



Nuclear Emulsions in the FOOT experiment

FOOT: FragmentatiOn Of Target An experiment for the measurement of nuclear fragmentation cross sections for Particle Therapy

M.C. Montesi (University of Napoli Federico II and INFN, Napoli) for the FOOT Collaboration

> Second International Workshop on Nuclear Emulsions for Neutrino Studies and WIMP Search Anacapri 1^{rt} June 2018



Radiotherapy is based on the use of ionizing radiation to kill the cancer cells, by damaging the DNA chain.

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



Charged Particle vs photons



- Peak of dose released at the end of the track, allows sparing the normal tissue
- ✓ Beam penetration in tissue is function of the beam energy
- ✓ Accurate conformal dose to tumor with Spread Out Bragg Peak
- ✓ Greater biological effectiveness, increasing with the beam charge, well performing with radioresistant tumors



Nuclear fragmentation: target and beam

Proton Beam

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Charged particle

Target fragmentation

- \bullet Small range fragments (~tens of $\mu m)$
- Missing experimental data for heavy fragments (He,
- **C, Be, O, N)** having the greatest contribution to the dose
- Increase of biological damage (~10%) in the entrance channel (Grun 2013)



Measurements of nuclear fragmentation cross sections useful to develop a new generation of biologically oriented Treatment Planning Systems for proton and ion therapy

Beam and target fragmentation

- Fragments have the same velocity of the beam, but the lower mass allows longer range producing tail beyond the Bragg peak
- Scarce validation data for ¹²C clinical beam
- New beams (⁴He and ¹⁶O) to be study



Exp. Data (points) from Haettner et al, Rad. Prot. Dos. 2006 Simulation: A. Mairani PhD Thesis, 2007, Nuovo Cimento C, 31, 2008







Goals:

- Fragments production cross sections (at level of 5%)
- \circ Fragments energy spectra d σ /dE (energy resolution ~1 MeV/u)
- $\,\circ\,$ Charge ID (at the level of 2-3%)
- $\,\circ\,$ Isotopic ID (at the level of 5%)
- Data taking for beams at therapeutic energies and at high energy (space radioprotection):
 - o 200 MeV for protons
 - o 250 MeV/n (700 MeV/n) for He ions
 - o 350 MeV/n (700 MeV/n) for C ions
 - 400 meV/n (700 MeV/n) for O ions
- \circ target simulating the human tissue (C, C₂H₄, 0)

Experimental strategy:

- ✓ Inverse kinematic approach with double target
- Experimental apparatus: electronic detector and emulsion spectrometer

FOOT: Inverse kinematic approach (target fragmentation in proton therapy)



p on	O ₂	200	Me	V/n
------	-----------------------	-----	----	-----

Fragment	E (MeV)	LET (keV/µm)	Range (µm)
¹⁵ O	1.0	983	2.3
¹⁵ N	1.0	925	2.5
^{14}N	2.0	1137	3.6
^{13}C	3.0	951	5.4
^{12}C	3.8	912	6.2
^{11}C	4.6	878	7.0
^{10}B	5.4	643	9.9
⁸ Be	6.4	400	15.7
⁶ Li	6.8	215	26.7
⁴ He	6.0	77	48.5
³ He	4.7	89	38.8
^{2}H	2.5	14	68.9



- Protons @ E_{kin} = 200 MeV (β ~0.6) on a "patient" (98% C, O, and H nucleus)
- can be replaced by ¹⁶O, ¹²C ion beams $(E_{kin} \sim 200 \text{ MeV/n} \beta \sim 0.6)$ impinging on a target made of protons $(C \rightarrow H)$
- by applying the Lorentz transformation (well known β) it is possible to switch from the *lab. frame* to the *patient frame*

Requirements: the fragment direction must be well measured in the lab. frame to obtain the correct energy in the patient frame

⁷



FOOT: Double target



- > H target? Use twin targets made of C and polyethylene $(C_2H_4)_n$ and obtain the fragmentation results on H target from the difference
- $\succ C \rightarrow H$ cross-section can be estimated by subtracting $C \rightarrow C_2 H_4$ and $C \rightarrow C$ cross-sections

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_{kin}}(H) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dE_{kin}}(C_2H_4) - 2\frac{d\sigma}{dE_{kin}}(C) \right)$$





GANIL experimental data



FOOT Detector



Design Solution to develop a "table top" detector (< 2 m long):

