



# Muon Trigger Upgrades in ATLAS

#### Verena Martínez Outschoorn UMass Amherst

Reconstruction, Trigger and Machine Learning for the HL-LHC

April 26-27th 2018





## The ATLAS Muon Spectrometer





- Muon triggers use hit data from RPCs and TGCs
- Track candidates are identified by simple coincidence logic in the on-detector boards
- The transverse momentum is evaluated by look-up tables in off-detector boards Verena Martinez Outschoorn — April, 2018

# Upgrades Planned for the Muon System



# Muon Trigger Constraints and Goals

Parameter	LHC	HL-LHC
1st-level trigger latency	~1.9 µs	~6.7 µs
Max.1st-level readout latency	2.5 µs	10 µs
Max. Trigger rate	100 kHz	1 MHz
Max Lumi	3 10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	7.5 10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
Max Pileup	80	200

- Main information provided is the muon position and highest momentum threshold
- Performance limitations to address in upgrades
  - 1. Reduce 'fake triggers' rate caused by the particles not directly from the IP
  - 2. Increase the efficiency
  - 3. Improve the momentum resolution reduces trigger rates
- Describe current proposals and speculate on possible proposals where machine learning algorithms could improve performance further

1 0





# 1. Reduce 'fake triggers'

# Challenges of the Muon System → Phase 1



Majority of muon triggers are from backgrounds!

# Muon Spectrometer Upgrades Phase-1



# Muon Spectrometer Phase 1 Upgrade



# Forward Muon Trigger



#### New Small Wheel Upgrade



#### New Small Wheel Upgrade



# MM Trigger Algorithm

 Convert strip hits into projective slopes → translate hardware addresses encoding strip channel to global slope fixed at the IP

 $\mathbf{O}$ 



#### Track candidate (4X4UV)

- ✓: Slope-road with hit
  - : Slope-road width for hit coincidence

Find coincidences using projective roads → coincidences in roads reject background from the start



# MM Trigger Algorithm

- Fit slopes to determine parameters
  - $\Delta \Theta$ : Angular deviation of the MM segment with respect to an infinite momentum track from the IP  $\rightarrow$  use "global" and "local" fits using horizontal hits (X) only
  - $\theta$  and  $\phi$ : from average of horizontal and stereo hits and IP determine Rol



# sTGC Trigger Concept

• Use strip data corresponding to pad coincidence



# sTGC Trigger Algorithm

- Algorithm implemented in the trigger
   processor FPGA
  - Calculate charge centroid per layer
    - 0.1 mm
  - Average centroids in each quadruplet
  - Compute Δθ from LUT
    - Δθ = 'θ from confirmation/pivot centroids' – 'infinite momentum track angle of the pivot quadruplet centroid'
  - R-index is the centroid average of pivot and confirmation quadruplets
  - φ-index determined from the pad trigger tower φ-ID



#### Trigger Processor Hardware



- Handles large number of readout channels and large volume of data
  - MM: ~2M strips (0.4mm)
  - sTGC: 280k strips (3.2mm), 45k pads, 28k wires

#### Trigger Processor Hardware



- sTGC and MM segment finding implemented on separate FPGAs for each sector in mezzanine card
- sTGC and MM candidates merged and sent to Sector Logic
- short time available to prepare and transmit trigger data: 500 ns electronics+ 500 ns fiber length
  - Trigger algorithms implemented in ~100ns

# Trigger Processor Hardware for Phase 2



- Phase 2 upgrade proposal to use new FPGA with more resources to combine sTGC and MM information and perform single fit of both
- Increased latency in Phase 2 allows for more refined algorithm  $\rightarrow$  more robust against backgrounds





# 2. Increase Efficiency

## Challenges of the Muon System $\rightarrow$ Phase 2

Low muon trigger efficiency in the barrel due to geometrical acceptance of the RPC chambers



