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The High Energy cosmic-Radiation Detection (HERD) Facility

- Proposed as a space astronomy payload onboard the future China’s Space Station (CSS).
- Planned to be operational from 2025 for more than 10 years.

**International scientific collaboration:**

- Lead by CSU and IHEP
- University and INFN of: Bari, Florence, Lecce, Pisa/Siena, Pavia, Perugia and GSSI
- University of Geneva
- CIEMAT of Madrid
Search for signatures of annihilation/decay products of *dark matter* in
- energy spectrum and anisotropy of high energy electrons (10 GeV – 100 TeV)
- γ-rays (500 MeV – 100 TeV)

Measurements of **energy spectrum and composition** of primary cosmic rays from 30 GeV to PeV.

Wide FOV monitoring of gamma-rays from 500 MeV to study gamma-ray bursts, active galactic nuclei and galactic microquasars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>e/γ (energy)</th>
<th>γ (low energy)</th>
<th>e/p separation power</th>
<th>Geometric factor (e) @200 GeV</th>
<th>Geometric factor (p) @100 GeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy range (e/γ)</td>
<td>10 GeV – 100 TeV</td>
<td>500 MeV – 30 GeV</td>
<td>&gt;10⁻⁶</td>
<td>&gt;3 m²sr</td>
<td>&gt;2 m²sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy range (nuclei)</td>
<td>30 GeV – 3 PeV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular resolution (e/γ)</td>
<td>0.1° @10 GeV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge resolution (nuclei)</td>
<td>10% – 15% for Z = 1 – 26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy resolution (e/γ)</td>
<td>&lt; 1% @200 GeV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy resolution (p)</td>
<td>20% @100 GeV - PeV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HERD: the detector

Calorimeter (CALO): 3D, e/γ/CR energy, e/p discrimination

FIT «fiber tracker» (4 sides) + STK «silicon tracker» (1 side): particle trajectory, charge identification

PSD «plastic scintillator detector»: 6 sides, low energy γ, charge identification

TRD «transition radiation detector»: energy calibration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>&lt; 4 t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envelope dimensions</td>
<td>~ 2.3 x 2.3 x 2.6 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of View</td>
<td>± 70°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power consumption</td>
<td>~ 1200 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime</td>
<td>&gt; 10 years (with in-orbit replacements)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Fiber Tracker (FIT)

- 4 identical **sectors**
- 5 (x-y) **planes** in each sector
  - 4 (x-y) with single readout to measure particles with $Z = 1$
  - 1 (x-y) with double readout to measure also nuclei with $1 < Z \leq 20$
- 7 **modules** (~1 m fiber length) in each x plane
- 10 **modules** (~70 cm fiber length) in each y plane
- 1 **fiber mat** + 3 (6) **SiPM arrays** for single (double) readout in each module

Overall mass: ~ 250 kg;
Overall dimensions: ~ 1.4 x 1.4 x 0.9 m³;
Overall consuption: ~ 180 W.
FIT module: the fiber mat

- Two possible lengths: 1.06 m and 77 cm
- LHCb fiber tracker upgrade
- Titanium dioxide coating (white paint) to avoid cross-talk between fibers
- 6 layers of fibers in each mat
- Fibers KURARAY SCSF-78MJ
  - round section
  - diameter 250 µm
  - Peak emission wavelength: 450 nm
- Mat width ≅ 97.80 mm to match 3 SiPM arrays → a layer contains ~ 350 fibers
SiPM arrays from Hamamatsu (type S133552-HRQ) 
(LHCb fiber tracker upgrade)

• 2 chips/array
• 64 channels/chip
• 4 x 26 pixels/channel
• Pixel size: 57.5 µm × 62.5 µm
• Channel size: 230 µm × 1625 µm
• Gap between channels: 20 µm
• Gap between chips: (220 ± 50) µm
• 105 µm epoxy resin on top

@ 25 °C:
• $V_{\text{breakdown}} = 48$ V - 58 V
• $V_{\text{op}} = V_{\text{breakdown}} + 3.5$ V
• $R_q = 330$ kΩ - 610 kΩ
• Gain $@V_{\text{op}} = 3 \times 10^6$
• Photon detection efficiency $@V_{\text{op}} = 45$
• Sum of cross-talk + after-pulse prob. $@V_{\text{op}} = 8$
• Temperature coefficient: 
  \[ \frac{dV_{\text{breakdown}}}{dT} = 54 \text{ mV} / \text{°C} \]

Front-end board:
6 VATAs 64ch HDR 16, to readout 3 SiPM arrays.
Beam test setup @ CERN SPS North Area

1 AMS Si module
2 AMS Si modules
Fiber module prototype

Scintillators

Scintillator

Beam (p, π, μ)
The Fiber Module prototype

2 VATA boards (VATA 64 HDR 16) designed by UNIGE (R&D HERD)

Rigid flex PCB (4 cables), designed by UNIGE (Mu3e)

One SiPM array (2 x 64-channel chips), Hamamatsu (LHCb fiber tracker upgrade)

Fiber mat, 1 m length << EPFL (LHCb fiber tracker upgrade)
• The ADC distribution has been analyzed to identify the position of the different photoelectron (p.e.) peaks.