- ♦ electronic detector optimized for fragments with Z \geq 3 and angular acceptance ± 10°
- emulsion spectrometer detecting light charged fragments at large angle (up to 70°)



FOOT Detector: Emulsion spectrometer





- It will measure fragments as protons, deuterons, He and Li emitted within a wider angular aperture (up to 70°) with respect to heavier nuclei
- Detector based on the concept of Emulsion Cloud Chamber – ECC
- The measurement setup will integrate the ECC with the start counter and the beam monitor of the electronic detector



FOOT Detector: Emulsion Spectrometer



The emulsion technique has been already exploited to study the fragmentation of Carbon ions in polycarbonate: identification of the secondary nuclei produced by fragmentation of 400 MeV/n ¹²C can be achieved with high significance





FOOT Detector: Emulsion Spectrometer



Other study: large angle fragmentation and momentum measurements of a 400 MeV/n ¹²C beam impinging on a composite target has been performed by using two ECC detectors to cover a range from 34° to 81° with respect to the beam axis



A. Alexandrov et al., JINST 12 (2017) P08013

FOOT: Emulsion Spectrometer Layout



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Section 2: made of emulsion films only

• charge identification for low Z fragments (H, He, Li)

Section 3: alternated layers of emulsions and passive materials (plastic and lead)

- Momentum measurement by range method and Multiple Coulomb Scattering (MCS)
- Isotopic identification







- ✓ Alternate target layers of C or C_2H_4 (1 mm) and emulsion films
 - Vertex detector and particle tracking
 - ✓ Chamber thickness defined by the interaction length → obtain a sufficiently high number of interactions
- ✓ 20% of Carbon ions interacting in 3 cm Lexan (G. De Lellis, Nucl. Phys. A Vol. 853, 2011)
- ✓ Total length ~ 30 cells = 39mm (30 films)

Momentum measurement and isotope identification



Emulsion films 300 µm	 Charge identification for low Z fragments (H, He, Li) 			
C or C ₂ H ₄ layer 1000 µm	✓ Emulsion will have a different thermal treatment according to its position in the elementary cell:			
	• R0:			
	 Not refreshed Sensitive to m.i.p. R1: 			
	 Appropriate refreshing for protons Sensitive to protons 			
Charge identification	 • R2: disotope identification O Appropriate refreshing for He O Sensitive to He 			
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} {\rm Cell} & 3 & 9 & 13 & 20 \\ H-He & 3.3 & 4.5 & 6.5 \end{array}$	To obtain a 3σ He-Li separation, 9 cells are necessary (27 films)			
He-Li 2.6 3.9 4.3 5.0				

FOOT: Emulsion Spectrometer – section 3

- ✓ Emulsion films interleaved with passive layers (plastic and lead) (30-50 passive layers)
- ✓ Dedicated to the momentum measurements by using the range method and the Multiple Coulomb Scattering (MCS)
- ✓ Range Method: the kinetic energy of the particle is estimated on the basis of the range measurements (NIST data)
- ✓ The MCS estimates the particles momuntum through the measurements of the position and the slope of the particles trajectory
- ✓ Isotopic identification: by means two indipendent methods for the momuntum measurements





t on



- New nuclear emulsions, produced by Nagoya group, have been tested to assess the refreshing procedures and to define the correct working point for the particle identification (Z<3)</p>
- LNS test beam with 80 MeV proton, deuterium, helium and carbon

✓ Exposure of nuclear emulsion to calibrate the response at different ionizing beam (charge identification)

✓ Exposure of two Emulsion Cloud Chambers for the isotopic identification

Proton Radiotherapy Center in Trento test beam with 50, 200 and 80 MeV













H (80 MeV)



- Test with data an algorithm for isotopic identification
- Exposure of two ECC to H and D @ 80 MeV/n
- ECC: 21 nuclear emulsions spaced by 20 stainless steel

layers (0.5 mm thick, $X_0 = 1.76$ cm)

- Preliminary estimation of pβ from OPERA algorithm [1] assuming the fitted track not to lose energy during its path (10 layers)
- Combination of p measurement by range and MCS (dependent on the mass)

