DY + forward jet production as a probe of BFKL dynamics

Krzysztof Golec-Biernat

Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN in Kraków and University of Rzeszów

in collaboration with Leszek Motyka and Tomasz Stebel

Diffraction and Low-x 2018, Reggio di Callabria, 30th August 2018

- Mueller Navelet jets
- Kinematics for DY+jet
- Basic formulas
- Numerical results

э



MN dijet cross section

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dJ_1 dJ_2} = f_1(x_1) \phi_J(x_1, k_1) \otimes K(k_1, k_2, \Delta Y_{12}) \otimes \phi_J(x_2, k_2) f_2(x_2)$

where K is the BFKL kernel and ϕ_J is the jet impact factor

Studies of decorrelation the azimuthal angle between jets.

Stirling, Del Duca, Schmidt, Kwieciński, Motyka, Martin, Bartels, Colferai, Vacca, Sabio Vera, Szymanowski, Walon, Ducloue, D. Yu. Ivanov, Papa, Celiberto,...

Kinematics of DY+jet process



• ΔY_P is an argument of the BFKL kernel while $\Delta Y_{\gamma J}$ is measured

$$\Delta Y_P = \ln \left(\frac{z(1-z)x_1x_2S}{M^2(1-z) + q_T^2 + z(k_{1\perp}^2 - 2\vec{k}_{1\perp} \cdot \vec{q}_T)} \right), \quad z = \frac{p_{J\perp}\sqrt{M^2 + q_T^2}}{x_1x_2S} e^{\Delta Y_{\gamma J}}$$

• ΔY_P depends on $\Delta Y_{\gamma J}$



► In the photon rest frame, helicity structure functions from angular dependence $\Omega = (\theta, \phi)$

$$rac{d\sigma^{DY}}{d^4 q \, d\Omega} \sim \left[(1 - \cos^2 heta) W_L + (1 + \cos^2 heta) W_T + (\sin^2 heta \cos 2 \phi) W_{TT}
ight.
onumber \ + (\sin 2 heta \cos \phi) W_{LT}
ight]$$

• Integration over full spherical angle Ω gives

$$rac{d\sigma^{DY}}{d^4q}\sim W_T+rac{W_L}{2}$$

For DY+jet helicity structure functions are differential in jet variables

$$W_{\lambda} \quad
ightarrow \quad rac{dW_{\lambda}}{d(\Delta Y_{\gamma J}) \, d^2 k_{J\perp}}$$

where $\lambda = T, L, TT, LT$.

Explicitly

$$egin{aligned} rac{dW_\lambda}{d(\Delta Y_{\gamma J})\,d^2k_{J\perp}} &= \int dx_1\int dx_2\,f_{qar q}(x_1,\mu)f_{
m eff}(x_2,\mu) \ & imesrac{1}{M
ho_{J\perp}^3}\int rac{d^2k_{1\perp}}{k_{1\perp}^3}\,\Phi_{\gamma J}^{(\lambda)}(q_\perp,k_{1\perp},z)\,K(k_{1\perp},-
ho_{J\perp},\Delta Y_P) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_{\gamma J}^{(\lambda)}$ is known photon/jet impact factor and K is the BFKL kernel

BFKL kernel

Fourier expansion of the BFKL kernel

 $\mathcal{K}(k_{1\perp}, k_{2\perp}, \Delta Y_{P}) = I_{0} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2\cos(m\phi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu R_{m}(\nu) e^{\omega_{m}(\nu)\Delta Y_{P}} \cos(\nu\rho)$

The LL approximation solution

$$\omega_m(\nu) = \overline{\alpha}_s \left[2\psi(1) - \psi\left(\frac{m+1}{2} + i\nu\right) - \psi\left(\frac{m+1}{2} - i\nu\right) \right]$$

The BFKL with consistency constraint (CC) solution

$$\omega_m(\nu) = \overline{\alpha}_s \left[2\psi(1) - \psi\left(\frac{m + \omega_m(\nu) + 1}{2} + i\nu\right) - \psi\left(\frac{m + \omega_m(\nu) + 1}{2} - i\nu\right) \right]$$