### Phase 2 RPC Upgrade

Increase trigger efficiency in barrel region with an additional layer of RPCs



# **RPC Trigger Geometrical Acceptance**



#### Phase 2 RPC Efficiency Recovery



#### Phase 2 RPC Efficiency Recovery





#### Phase 2 RPC Efficiency Recovery





#### Phase 2 RPC Trigger Algorithm





- Phase 2 proposed algorithm
  - Search for hits in innermost layer
     → define straight line between IP
     and innermost hit
  - Search for hits in coincidences in subsequent layers using distance in η and φ
- Performed in array of η towers simultaneously
- Algorithm effectively measures the momentum from the deflection of the trajectory

#### Phase 2 TGC Trigger Concept

r



28

# Phase 2 TGC Trigger

- A track segment is reconstructed by a minimum  $\chi^2$  fit to the TGC hits which satisfy the coincidence requirement
- Apply requirements on the polar angle of the segment direction depending on the p<sub>T</sub> thresholds





## RPC and TGC Trigger Hardware







# 3. Improve Momentum Resolution

#### Challenges of the Muon System → Phase 2

Moderate p<sub>T</sub> resolution of RPC and TGC chambers - limited spatial resolution



# Phase 2 MDT Trigger

Improve the momentum resolution and maintain low rates



Similar for the endcap

# MDT Trigger Concept



# MDT Hit Extraction & Segment Finding

- RPC and TGC provide pre-trigger candidates for MDT trigger
  - Reference time and position vector for matching the MDT hits in space and time
  - 2nd coordinate measurement (along non-bending plane)
- MDTs are slow detectors (max drift time ~800ns) so tracks span many BCs and information from RPC and TGC can be processed before all MDT hits arrive
- Matched hits are calibrated and fit to a line
  - segment is defined is the common tangent line to drift circles
    - Legendre algorithm
    - Tangent method
    - Associative memory





#### Legendre Transform Segment Finder

 Use a Hough transform to convert (x,y,r) coordinates to consistent segments parametrized by (R, θ)

$$R = x \times \cos \theta + y \times \sin \theta \pm r$$

• Segment parameters correspond to maximum in (R,  $\theta$ )



# Legendre Segment Finder - FPGA Implementation

- R values for O(100) values of θ in the two arcs (for ±r) are calculated in parallel using a LUT and stored in registers in the the FPGA
- The various values of (R, θ) for a hit, as well as all the hits are calculated in parallel
   → Very fast operation in O(2) clock cycles



Verena Marinez Ouischoom – April, 2010

## Legendre Segment Finder - FPGA Implementation

• Local maxima in the register histogram correspond to segments. The segment finding can be done in O(200ns)



# Tangent Method Segment Finder

$$m' = \frac{r_2 - r_1}{\sqrt{L^2 - (r_1 - r_2)^2}} \qquad b' = r_1 \cdot \sqrt{1 + m'^2}$$

a)

$$m' = \frac{r_1 - r_2}{\sqrt{L^2 - (r_1 - r_2)^2}} \qquad b' = -r_1 \cdot \sqrt{1 + m'^2}$$

$$m' = -\frac{r_1 + r_2}{\sqrt{L^2 - (r_1 + r_2)^2}} \qquad b' = r_1 \cdot \sqrt{1 + m'^2}$$

d)

 $m' = \frac{r_1 + r_2}{\sqrt{L^2 - (r_1 + r_2)^2}} \qquad b' = -r_1 \cdot \sqrt{1 + m'^2}$ CERN-THESIS-2016-056

- Method based on the idea that two drift circles have 4 possible tangents
   → analytic form for the tangent lines
- Observe that only one of the 4 tangents agree with the seed angle from the trigger chamber, the other are way off.
- Simplified tangent algorithm
  - Determine the tangents to all the matched pairs of MDT hits
  - Keep the tangent which is closest in slope to the seed segment
  - Check if the selected segments agree within errors
  - Use the straight line through the positions of the selected segments in their multilayers as reconstructed segment

