
CERN

Radiation environment assessment in the FCChh and FCCee machines



DRAFT

Angelo Infantino, Ruben Garcia Alia, Markus Brugger, Francesco Cerutti

CERN

FLUKA Team & R2E-Project

With additional inputs from: Mohammad Varasteh (EN-STI-BMI), Maria Ilaria Besana (EN-STI-BMI), Andrea Tsinganis (EN-STI-BMI), Luigi Salvatore Esposito (EN-STI-BMI), Eleftherios Skordis (EN-STI-BMI), Cristina Bahamonde Castro (EN-STI-BMI), Anton Lechner (EN-STI-BMI), Jacqueline Keintzel (BE-ABP-HSS), Volker Mertens (TE), Fani Valchkova-Georgieva (EN-ACE-INT), Roman Martin (BE-ABP-LAT), Andrea Apollonio (TE-MPE-PE), Arto Niemi (BE-ICS), Roberto Kersevan (TE-VSC-VSM)

Outline

Introduction

R_2E-FLUKA studies

- **FCChh**
  - **Arc**
  - **Detector**
  - **Experimental Insertion Region (IP A and G)**
  - **Betatron cleaning (IP J)**
- **FCCee**
  - **Arc**
- **HE-LHC**

Radiation Levels

- ✓ **Total Ionizing Dose**
- ✓ **High Energy Hadrons fluence**
- ✓ **1MeV neutron equivalent fluence**
- ✓ **Comparison with LHC/HL-LHC**

Summary

DISCLOSURE:
Due to time constraints, only results will be presented in this talk. More information about the FLUKA models/simulations are reported in the backup-slides or related talks.

“R2E”: What, How, Why?

Radiation to Electronics -> Coordinates studies to minimize all risks of radiation-induced failures at CERN accelerators

+20 years



R2E strategies and actions



Relevant quantities for R2E-studies



SINGLE EVENT EFFECTS

Stochastic Effects (hard to predict) proportional to ***High Energy Hadrons (HEH) fluence***. LHC absolute levels are high, even in shielded areas (neutrons). Most effects are constant with scaling but they can also increase (proton direct ionization, etc.).

DETERMINISTIC EFFECTS

Cumulative effects (easy to predict) proportional to ***Total Ionizing Dose (TID)***. LHC absolute values typically not critical (especially in shielded areas). Scaling of components positive for TID (smaller oxides).

DISPLACEMENT DAMAGE

Cumulative effects proportional to ***1MeV neutron equivalent fluence***. Relevant for the experiments (detectors).

FCChh: DETECTOR (IP A and G)

FCChh: Detector (FCC Week 2017)



Simulations courtesy of
Maria Ilaria Besana (EN-STI-BMI)
Ref: FCC Week 2017

FCChh: Detector (FCC Week 2017)



Simulations courtesy of Maria Ilaria Besana (EN-STI-BMI)

FCChh: ARC

FCChh: ARC (FCC Week 2017)





Ref: A. Infantino et al. (FCC Week 2017)

Note: 10^7 seconds in data taking (both plot)

FCChh: ARC/ALCOVE (FCC Week 2017)





- ✓ Simulations *triggered and finalized* the design of dedicated alcoves for the electronics
- ✓ Studies of *R2E-quantities* -> HEH fluence & DOSE *~3-4* LHC RE areas*
- ✓ *Vacuum quality*: *~10^{15} H_2/m^3* (pessimistic scenario) -> A better vacuum quality can further reduce the radiation levels

**See LHC Project note 363*

FCChh: ARC/ALCOVE (FCC Week 2017)







- ✓ *Differential particle distribution in energy* for SEE rate calculation
- ✓ *Unknown* SEE-cross section
- ✓ Tunnel: *HEH fluence* drives the SEE rate -> potential *direct ionization* from charged particle *[A. Infantino et al., IEEE Transactions On Nuclear Science, 64(1), 2017]*
- ✓ Alcove: particle environment *dominated by neutrons* -> *indirect ionization*

FCChh: EXPERIMENTAL INSERTION REGION (IP A and G)

FCChh: Experimental Insertion Region



HEH

Normalization

HEH fluence: Normalized to ultimate conditions and worst case year ($2500fb^{-1}$/year)

DOSE/1MeV n_{eq} fluence: Normalized to ultimate conditions $L_{int}=30ab^{-1}$



DOSE



Ref: A. Infantino et al. (FCC Week 2018)

FCChh: Experimental Insertion Region





$$\frac{7000\ kGy}{200\ kGy} = 35 < \frac{30000 fb^{-1}}{3000 fb^{-1}} \times \left(\frac{50TeV}{7TeV}\right)^{m=0.8} \cong 48$$

Scaling: Exponent m=0.75-0.87
Ref: T.A. Gabriel et al., NIM A338 (1994) 336-347.

