



# The CMS Outer Tracker for the High Luminosity LHC

#### Erik Butz for the CMS Collaboration

Institute of Experimental Particle Physics (ETP)



# **High Luminosity LHC**





Luminosity upgrade for post-LS3 running

- 4000 fb<sup>-1</sup> integrated luminosity
- Peak luminosity ~7.5x10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- Pile-up of up to 200
- Hit rates up to 3 GHz/cm<sup>2</sup> in innermost layers (~3 cm)
- Inner layers of pixel detectors (r = few cm) fluences in excess of 10<sup>16</sup> MeV neutron equivalent/cm<sup>2</sup>
  - Even outer layers "far away" from interaction point will see >10<sup>14</sup> MeV neutron equivalent/cm<sup>2</sup>

\*) The CMS High Granularity Calorimeter for the High Luminosity LHC,Rachel Yohay, today 14:00, EI7 The CMS ECAL Phase-2 Upgrade for High Precision Timing and Energy Measurements, Federico Ferri, today 17:45, EI9 already yesterday: Development of the CMS Mip Timing Detector, Marco Toliman Lucchini



# Why change the current tracker?



- Many layers of current strip tracker will become in-operational because of either leakage current or full depletion voltage limitations at 1 ab-1
  - $\rightarrow$  full tracker replacement needed early in Run-4 at the latest



Phase-0 Outer Tracker at 1000 fb<sup>-1</sup> at -20°C coolant set point

### **CMS Outer Tracker – post LS3**





How do we get from here\*)...

... to here ?

\*) Operational Experience of the Phase-1 CMS Pixel Detector, Benedikt Vormwald, today, 16:55, EI7









- TB2S = Tracker Barrel [with] 2S [Modules]
- TBPS = Tracker Barrel [with] PS [Modules]
- TEDD = Tracker Endcap Double Disk





Coverage up to  $\eta \sim 2.5$ 





Coverage up to  $\eta \sim 2.5$ 

Tracking  $\rightarrow$  with pixel up to  $\eta \sim 4$ 

L1 triggering





Coverage up to  $\eta \sim 2.5$ 

Tracking  $\rightarrow$  with pixel up to  $\eta \sim 4$ 

L1 triggering

Tilted geometry for better trigger performance and reduction in #modules





Coverage up to  $\eta \sim 2.5$ 

Tracking  $\rightarrow$  with pixel up to  $\eta \sim 4$ 

L1 triggering

Tilted geometry for better trigger performance and reduction in #modules

Combination of micro-strips and macro-pixels

# Tracks at L1



- $\rightarrow$  be more selective already at L1
- $\rightarrow$  solution: include tracks into L1 decision
- Central concept: pT modules
  - Two silicon sensors with small spacing in a module
  - Flex hybrid concept to get data from both sensors to one ASIC → select track "stubs"
- Different sensor spacing for different parts of the detector
- Acceptance window can be tuned
- Track selection threshold: 2 GeV







Prototype hybrid



### **Tilted Barrel Geometry**





Track stubs that cross different modules in lower and upper sensor are lost
With tilted geometry ineffiencies are recovered

#### Geometry from technical proposal









Only two basic type of modules (compare to 15 in phase-0 CMS tracker)

#### 2S Modules

Two strip sensors with 5 cm x 90  $\mu$ m strips

Sensor is  $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$  large  $\rightarrow$  two sets of strips

**PS** Modules  $\rightarrow$  Module with one (Macro-)Pixel and one strip sensor

Sensor size: 5x10 cm<sup>2</sup>

Strips: 2.5 cm x 100 μm

Macro Pixels: 1.5 mm x 100 μm



Only two basic type of modules (compare to 15 in phase-0 CMS tracker)

#### 2S Modules

- Two strip sensors with 5 cm x 90  $\mu$ m strips
- Sensor is  $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$  large  $\rightarrow$  two sets of strips
- **PS** Modules  $\rightarrow$  Module with one (Macro-)Pixel and one strip sensor
  - Sensor size: 5x10 cm<sup>2</sup>
  - Strips: 2.5 cm x 100 μm
  - Macro Pixels: 1.5 mm x 100 μm

## ASICs in the CMS Outer Tracker



2S



### Service electronics on the silicon modules



- Each module has frontend- and service hybrid(s)
- Frontend hybrid houses readout (CBC,SSA,MPA), and concentrator (CiC) chip
- Service hybrid(s) houses:
  - **I**pGBT (low-power Gigabit Transceiver, common development for HL-LHC experiments)
  - VTRx+ (Versatile TRansceiver plus, common development for HL-LHC experiments)
  - DCDC converters (common development for HL-LHC experiments)
  - **Module is the system**  $\rightarrow$  no further card/aggregator between it and backend