The LO-Born approximation (two gluon exchange) kernel

$$K(k_{1\perp}, k_{2\perp}) = |k_{1\perp}| |k_{2\perp}| \delta^2(k_{1\perp} + k_{2\perp})$$

(G. P. Salam, hep-ph/9910492)

BFKL solutions - $\omega_m(\nu)$



• For $\bar{\alpha}_s = 0.1$ for LL and $\bar{\alpha}_s = 0.15$ for CC, $\omega_0(0) \approx 0.27$

Similar results for LL and CC solutions

> The ratio of the cross sections with differential helicity functions

$$\frac{\sigma(\phi_{\gamma J})}{\sigma(0)} \equiv \frac{dW_T(\phi_{\gamma J}) + dW_L(\phi_{\gamma J})/2}{dW_T(0) + dW_L(0)/2}$$

as a function of $\gamma^*-{\rm jet}$ angle

$$\phi_{\gamma J} = \pi - (\phi_{\gamma} - \phi_J)$$

and the LHC energy

 $\sqrt{s}=13~{\rm TeV}$

Strong decorrelation found - stronger than for MN jets

Decorrelation - comparison with MN jets



Decorrelation - comparison with MN jets



Krzysztof Golec-Biernat DY + forward jet production as a probe of BFKL dynamics 11/19

Experimentally measured

$$\left\langle \cos(n\phi_{\gamma J})\right\rangle = \frac{\int\limits_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi_{\gamma J} \cos(n\phi_{\gamma J}) \frac{d\sigma}{dMd\Delta Y_{\gamma J} dq_{T} dp_{J\perp} d\phi_{\gamma J}}}{\int\limits_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi_{\gamma J} \frac{d\sigma}{dMd\Delta Y_{\gamma J} dq_{T} dp_{J\perp} d\phi_{\gamma J}}}$$

Shown for n = 1 and n = 2 as a function of photon q_T and $\Delta Y_{\gamma J}$

프 > 프

Mean cosines for DY+jet as a function of photon q_T

n = 1

n = 2



 $p_{J\perp} = 30 \text{ GeV}, \ \Delta Y_{\gamma J} = 7, \ M = 35 \text{ GeV}$

Mean cosines for DY+jet as a function of $\Delta_{\gamma J}$



 $p_{J\perp} = 30 \,\, {
m GeV}\,, \ \ q_T = 25 \,\, {
m GeV}\,, \ \ M = 35 \,\, {
m GeV}$

Mean cosines - comparison with MN jets



 $q_T = p_T = 25 \text{ GeV}, \ M = 35 \text{ GeV}, \ p_{JT} = 30 \text{ GeV}$

Experimental opportunity to measure angular coefficients of DY leptons

$$A_{0} = \frac{dW^{(L)}}{dW^{(T)} + dW^{(L)}/2}, \quad A_{1} = \frac{dW^{(LT)}}{dW^{(T)} + dW^{(L)}/2}, \quad A_{2} = \frac{2dW^{(TT)}}{dW^{(T)} + dW^{(L)}/2}$$

Lam-Tung relation

 $dW^{(L)} - 2dW^{(TT)} = 0 => A_0 - A_2 = 0$

- Additional information about BFKL predictions.
- Strong difference with respect to LO-Born BFKL kernel prediction.

Angular coefficients A_0 and A_1



 $p_{J\perp}=30~{
m GeV}\,,~~\Delta Y_{\gamma J}=7\,,~~M=35~{
m GeV}$



- ▶ We propose to study forward DY+ jet process to test BFKL dynamics
- ▶ More observables than for MN jets and cleaner experimental signature
- Angular decorrelation in γ^* -jet angle found stronger than for MN jets
- Angular coefficients of DY lepton pair strongly sensitive to BFKL dynamics
- Outlook:

full NLO/NLL analysis