

# 14<sup>th</sup> International Masterclasses 2018 Hands on particle physics

## Georgians (HEPI TSU) in the ATLAS experiment

### **Tamar Djobava**

On behalf of the High Energy Physics Institute of Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University (HEPI TSU)

Tamar.Djobava@cern.ch

6 March, 2018, Tbilisi, Georgia















# ATLAS Collaboration

38 Countries182 Institutions3000 Scientific participants total(1200 Students)

The joint team from E.Andronikashvili Institute of Physics and High Energy Physics Institute of Tbilisi State University (HEPI TSU) became ATLAS member since 1994

ATLAS Collaboration

Albany, Alberta, NIKHEF Amsterdam, Ankara, LAPP Annecy, Argonne NL, Arizona, UT Arlington, Athens, NTU Athens, Baku, IFAE Barcelona, Belgrade, Bergen, Berkeley LBL and UC, HU Berlin, Bern, Birmingham, UAN Bogota, Bologna, Bonn, Boston, Brandeis, Brasil Cluster, Bratislava/SAS Kosice, Brookhaven NL, Buenos Aires, Bucharest, Cambridge, Carleton, CERN, Chinese Cluster, Chicago, Chile, Clermont-Ferrand, Columbia, NBI Copenhagen, Cosenza, AGH UST Cracow, IFJ PAN Cracow, SMU Dallas, UT Dallas, DESY, Dortmund, TU Dresden, JINR Dubna, Duke, Edinburgh, Frascati, Freiburg, Geneva, Genoa, Giessen, Glasgow, Göttingen, LPSC Grenoble, Technion Haifa, Hampton, Harvard, Heidelberg, Hiroshima IT, Indiana, Innsbruck, Iowa SU, Iowa, UC Irvine, Istanbul Bogazici, KEK, Kobe, Kyoto, Kyoto UE, Lancaster, UN La Plata, Lecce, Lisbon LIP, Liverpool, Ljubljana, QMW London, RHBNC London, UC London, Lund, UA Madrid, Mainz, Manchester, CPPM Marseille, Massachusetts, MIT, Melbourne, Michigan, Michigan SU, Milano, Minsk NAS, Minsk NCPHEP, Montreal, McGill Montreal, RUPHE Morocco, FIAN Moscow, ITEP Moscow, MEPhI Moscow, MSU Moscow, Munich LMU, MPI Munich, Nagasaki IAS, Nagoya, Naples, New Mexico, New York, Nijmegen, BINP Novosibirsk, Ohio SU, Okayama, Oklahoma, Oklahoma SU, Olomouc, Oregon, LAL Orsay, Osaka, Oslo, Oxford, Paris VI and VII, Pavia, Pennsylvania, Pisa, Pittsburgh, CAS Prague, CU Prague, TU Prague, IHEP Protvino, Regina, Rome I, Rome II, Rome III, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, DAPNIA Saclay, Santa Cruz UC, Sheffield, Shinshu, Siegen, Simon Fraser Burnaby, SLAC, NPI Petersburg, Stockholm, KTH Stockholm, Stony Brook, Sydney, Sussex, AS Taipei, Tbilisi, Tel Aviv, Thessaloniki, Tokyo ICEPP, Tokyo MU, Tokyo Tech, Toronto, TRIUMF, Tsukuba, Tufts, Udine/ICTP, Uppsala, UI Urbana, Valencia, UBC Vancouver, Victoria, Waseda, Washington, Weizmann Rehovot, FH Wiener Neustadt, Wisconsin, Wuppertal, Würzburg, Yale, Yerevan



#### Georgians in the ATLAS collaboration

E.Andronikashvili Institute of Physics of/TSU

**HEPI TSU** 

Georgian Technical University

Detector tasks/Physics Analysis Detector tasks/Physics Analysis

**Detector Software Development** 

#### **Tile Calorimeter**

- Operation shifts
- Maintenance and consolidation works
- Analysis of ATLAS Tile Calorimeter (TileCal) behavior and stability using Detector Control System (DCS) data.

## **Upgrade of ATLAS TileCal for High luminosity LHC.**

- Investigation of the performance of ATLAS TileCal Demonstrator prototype based on the testbeam data
- Study of TileCal performance with new cell granularity.