#### Multi-stage DCDC conversion

- Same amount of space available in service channels for cables → more power through ~same cable x-section
- Aim to reduce losses on the cables as much as possible (reminder: today 1.25/2.5 V directly from PSU to modules!)
- Supply voltage from PSU 10-12 V
- First stage DCDC converter 10-12 V  $\rightarrow$  2.5 V

Second stage DCDC converters 2.5 V  $\rightarrow$  1.2 V, 2.5 V  $\rightarrow$  1.0 V

### **Material Budget**





## **Material Budget**



1.6

1.8

2.0

2.2

2.4 2.6

η

Despite increased number of channels, material budget much reduced compared to phase-0/1 detector

n

#### Main ingredients

- **DCDC** converters
- Fewer layers
- Lighter materials
- Optimized service routing
- CO2 cooling

X/X

1.4

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0

0

**Inclined** geometry

CMS Simulation



0.5

lη

### Backend



DTC ( = Data, Trigger and Control ) boards readout and control modules

Based on ACTA standard

Bi-directional optical links

- 2.56 Gb/s DTC  $\rightarrow$  Module
  - clock, trigger, fast-commands and programming
- **5.12 or 10.24 Gb/s Module**  $\rightarrow$  **DTC** (depending occupancy/position in the detector)

L1 data (stubs) and DAQ data (detector payload)

- L1 data is relayed to track finder modules at 40 MHz
  - DAQ data (after L1 decision) read out at 750 kHz

Karlsruhe Institute of Tech

## L1 track finding



Track finding in back-end will be based on FPGAs
L1 tracks will need to be found in ~5 μs

- Two stages:
  - Pattern recognition
  - Track fitting
- Approaches for pattern recognition:
  - Form tracklets from stubs in adjacent layers
  - Find track candidates through Hough-transform approach
- Approaches for track fitting
  - Kalman filter
  - $\chi^2$  minimization
- Last step: duplicate removal



Poster: Level-1 track finding with an all-FPGA system at CMS for the HL-LHC, Kristian Hahn

## L1 track finding – studies and prototypes



- Simulated events as inputs
- Both approaches produce compatible results
- Timing constraints met
  - Time to produce tracks  $< \sim 5 \ \mu s$
  - CMS trigger latency in phase-2: 12.5 μs







**Performance – Track Parameter Reconstruction** 



- Track parameter resolution of phase-2 tracker comparable or better than for phase-0/1 tracker
  - $\rightarrow$  smaller cell size
  - $\rightarrow$  less material



### Performance – Tracking in the presence of pile-up



- High tracking efficiency also in presence of 140 or even 200 pile-up events
  - → around 90% efficiency for tracks with pT > 0.9 GeV with < ~2% fake rate



 $\rightarrow$  dip around || ~ 1.2 being addressed in geometry optimization



### **Summary and Outlook**



- Ambitious upgrade project underway for the CMS Outer Tracker for the HL-LHC running
- Designed to maintained or improve tracking performance compared to current system even in the presence of up to 200 pile-up events
- Deliver tracks above 2 GeV as L1 primitives at 40 MHz
- Several improvements result in the tracker being more performant and yet more light-weight compared to its predecessor



To reconstruct not just this....

....but also this



BACKUP



## Mechanics

- TBPS: (inner barrel part)
  - Flat part: planks
  - Tilted part: rings
  - Detailed studies ongoing for optimal service routing
- TB2S: (outer barrel part)
  - "Ladder" support structures

 $\rightarrow$  support structure to insert them similar to current strip tracker outer barrel

## TEDD (endcap)

- Building blocks: DEE (half disk)
- Final disks are Double-Disks to be hermetic also with rectangular module geometry



## Cooling



- Total power of the CMS Phase-2 outer tracker: 100 kW
- CMS Outer Tracker will use CO2 cooling
  - Low mass pipe work
  - 📕 Lighter liquid
  - High heat transfer
  - Environmentally friendly
- Already successfully used in LHCb, ATLAS IBL, CMS Phase-1 Pixel

#### Downside

- High operating pressure BUT
- Stored energy (pressure X volume) comparable to other refrigerants
- Several identical cooling units in service cavern
  - Redundancy (allow single cooling plants to be under maintenance or repair)
- Distribution
  - Transfer lines to experimental cavern
  - First manifolds in accessible locations on Experimental Cavern balconies
  - Further splitting to capillaries inside detector volume



Schematics of CO2 cooling (here from CMS Phase 1 pixel)







# **High Luminosity LHC**





## **Integrated Particle Fluence**





3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> at 14 TeV