HL-LHC courtesy of Andrea Tsinganis (EN-STI-BMI)

FCChh: Experimental Insertion Region





$$\frac{4.8\times10^{14}\ cm^{-2}}{1.0\times10^{13}\ cm^{-2}} = 48 \approx \frac{30000 fb^{-1}}{3000 fb^{-1}} \times \left(\frac{50TeV}{7TeV}\right)^{m=0.8} \cong 48$$

HL-LHC courtesy of Andrea Tsinganis (EN-STI-BMI)

FCChh: Experimental Insertion Region



FCChh: Experimental Insertion Region



Note: *Artefact to speed-up the simulation!*

FCChh: Experimental Insertion Region



Note: white part just out of the scale, i.e. <10^7 HEH cm^{-2}

~10^8-10^9 cm^{-2} -> same as HL-LHC!!!



Disclosure:

*This is an **extreme case** to show how it could be possible to reuse the space available in the tunnel!*
*A **real optimized engineering solution** must be discussed in the IOWG and iterate with different groups (CE, RP, CV, EL, ….).*
*A factor **10-100x** HL-LHC UJ can be expected **in real life**.*

HL-LHC courtesy of Andrea Tsinganis (EN-STI-BMI)
Ref: CERN-2017-007-M, p.278-279

FCChh: BETATRON CLEANING (IP J)

Results: Total Ionizing Dose





Note: 2D map refers to Beam 1 only at the level of the second TCP

Results: Total Ionizing Dose





	FCC		HL-LHC		FCC/HL
TC(X)	$\|Z\|^*$[m]	D[kGy]	$\|Z\|^*$[m]	D[kGy]	
TCP	1010	430	200	80	**5.4**
TCS	810	980	160	100	**9.8**
TCAP	725	1330	145	80	**16.6**

Note: *numbers rounded for presentation purposes; *absolute distance from the IP*

A few considerations…

- **HL-LHC:** *$7x10^{15}$ lost p/year/beam* based on intensity scaling
- **FCC:**
  - Assumption of *10^{16} lost p/year/beam*: beam intensity difference FCC/HL < 2
  - *Shift of the peak dose* w.r.t. HL-LHC: *Skew TPC removed*, *TCP jaws shorten* (60->30cm) and *thicken* (2.5->3.5cm), *TCS thicken*

Results: Tentative UJs/RRs alcove



FCC (UJ): ***Expected ~10x Dose and ~10^3x HEH impinging the entrance wall -> To be discussed in IOWG***

Tunnel: **100cm Fe**
RR73: **40cm Fe + 40cm CC**

UJ76: **40cm Fe + 200cm CC**

LHC IR7 courtesy of Cristina Bahamonde Castro (EN-STI-BMI)

FCChh: Summary

What we have:

- ✓ Excellent coverage of the machine with *several IPs already studied*:
  - *High-luminosity experiments* (IP A and G): detector + insertion region
  - *Arc*: FLUKA-R2E studies crucial in the design & optimization of safe-areas for electronics
  - *Betatron cleaning* (IP J): Overview of the radiation levels

What we need:

- ❑ *Detector*: update?
- ❑ EIR: Need for a suitable solution for *safe-areas for electronics* (UJ/RR-like? embedded alcove? Junction tunnel?)
- ❑ Betatron cleaning:
  - iterate in the IOWG for a *dedicated infrastructure* design which fits R2E-needs
  - *DS*: typically of interest for R2E
- ❑ *Dump* (IP D): dedicated studies are necessary, but information needed for R2E-studies are still partially available
- ❑ *Injection*: no specific requests at moment

FCCee: ARC

FCCee: Arc (FCC Week 2016)

Cell top view:





Dipole:



Absorber:





✓ Dose in the tunnel up to ~1-2 MGy (difference between internal/external beam due to absorbers)

Note:

Presently, FLUKA cannot simulate e^+/e^- collisions

-> Simulations at IPs cannot be performed!

FCCee: Arc – Update of the model



Courtesy of Roberto Kersevan & Miguel Gil Costa (TE-VSC)

FLUKA



FLUKA model (2018) – Angelo Infantino

More inputs/data are necessary to perform the simulations!

FCCee: Summary

What we have:

- ✓ First estimate (2016) of the radiation levels in the arc close to magnet

What we need:

- ❑ A *major revision/update* of the FCCee FLUKA model is *currently ongoing* in order to take into account:
  - Up-to-date lattice in the arc (usable twiss?)
  - Up-to-date design of magnets
  - Up-to-date vacuum chamber layout and absorbers
  - Up-to-date infrastructure (tunnel)
- ❑ With all the necessary inputs, an updated study might be performed for the second revision of the CDR
- ❑ *Event generator* for e^+/e^- collisions at IP -> long term -> *manpower!*

HE-LHC

HE-LHC

- *General lack* of results/available studies up to a few weeks ago
- *Already some requests* on the table of the FLUKA team but:
  - Simulations rely on inputs/data coming from different groups (optics, CE, vacuum, magnets, etc) -> *up to today, many information are missing*!
  - Need of resources in terms of *manpower* to cover all the requests (both R2E and non-R2E related studies)

What we have:

What we need:

- *ARC (Beam-gas interactions)*:
  - Already on the table of FLUKA team
  - Shopping list: Optics? Magnets? Vacuum?
  - Adapted tunnel layout available -> Radiation levels to be verified
- Other IR: EIR? Betatron cleaning? Dump?
- Need of *manpower* to cover all the FCC/HE-LHC related studies