#### **Physics Analysis**

- Search for Flavor Changing Neutral Current (FCNC) top quark decays t → Zq (q=u,c quarks) in ATLAS Run 2 and HL-LHC conditions
- Measurement of the differential cross-sections of prompt and non-prompt production of J/ψ and ψ(2S) in pp collisions at √s=13 TeV
- Associated production of a top-antitop pair with a quarkonium state (J/ψ)



# Georgians in the ATLAS collaboration



#### The Team Leader Dr. I.Minashvili



J.Khubua



I.Minashvili



E.Tskhadadze



T.Djobava



M.Mosidze



N.Mosulishvili



**G.Devidze** 



A.Durglishvili



J.Jejelava



T. Zakareishvili



B. Chargeishvili




V.Kartvelishvili



## **ATLAS Detector**



45 m



total length of 45 m and a radius of 12 m and weights apptoximately 7000 tonnes.



## **ATLAS HadronicTile Calorimeter**










## **ATLAS Tile Calorimeter**





- •3 cylinders with coverage: |η | <1.7
- Sampling calorimeter: Fe/scintillator: 4:1
- Double PMT readout via WLS fibers (5000 cells->10k channels)
- •Aim for jet energy resolution:  $\Delta E/E = 50\% / \sqrt{E \oplus 3} \%$

• Diameter: 8.5 m

Length= 12 m

• Weight: 2900 T

•Total thickness of 7.4 $\lambda_{int}$  at  $\eta=0$ 

### **Design goals:**

- large dynamic range (detect low signal from muons up to high signals from jets at TeV scale)
- energy linearity ~2% for high p<sub>T</sub> jets up to few TeV



### **ATLAS Tile Calorimeter**



Scientists from HEPI TSU under leadership of Prof. J.Khubua were and are now heavily involved in all phases of the Hadronic Tile Calorimeter, from its design, prototype tests, construction, installation, calibration, commissioning (refurbishment of super drawers-central and extended barrels), operation to the physics performance (J.Khubua, I.Minashvili, N.Mosulihvili)

- energy scale and simulation
- maintenance and consolidation (LS1..)
- operation (shifts, data quality)
- online and DAQ
- •Upgrade
- Test beam data analysis



J.Khubua



I.Minashvili





**N.Mosulishvili** 




A. Durglishvili

# High Granularity TileCal study




#### TileCal phase 2 upgrade geometry

- Improve granularity using multianode PMTs (8x8 channel matrix)
  - Split BC cells (x2) → 3 → 4 longitudinal layers in LB
  - Split A cells (x4) → eta granularity = 0.1 → 0.025
- Not changing the detector (only PMTs and optical guide)
- This is an upgrade idea being studied in TileCal (not yet the baseline)



- Higgs, W, Z, top (p<sub>T</sub> > 2 TeV) decay to narrow jets with jet radius smaller than 0.4 in φ x η
- Such narrow jets have substructure (2 or 3 subjets)





### Tile Calorimeter Demonstrator Test Beam data -2015-2017














# Tile Calorimeter Demonstrator Test Beam data analysis – Muon data 2017






- The high-energy muons traverse the entire TileCal modules for any angle of incidence, thereby allowing a study of the module response in great detail through their entire volume.
- The interaction of muons with matter is well understood. The dominant energy loss process is ionization and the energy lost is essentially proportional to the muon track path length.
- Muon data allows us to:
  - verify the new electronics performance by checking the equalization of the cell response of the demonstrator drawer.

The response of the detector has been studied determining the ratio between the energy deposited in a calorimeter cell (dE) and the track path-length in the cell (dl) using 165 GeV muons at an incident angle of -90°.


• The ratio of experimental and simulated dE/dl values was defined for each calorimeter cell:

$$R = \frac{\langle dE/dl \rangle_t}{\langle dE/dl \rangle_t^{MC}}$$

- The red horizontal lines the mean values of dE/dl for each layer.
- The data show a layer uniformity at 1%. An offset of max 4% is observed for Data/MC.



T.Zakareishvili





## Conferences and workshops



1. 2017, 24-27 January, Barcelona, Spain, 5<sup>th</sup> Beam Telescopes and Test Beams Workshop 2017

"Muon Signals at a Low Signal-to-Noise Ratio Environment", T. Zakareishvili; Session: Data Analysis and Test Beam Results https://indico.desy.de/indico/event/16161/contributions 2.2018, 16-19 January, Zurich, Switzerland, 6th Beam Telescopes and Test Beams Workshop 2018 "Studies of the ATLAS hadronic Calorimeter response to different particles at Test Beams", T. Zakareishvili; Session: Analyis, Scintillating Fibres & Calorimeters.

https://indico.desy.de/indico/event/18050/session/8/contribution/34/material/slides/0.pdf