#### Momentum Determination

- Algorithms proposed to determine muon momentum based on number of segments considered
  - Sagitta: uses segments in 3-stations (73% of muons)
    - measure the sagitta using the position of the segments
  - Deflection angle: uses segments in 2-stations (94% of muons)
    - measure the segment deflection angle
- Precision in both angle and segment position necessary for precise  $p_T$  determination



#### Momentum Determination Performance



- Use a parametrization to implement algorithm and estimate performance
- Sagitta method has better resolution than deflection angle → combine two methods for maximum efficiency
- Can achieve momentum resolution ~5% above ~20 GeV
- Segment reconstruction precision is with  $\sigma_r \leq 1$  mm and  $\sigma_{\theta} \leq 1$  mrad

# MDT Trigger Performance

- Increased momentum resolution sharpens the turn-on curve and reduces rates
- Rate reduction mainly from low quality RPC coincidences (e.g. BIBO) which are suppressed with the MDT requirement



# MDT Trigger Processor - Conceptual Hardware Design



- ATCA blade with GBT (or IpGBT) with 4.8Gb/s (or 9.6Gb/s) links
- Following ATLAS MDT segmentation one MDT trigger processor handles 1/16 φ sectors

Separate also in Barrel/Endcap regions and A/C side

- 64 trigger processors in total for the full MDT system
- Max 18 MDT chambers per trigger processor

# Trigger Latency Summary

Overall latency comes from muons

→ MDTs are the slowest detectors and drive the latency envelope



## Phase 2 Muon Trigger Latency Estimate

- Performed detailed latency estimate of full MDT electronics chain in HDL
  - Implemented full logic and count clock-ticks
- Estimate includes front-end electronics, segment finding, transfer of data, etc



There is a delay in the processing of MDT hits because first hits arrive around 609 ns, but the sector logic candidate arrives only about 1785 ns





# **Future Possibilities**

# Machine Learning Approaches

- In the muon triggers because of the complexity of ATLAS detector (e.g. magnetic field) or the complexity of the process, some problems depend on many variables and are hard to parametrize
- These are prime candidates for machine learning methods
- Examples of two problems that may be approached in this way
  - Momentum determination
  - Rejection of background hits





# Rejection of Background Hits



- Example from MM trigger
- Large impact of backgrounds on performance for current algorithm
  - Affects precision, efficiency and rates
- An algorithm trained using machine learning techniques could determine if hits look like signal or background



= 4.5 m [mrad]

RMS of  $\phi$  at R

## Momentum Determination

(T · m)

Bd

- Parametrization of the momentum is complex due to several effects
  - Inhomogeneous magnetic field
  - Material
- A machine learning regression could be used for a better estimation of the momentum
- CMS has an example of an implementation of such a method for the muon momentum determination in the endcap (<u>CR -2017/357</u>)





## Conclusions & Outlook

- Several upgrades are planned for the ATLAS muon triggers in the next shutdowns
- Goals are to reduce fake triggers, increase efficiency and improve the momentum resolution
- Several algorithms and possible hardware implementations are being investigated for all muon technologies  $\rightarrow$  plan to include the MDTs in the trigger
- Machine learning techniques could have an impact on the performance
  - Considering some possible applications (e.g. momentum determination)
  - More ambitious possibilities for RPC/TGC seed or even full muon reconstruction



#### Backup

#### NSW Detector







- Large channel number
  - MM: ~2M strips (0.4mm)
  - sTGC: 280k strips (3.2mm), 45k pads, 28k wires

### Goals of the Phase 2 Muon Upgrades



#### MDT Trigger Processor Block Diagram



 Reconstructed segments are shared between neighboring sectors to handle sector overlap and barrel-endcap transition region

#### Hit Rates and Occupancy



Expected background rate in kHz/tube at  $\mathcal{L}$  = 7  $\cdot 10^{34}~cm^{-2}~s^{-1}$ 

#### Rejection of Background Hits



# RPC and TGC Trigger Firmware



# NSW Trigger Hardware



# NSW Trigger Firmware