Summary

Take-Home Message:

- ✓ *Consolidated* experience within the FLUKA team in R2E-related studies, particularly the evaluation of the *radiation levels* in critical areas for electronics -> e.g. *strong impact* in the design/optimization of *alcoves* in the FCChh arc
- ✓ *FLUKA* simulation allow for an *accurate modelling of the particle transport at (very) high energy* taking into account all the physics effects, the source term (*particle debris*, *direct losses*, *beam-gas interaction*, *synchrotron radiation*), beam optics and the actual geometry of the infrastructures
- ✓ Monte Carlo simulation is a very powerful tool but rely on the accurate description of the physics problem to be studied, i.e. *information from different groups are needed to perform accurate simulations!*
- ✓ *FCChh*:
  - Good coverage of the main critical areas for electronics
  - Radiation levels expected to be factors higher than HL-LHC -> dedicated alcove for electronics and R2E-qualification strategies are needed
  - FLUKA simulation already used in the design/optimization of safe-areas for electronics
- ✓ *FCCee*: major update of the arc model is ongoing -> simulations ready by II-review of the CDR (if all inputs acquired)
- ✓ *HE-LHC*: requests already on the table of the FLUKA tam but several information are missing to perform simulations
- ✓ A *considerable* amount of work has been done in these years within the FLUKA team and even more is expected in the short-mid term -> *manpower is needed to cover all these studies!*

Summary


Construction
Relocation & Shielding
Mitigation
Prevention
LHC
Design
Prototype & QA
Construction
Physics

www.cern.ch

Angelo Infantino

CERN EN-STI-BMI

FLUKA Team - R2E Project

@ angelo.infantino@cern.ch

www.cern.ch

BACKUP SLIDES

List of related talks

❑ FCChh

- R. Garcia Alia et al., *Radiation Hardness of Electronics in the FCC*. FCC Week 2016.
- A. Infantino et al., *FLUKA Monte Carlo modelling of the FCC arc cell: radiation environment and energy deposition due to beam-gas interaction*. FCC Week 2017.
- R. Garcia Alia et al., *Status overview of the radiation hardness assurance studies for FCC*. FCC Week 2017.
- M.I. Besana et al., *Radiation environment*. FCC Week 2017.
- M.I. Besana et al., *Update on Energy Deposition for L* 40 m*. WP3 meeting.
- A. Infantino et al., *Baseline EIR: Energy Deposition Studies for L* = 40m*. EuroCirCol Meeting.
- M.I. Besana et al., *Energy deposition studies: 30cm TCPs with thicker jaws and no skew*. FCC collimation design meeting #14
- J. Keintzel et al., *Updated picture of the collision debris impact on the FCChh triplet-D2 region*. FCChh General Design meeting
- A. Infantino et al., *Radiation environment assessment in the Experimental Insertion Region and Betatron Cleaning*. FCC Week 2018.
- M. Varasteh et al., *Energy Deposition in the FCC-hh Betatron Cleaning Insertion Region*. FCC Week 2018
- R. Garcia Alia et al., *Overview of radiation hardness assurance studies for FCC*. FCC Week 2018.

❑ FCCee

- R. Kersevan et al., *FCC-ee Vacuum Effects and Simulations*. FCC Week 2016.

FCChh: Radiation Levels in the Tunnel





Scoring:

- *Average HEH & DOSE profile*
- Cartesian mesh: *power converter location*
- Normalization: *10^7 s data taking*

Note: Statistical uncertainty < 10%



Note: Statistical uncertainty < 2%



FCChh: Radiation Levels in the Alcove





- ✓ *Convergence* of the simulation very slow compared with HEH -> *more efforts* were needed
- ✓ Dose at the *entrance of the maze ~3-6* LHC RE areas*

Note: EMF ON; Preliminary ~38k primary; Final 150k primary + average in the alcove

*See LHC Project note 363

FCChh: EIR - Total Ionizing Dose





HL-LHC courtesy of Andrea Tsinganis (EN-STI-BMI)
Ref: CERN-2017-007-M, p.278-279

FCChh: EIR - High Energy Hadrons fluence





HL-LHC courtesy of Andrea Tsinganis (EN-STI-BMI)
Ref: CERN-2017-007-M, p.278-279

FCChh: EIR - 1MeV Neutron Equivalent fluence





$$\frac{7 \times 10^{16}\ cm^{-2}}{1 \times 10^{15}\ cm^{-2}} = 70 > \frac{30000 fb^{-1}}{3000 fb^{-1}} \times \left(\frac{50TeV}{7TeV}\right)^{m=0.8} \cong 48$$

HL-LHC courtesy of Andrea Tsinganis (EN-STI-BMI)

FCChh: EIR - Tentative UJs/RRs alcove



Disclosure:

*This is an **extreme case** to show how it could be possible to reuse the space available in the tunnel! A **real optimized engineering solution** must be discussed in the IOWG and iterate with different groups (CE, RP, CV, EL, ….).*

FCChh: Betatron - High Energy Hadrons fluence





Note: 2D map refers to Beam 1 only at the level of the second TCP