## **Motivations for Top Quark Physics Studies**



The top quark was first observed in 1995 at the Fermilab pp Tevatron collider by CDF and DO experiments:

```
M_t = 174.3 \pm 3.2 \text{ (stat) } \pm 4.0 \text{ (syst)}
```


$$\sigma_{tf}$$
 = (CDF M<sub>t</sub> = 175 GeV) = 6.5  $\pm_{1.4}^{1.7}$  pb

$$\sigma_{tf} = (Do M_t = 172 \text{ GeV}) = 5.9 \pm 1.7 \text{ pb}$$


 $Q_{em}^e=2/3$  l e l; Weak isospin partner of b quark:

 $T_3=1/2$ ; Color triplet, spin ½;





- The top quark is the heaviest elementary particle yet discovered. Its mass, of the same orders the electroweak scale, is about twice that of the W and Z bosons and about 35 times larger than its isospin partner, the b quark and slightly less than the mass of the gold nucleus.
- Large value of top mass and short lifetime (T<sub>t</sub> ~ 5x10<sup>-25</sup> sec) make top quark unique:
  - Decays before hadronization
  - Sensitive window for New Physics
    - Many new heavy particles produce top quarks
    - Detailed properties of top probe SM & beyond
- And in addition ...



- Experiment: Top quark useful to calibrate the detector
- Beyond Top: Top quarks are major source of background for almost every search for physics beyond the SM New Physics



## Search for FCNC

#### Top-quark FCNC decay branching ratio:

Model:	SM	QS	2HDM	FC 2HDM	MSSM	₿ SUSY	RS	Mirror Model
$BR(t \rightarrow qZ)$ :	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-10}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$

A search for top quark Flavor Changing Neutral Current decay in tt production:

One top decays through FCNC (t $\rightarrow$ qZ) and other through SM dominant mode (t $\rightarrow$ bW)

Leptonic decays of W and Z bosons


#### Signal sample

- Separate samples for t→uZ and t→cZ
- tt production and decay processes are done by MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO at NLO in QCD
- Top-quark FCNC decay is done by TopFCNC model: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/viewauth/AtlasProtected/TopPropertiesFCNCMCRunII
- Parton shower with Pythia8 and the A14 tune

#### Event topology

3 isolated leptons, at least two jets, with only one being b-tagged and missing transverse energy from the undetected neutrino


SM:




Strongly suppressed by GIM mechanism in SM

→ Powerful probe for new physics

BSM:





Analysis Team: J.Araque, N.Castro, B.Galhardo, F.Veloso, (LIP, Portugal)
A.Durglishvili, T.Djobava, M.Mosidze (HEPI TSU, Georgia)
S.Hellman, S.Molander (Stockholm University, Sweden)








# Results of $t \rightarrow qZ$ FCNC search


- 36 fb<sup>-1</sup> of 13 TeV data analysed
- Observed data agree well with the SM background expectations
- No evidence of signal is found
- 95% CL upper limits are set on the branching ratios of  $t\rightarrow uZ$  and  $t\rightarrow cZ$
- Paper draft is preparing for 2-nd circulation

	Observed	$-1\sigma$	Expected	$+1\sigma$
$\mathcal{B}(t \to uZ) \ [\%]$	0.017	0.017	0.024	0.035
$\mathcal{B}(t \to cZ)$ [%]	0.023	0.022	0.032	0.046













## Conferences and workshops



2017, 17-22 September, Braga, Portugal, Top2017 - 10th International Workshop on Top Quark Physics, (http://top2017.lip.pt/) "Search for tZ Flavor Changing Neutral Currents in top quark decays with ATLAS at 13 TeV", A.Durglishvili, Poster session; https://indico.cern.ch/event/659310/







# **Future**






ATLAS Collaboration members at CERN (Bldg. 40)



Attract and involve young scientists, PhD, Masters and Bachelor students in ATLAS



# Back-ups




## The Underground Cavern at Point-1 for the ATLAS Detector



Length = 55 mWidth = 32 mHeight = 35 m








# The current 95% CL observed limits on the BR( $t \rightarrow q\gamma$ ) vs BR( $t \rightarrow qZ$ ) and



 $BR(t \rightarrow qH) \text{ vs } BR(t \rightarrow qZ)$ 



The current 95% CL observed limits on the (a) BR(  $t \rightarrow q\gamma$ ) vs BR( $t \rightarrow qZ$ ) and (b) BR( $t \rightarrow qH$ ) vs BR( $t \rightarrow qZ$ ) planes are shown. The full lines represent the results from the ATLAS, CDF, CMS,D0,H1,LEP (combined results of the ALEPH,DELPHI,L3 and OPAL Collaborations) and ZEUS collaboration. The ATLAS lines correspond to the limit on BR( $t \rightarrow qZ$  in trilepton channel.