Expected 1/pβ: 0.0068 MeV⁻¹ Measured 1/pβ: 0.008±0.003 MeV⁻¹

Entries

 χ^2 / ndf

Prob

Mean

Sigma

Constant

8.866 ± 0.04538

2.917 ± 0.03209

37.99 / 36

281.9 ± 5.5

 8.86 ± 0.05

 2.9 ± 0.0

0.3789

Expected 1/pβ: 0.0034 MeV⁻¹ Measured 1/pβ: 0.004±0.002 MeV⁻¹

Entries

Mean

RMS

 χ^2 / ndf

Constant

Prob

Mean

Siama

3.988 ± 0.03605

1.464 ± 0.02549

66.24 / 20

7.39e-07

 238.3 ± 7.7

3.899 ± 0.037

1.326 ± 0.028





250F

200

150



300

250

200



FOOT: Emulsion Spectrometer – first run



- Planned for November 2018 at GSI
- ► He @ 700 MeV/n on C target
- ECC structure: almost 110 nuclear emulsions are needed
- The emulsion setup will be XY stage remotely controlled to avoid pile-up (particle density < 10 particles/mm²)
- The start counter and the beam monitor will provide a feedback (impact point and rate) to the stage movement





Conclusions



- > Target fragmentation and beam are "hot" topics in Charged Particle Therapy
- The FOOT detector will measure both target fragmentation in proton therapy and projectile fragmentation in charged particle therapy (He, C and O); energy of space radioprotection interest will be also investigated
- > The FOOT experiment has been approved and funded by INFN for 2018-2021
- ➢FOOT emulsion spectrometer data taking in November 2018 (GSI)
- > Whole detector data taking foreseen in early 2020 (CNAO/Heidelberg/GSI)







Back-up slides



RBE: Relative Biological Effectiveness





In clinical practice protons RBE is a constant equal to 1.1, but experimental data show that RBE varies with Linear Energy Transfer (LET)!







1. Simultaneous determination of β and p respectively from the TOF and the magnetic spectrometer:

$$A_1 = rac{p}{Ueta c \gamma}$$
 where $\gamma = rac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}$ and U = 931.5 MeV (Unified Atomic Mass)

2. Simultaneous determination of β and E_{kin} respectively from the TOF and the calorimeter:

$$A_2 = \frac{K}{Uc^2(\gamma - 1)}$$

3. Simultaneous determination of p and E_{kin} respectively from the magnetic spectrometer and the calorimeter:

$$A_3 = \frac{p^2 c^2 - K}{2 U c^2 E_K}$$





FOOT Conceptual Design Report

A. Alexandrov^a, G. Ambrosi^j S. Argirò^{b,m}, G. Battistoni^c, N. Belcari^{d,t}, S. Biondi^{e,u},
M. G. Bisogni^{d,t}, G. Bruni^e, S. Brambilla^c, N. Camarlinghi^{d,t}, P. Cerello^b, E. Ciarrocchi^{d,t},
A. Clozza^f, G. De Lellis^{a,s}, A. Di Crescenzo^{a,s}, M. Durante^g, M. Emde[†], R. Faccini^{h,o},
V. Ferrero^b, F. Ferroni^{h,o}, C. Finck^x, M. Francesconi^{d,t}, M. Franchini^{e,u}, L. Galli^d,
M. Garbini^{l,e,u}, G. Giraudo^b, R. Hetzel[†], E. Iarocci^{h,o}, M. Ionica^j, K. Kanxheri^{j,w},
A. Lauria^{a,s}, C. La Tessa^{g,q}, M. Marafini^{l,h}, I. Mattei^c, R. Mirabelli^{h,o}, M. C. Montesi^{a,s},
M. C. Morone^{i,r}, M. Morrocchi^{d,t}, S. Muraro^d, L. Narici^{i,r}, R. Paramatti^h, A. Pastore^k,
N. Pastrone^b, V. Patera^{h,o,l}, C. Peroni^{b,m}, M. Pullia^z, L. Ramello^{b,n}, V. Rosso^{d,t},
M. Rovituso^g, C. Sanelli^f, A. Sarti^{o,f,l}, G. Sartorelli^{e,u}, O. Sato^p, A. Schiavi^{h,q}, C. Schuy^y
E. Scifoni^g, A. Sciubba^{o,h,l}, M. Selvi^e, L. Servoli^j, M. Sitta^{b,n}, R. Spighi^e, E. Spiriti^f,
G. Sportelli^{d,t}, A. Stahl[†], M. Testa^f, V. Tioukov^a, F. Tommasino^{g,q}, G. Traini^{o,h},
S. M. Valle^{c,v}, M. Vanstalle^x, M. Villa^{e,u}, U. Weber^y, A. Zoccoli^{e,u}