(1 p.e. ≡ 1 pixel)

• The peak position has been plotted as a function of the number of photoelectrons.

A linear fit has been performed on the two gain regions, to determine the conversion from ADC value to the corresponding number of photoelectrons.

The gain changes after 12 p.e. because of the gain saturation of the VATA chip.
• Once the channel calibration is done, for each event, the ADC signals have been converted in units of photoelectrons.

• A cluster finding algorithm has been applied, and the cluster properties - integral, center of gravity (c.o.g.), size, ... - have been computed:

[Diagram showing signal distribution across SiPM channels with thresholds highlighted]

- The c.o.g. is calculated as the average of the cluster channels weighted by their signal. It is the best estimate for the crossing point of the particle.

- The cluster size is the number of channels composing the cluster.
Signals up to 40 p.e. very well distinguishable.

Air gap between fibers and SiPM: wider clusters

Signal cannot be shared with channels on the left

Signal cannot be shared with channels on the right

Monte Carlo comparison
→ Poster 388 presented by Junjing Wang
• 100 GeV pions

• Cluster residual: 63.2 μm

• Spatial resolution: 59.6 μm (corrected for beam telescope resolution)

• Efficiency = (99.7 ± 0.2)%

• The resolution is best for a cluster width of 2 channels.
This kind of detector (scintillating fibers + SiPMs) has never been used in Space.

- Space qualification tests needed:
  - Thermal cycling;
  - Thermal vacuum tests;
  - Vibrations and shocks.

Purpose of **thermal cycling and thermal vacuum tests**: demonstrate that the system is able to survive the thermal and vacuum conditions experienced in the space environment, without loss of integrity or functionality.

Purpose of **vibration tests**: demonstrate that the primary structure and all electronic and mechanical components can withstand the vibrations and the loads experienced during launch and deployment.
During the last two years, FIT has been becoming a reality.

- Advanced mechanical and electronics project.
- Two prototypes of fiber module realized and tested during 5 beam tests.

In May 2018 the HERD FIT Phase-B (prototype level) has been approved by the Swiss Space Office and is now funded by PRODEX.

- Ten more prototypes will be assembled before the end of the year.
- A prototype front-end electronics board with three SiPM arrays is in production, and will be tested at 2 beam tests at CERN.
- A DAQ board to readout multiple fiber modules is under design, and will be produced in 2019.
- The first space qualification tests of the FIT module and readout electronics will start in September, and will be regularly done until the end of 2019.
- A simulation model of the FIT is under development, the first results of the single mat simulation are very promising (Poster 388 presented by Junjing Wang).
Thank you!!

A huge thanks to the LHCb colleagues of EPFL and the Mu3e group of UNIGE.
Why a fiber tracker?

- The spatial resolution is similar to the one of silicon strip detectors.
- Simpler to build long detector (of e.g. 1 m).
- Front End Electronics can be placed on one side of the detector module, even if it is long.
- Simpler to place the FEE outside of the support tray.
- Reduced dead area (no gap between sensors, no dead area on the silicon detector, FEE placed outside of support tray).
- No wire bonds on the detectors, thus the distance between support trays can be smaller than for silicon detectors.
- Lighter trays.
- Still the design needs to go through the space qualification process.
Channel pedestals and gains

The intercept of the fitting line from 2 to 12 photoelectrons corresponds to the channel pedestals and the slope to the channel gains.

**Pedestal vs. channel**

**Gain vs. Channel**

**Normal gain** (slope 1\textsuperscript{st} fit) fluctuations due to non-uniformity of the breakdown voltage.

**Lower gain** (slope 2\textsuperscript{nd} fit) due to VATA saturation.
Monte Carlo simulation data vs. test beam data
Light yield
• New ASIC from IDEAS for space applications

• Prototype chip commissioned by ESA and the University of Geneva.

• 16 negative current and 16 positive current inputs
  • Negative inputs can handle large current (-16/-8/-4/-0.4 nC)
  • Positive inputs have 3 programmable individual gains (+40/+4/+0.4 pC)

• **12-bits ADC included.**

• Programmable shaping time: 200, 400, 800, 1600 ns

• Programmable hold timing: 68 ns - 4.7 µs

• One line to readout and digitize a PT100 temperature sensor.

• One single power supply voltage: **3.3 V.**

• It can provide in output only the channels with a signal higher than a programmed threshold (one for each channel) → Data reduction at ASIC level!

• 16 mW power consumption, *i.e.* 1 mW per channel.
SIPHRA results

ADC vs. PIXEL

ADC = 2324.1 + 10.7 \cdot PIXEL

Pedestal vs. channel

Gain vs. Channel

Not corrected for beam telescope resolution

\[ \sigma = 68.2 \ \mu m \]