"New directions in science are launched by new tools much more often than by new concepts."

Freeman Dyson

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

(Theorist, mathematician; IAS, Princeton)

Motto

"Polarisation data has often been the graveyard of fashionable theories. If theorists had their way, they might well ban such measurements altogether out of self-protection."

J.D.Bjorken, 1987

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Acceptance of SIDIS experiments



EIC limits: $\sqrt{s} = 140$ GeV, y = 0.9 $\sqrt{s} = 40$ GeV, y = 0.1 Full lines: y = 0.1 and y = 0.9dashed – low W^2 boundaries

CERN-SPSC-2017-034

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Acceptance of nucleon structure experiments







▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Nucleon spin "puzzle" at EIC



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● □ ● ●

Parton separation at EIC pseudo-data (inclusive and semi-inclusive)

DIS + SIDIS



From "White paper", arXiv:1212.1701

EW DIS

- $\succ \Delta g(x)$ from scaling violation
- $\succ \Delta \overline{u}, \Delta \overline{d}, \Delta s$ from SIDIS
- Flavor separation at high Q² via CC DIS:

$$g_1^{W^*} = \Delta \overline{u} + \Delta d + \Delta \overline{c} + \Delta s$$

$$g_1^{W^*} = \Delta u + \Delta \overline{d} + \Delta c + \Delta \overline{s}$$

$$g_5^{W^*} = \Delta \overline{u} - \Delta d + \Delta \overline{c} - \Delta s$$

$$g_5^{W^*} = -\Delta u + \Delta \overline{d} - \Delta c + \Delta \overline{s}$$

E

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

E. Aschenauer, SPIN2016

Acceptance of present and EIC DVCS



From "White paper", arXiv:1212.1701

Partonic structure of the nucleon; TMD distribution functions



- DY: e.g. $A_{\text{Sivers}} \propto \mathsf{PDF}^{\text{beam}} \otimes \mathsf{PDF}^{\text{target}}$
- OBS! Boer-Mulders and Sivers PDF are T-odd, i.e. process dependent

 $h_1^{\perp}(\text{SIDIS}) = -h_1^{\perp}(\text{DY})$ $f_{1\mathrm{T}}^{\perp}(\text{SIDIS}) = -f_{1\mathrm{T}}^{\perp}(\text{DY})$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

TMD parton distributions need TMD Fragmentation Functions!

Sivers function at EIC



O. Eyser, SPIN2016

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで



- extraction of PDFs (unpol., pol.), TMDs and GPDs from global fits
- TMDs: interplay between the perturbative (order accuracy) and the nonperturbative part (internal structure)
- GPDs: modelling and algorithms
- Sign change issue (Sivers TMD): understanding SSAs in pQCD
- k_{T} (high energy) vs. TMD factorisation
- $g_1(x)$ (helicity PDF) at small x sensitive to $ln^2(1/x)$ vs. ln(1/x)
- role of gluon TMD in the 3D structure of the nucleon
- Lattice QCD: from form factors and unpolarised PDFs to polarised PDFs



SPARES

Fluctuations and Market Friction in Financial Trading

Bernd Rosenow

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität zu Köln, D-50937 Köln, Germany (May 15, 2006)

We study the relation between stock price changes and the difference in the number of sell and buy orders. Using a soft spin model, we discribe the price impact of order imbalances and find an analogy to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem in physical systems. We empirically investigate fluctuations and market friction for a major US stock and find support for our model calculations.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Tp, 89.90.+n, 05.40.-a, 05.40.Fb

The unpredictable up and down movements in the stock market have always captured the interest and imagination of investors. The scientific investigation of these phenomena started with Bachelier's comparison of stock price dynamics with a random walk [1]. This study has been refined in many respects [2]. Interest has been devoted to the precise shape of the distribution of returns (difference of the logarithm of stock prices at different times), which is characterized by a high probability for large fluctuations [3–6].

After it was realized that large price fluctuations tend to cluster together in time, stock price returns were described by models of volatility (standard deviation of returns) changing in time [7,8]. This effect is captured in time series models, in which the volatility at a given time depends on the magnitude of previous returns [9,10]. It has been actively investigated how the stochastic properties of price dynamics can be related to the market microstructure, i.e. the rules and motivations according to which agents act in a financial market. Although the details of models differ [11–15], they have been successful in reproducing the empirical observations.

Here, we follow a different approach motivated by the

sell orders (order imbalance), which acts as an external force. We study the dependence of stock price changes on order imbalance empirically by using the method of data analysis and some of the results of [21,22]. We find that the empirical results agree well with our model.

Model calculation: The observable quantity we are interested in is the logarithmic stock price changes within a time interval Δt

$$G_{\Delta t}(t) = \ln S(t) - \ln S(t - \Delta t), \qquad (1)$$

where S(t) is the price of a given stock at time t. Transaction prices at a stock exchange lie usually in a finite interval between the bid price (the price a trader offers to pay for a stock) and the ask price (the price at which a dealer is willing to buy the stock). In addition, the historical prices studied take only discrete (tick) values. This motivates to model price changes by a spin model, and for the virtue of easier analytical calculations by a soft spin model [23].

The spin variable we use is the "instantaneous return" $g(t) = \tau \frac{d}{dt} \ln S(t)$, where the average time interval between trades τ sets the time scale of the problem. The

E 996