C. Morone<sup>i,r</sup>, M. Morrocchi<sup>d,t</sup>, S. Muraro<sup>d</sup>, L. Narici<sup>i,r</sup>, R. Paramatti<sup>h</sup>, A. Pastore<sup>k</sup>, N. Pastrone<sup>b</sup>, V. Patera<sup>h,o,l</sup>, C. Peroni<sup>b,m</sup>, M. Pullia<sup>z</sup>, L. Ramello<sup>b,n</sup>, V. Rosso<sup>d,t</sup>, M. Rovituso<sup>9</sup>, C. Sanelli<sup>f</sup>, A. Sarti<sup>o, f, l</sup>, G. Sartorelli<sup>e, u</sup>, O. Sato<sup>p</sup>, A. Schiavi<sup>h, q</sup>, C. Schuy<sup>y</sup> E. Scifoni<sup>g</sup>, A. Sciubba<sup>o,h,l</sup>, M. Selvi<sup>e</sup>, L. Servoli<sup>j</sup>, M. Sitta<sup>b,n</sup>, R. Spighi<sup>e</sup>, E. Spiriti<sup>f</sup>, G. Sportelli<sup>d,t</sup>, A. Stahl<sup>†</sup>, M. Testa<sup>f</sup>, V. Tioukov<sup>a</sup>, F. Tommasino<sup>9,q</sup>, G. Traini<sup>o,h</sup>, S. M. Valle<sup>c, v</sup>, M. Vanstalle<sup>x</sup>, M. Villa<sup>e, u</sup>, U. Weber<sup>y</sup>, A. Zoccoli<sup>e, u</sup>

<sup>a</sup> INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Italy <sup>b</sup> INFN, Sezione di Torino, Italy <sup>c</sup> INFN, Sezione di Milano, Italy <sup>d</sup> INFN, Sezione di Pisa, Italy <sup>e</sup> INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Italy <sup>f</sup> INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy <sup>9</sup> INFN, Trento Institute for Physics Applications, Italy <sup>h</sup> INFN, Sezione di Roma 1, Italy <sup>*i*</sup> INFN, Sezione di Roma 2, Italy <sup>j</sup> INFN, Sezione di Perugia, Italy k INFN, Sezione di Bari, Italy <sup>l</sup> Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi, Italy <sup>m</sup> Università di Torino, Italy <sup>n</sup> Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy <sup>o</sup> Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italy <sup>P</sup> Nagoya University, Japan <sup>q</sup> Università di Trento, Italy <sup>r</sup> Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Italy <sup>8</sup> Università di Napoli "Federico II", Italy <sup>t</sup> Università di Pisa, Italy <sup>u</sup> Università di Bologna, Italy <sup>v</sup> Università di Milano, Italy <sup>w</sup> Università di Perugia, Italy <sup>2</sup> Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC), Strasbourg, France <sup>y</sup> GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany <sup>2</sup> CNAO, Italy <sup>†</sup> RWTH University, Aachen, Germany  $\gamma_A$ 

# FOOT Detector: upstream/target region





 $\triangleright$ **Start counter**: thin plastic scintillator (250  $\mu$ m) start signal of the TOF **(100 ps)**  $\blacktriangleright$  counts primaries



• scintillator foil and 160 optical fibers grouped in four different arms

# FOOT Detector: upstream/target region





- **Beam monitor**: twelve layers of wires, with three drift cells per layer
- $\triangleright$  measure the direction and the position (spatial resolution ~140  $\mu$ m) of the impinging beam on the target
- $\triangleright$  looks for fragmented primaries



# **FOOT Detector: magnetic spectrometer**







Target and vertex tracker

# FOOT Detector: plastic scintillator & calorimeter

**BGO** 

Calorimeter



Two orthogonal layers of 20 plastic scintillator rods (2 cm large and 40 cm long for a total area of  $40\times40$  cm<sup>2</sup>, tickness 3 mm)

 $\triangleright$  the stop signal for the TOF measurement

the measurement of the energy loss ∆E to identity the charge of the fragments

The calorimeter will be formed by about 360 BGO crystals (2x2 cm<sup>2</sup> transverse size) covering a circular surface of about 20 cm radius

 $\triangleright$  fragments kinetic energy



Plastic scintillator detector prototype



### FOOT Detector: redundant measurements The Z fragments can be reconstructed by the Bethe-Bloch equation and by measuring the energy deposited in the scintillator detector

$$
-\frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{\rho \cdot Z}{A} \frac{4\pi N_A m_e c^2}{M_U} \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 m_e c^2}\right) \left(\frac{z^2}{\beta^2}\right) \ln\left(\frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2}{I \cdot (1 - \beta^2)}\right) - \beta^2
$$

 $\triangleright$  The reconstructed Z resolution ranges from 2% ( $^{16}$ O) to 5% ( $^{1}$ H)

 $\triangleright$ The fragments mass A can be determined by:

- measuring  $\beta$  and  $p$  respectively from the TOF and the magnetic spectrometer
- measuring  $\beta$  and  $E_{kin}$  respectively from the TOF and the calorimeter
- measuring  $p$  and  $E_{kin}$  respectively from the magnetic spectrometer and the calorimeter
	- $\triangleright$  Resolution for heavy fragments 4%



 $J\overline{L}$ 





# FOOT Detector: simulation with FLUKA





Schematic 2D event display of a primary <sup>16</sup>O ion interacting in a polyethylene target