^a INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Italy ^b INFN, Sezione di Torino, Italy ^c INFN, Sezione di Milano, Italy ^d INFN, Sezione di Pisa, Italy ^e INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Italy ^f INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy ^g INFN, Trento Institute for Physics Applications, Italy ^h INFN, Sezione di Roma 1, Italy ⁱ INFN, Sezione di Roma 2, Italy ^j INFN, Sezione di Perugia, Italy ^k INFN, Sezione di Bari, Italy ¹ Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi, Italy ^m Università di Torino, Italy ⁿ Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy ^o Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italy ^p Nagoya University, Japan ^q Università di Trento, Italy ^r Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Italy ⁸ Università di Napoli "Federico II", Italy ^t Università di Pisa, Italy ^u Università di Bologna, Italy ^v Università di Milano, Italy ^w Università di Perugia, Italy ^x Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC), Strasbourg, France ⁹ GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany = CNAO, Italy [†] RWTH University, Aachen, Germany 24

FOOT Detector: upstream/target region





➤ Start counter: thin plastic scintillator (250 µm)
 ➤ start signal of the TOF (100 ps)
 ➤ counts primaries



• scintillator foil and 160 optical fibers grouped in four different arms

FOOT Detector: upstream/target region





- Beam monitor: twelve layers of wires, with three drift cells per layer
- \blacktriangleright measure the direction and the position (spatial resolution ~140 μm) of the impinging beam on the target
- Iooks for fragmented primaries



FOOT Detector: magnetic spectrometer





Target and vertex tracker

FOOT Detector: plastic scintillator & calorimeter

BGO

Calorimeter

- Two orthogonal layers of 20 plastic scintillator rods (2 cm large and 40 cm long for a total area of 40×40 cm², tickness 3 mm)
 - ≻the stop signal for the TOF measurement

➤ the measurement of the energy loss ΔE to identity the charge of the fragments

The calorimeter will be formed by about 360 BGO crystals (2x2 cm² transverse size) covering a circular surface of about 20 cm radius

Fragments kinetic energy

Plastic scintillator detector prototype

	Required performances $\checkmark \Delta p/p \sim 5 \%$ Farget 70Fnüls00npspectrometer $\land E_{kin} / E_{kin} \sim 2$ % start $\land \Delta (dE)/dE \sim 2 \%$	Permanent Magnets dE/dX & T	cint. TOF	
Sub-detector	Main features			
Start counter	Plastic scintillator 250 µm	Stat TOF, counts primaries	trip Detector	
Beam monitor	Drift chamber (12 layers of wires)	Beam position	inp Detector	
Target	C / C ₂ H ₄			1
Vertex	4 layers silicon pixel (20x20 μm)	Vertex position	Г	BGO
Permanent Magn	et Halbach geometry 0.8 T		l	Calorimeter
Inner Tracker	2 layers silicon pixel (20x20 µm)	– Magnetic spectrometer: $\Delta p/p$		
Outer Tracker	3 layers of Silicon strip (125 μm pitcl	h)		
Scintillator	2 layers of 20 barrels (2x40x0.3 cm)	Stop TOF, dE/dx		
Calorimeter	360 BGO crystals (2x2x14 cm)	Kinetic energy		

FOOT Detector: redundant measurements

The Z fragments can be reconstructed by the Bethe-Bloch equation and by measuring the energy deposited in the scintillator detector

$$-\frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{\rho \cdot Z}{A} \frac{4\pi N_A m_e c^2}{M_U} \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 m_e c^2}\right) \left(\frac{z^2}{\beta^2}\right) \ln\left(\frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2}{I \cdot (1-\beta^2)}\right) - \beta^2 \right]$$

The reconstructed Z resolution ranges from 2% (¹⁶O) to 5% (¹H)

The fragments mass A can be determined by:

- measuring β and p respectively from the TOF and the magnetic spectrometer
- measuring β and E_{kin} respectively from the TOF and the calorimeter
- measuring p and E_{kin} respectively from the magnetic spectrometer and the calorimeter
 - Resolution for heavy fragments 4%

FOOT Detector: simulation with FLUKA

Schematic 2D event display of a primary ¹⁶O ion interacting in a polyethylene target