



The Electron Ion Collider: Science and Status

Abhay Deshpande

Stony Brook University & Brookhaven National Laboratory Epiphany 2019, Krakow, Poland January 11th, 2019

January 11th, 2019

Deep Inelastic Scattering: Precision & Control



Inclusive events: $e+p/A \rightarrow e'+X$

<u>Semi-Inclusive events</u>: $e+p/A \rightarrow e'+h(\pi,K,p,jet)+X$

Exclusive events: $e+p/A \rightarrow e'+p'/A'+h(\pi,K,p,jet)$

QCD Landscape to be explored by EIC





A new facility is needed to investigate, with precision, the dynamics of gluons & sea quarks and their role in the structure of visible matter

How are the sea quarks and gluons, and their spins, distributed in space and momentum inside the nucleon? How do the nucleon properties emerge from them and their interactions?



How does a dense nuclear environment affect the quarks and gluons, their correlations, and their interactions? What happens to the gluon density in nuclei? Does it saturate at high energy, giving rise to a gluonic matter with universal properties in all nuclei, even the proton?



How do color-charged quarks and gluons, and colorless jets, interact with a nuclear medium? How do the confined hadronic states emerge from these quarks and gluons? How do the quark-gluon interactions create nuclear binding?



gluon emission

gluon recombination



The US Electron Ion Collider Project:

REACHING FOR THE HORIZON



The 2015 LONG RANGE PLAN for NUCLEAR SCIENCE

6

RECOMMENDATION:

We recommend a high-energy highluminosity polarized EIC as the highest priority for new facility construction following the completion of FRIB.

Initiatives:

Theory Detector & Accelerator R&D

Detector R&D money ~1.3M/yr since 2011; significant increase anticipated soon.

Anticipated Now: NEW Money for EIC Accelerator R&D already assigned \$7m/yr

http://science.energy.gov/np/reports

NAS Committee Statement of Task from DOE/NSF to NAS (End of 2016)

The committee will assess the scientific justification for a U.S. domestic electron ion collider facility, taking into account current international plans and existing domestic facility infrastructure. In preparing its report, the committee will address the role that such a facility could play in the future of nuclear physics, considering the field broadly, but placing emphasis on its potential scientific impact on quantum chromodynamics.

NAS Committee Statement of Task from DOE/NSF to NAS (End of 2016)

The committee will assess the scientific justification for a U.S. domestic electron ion collider facility, taking into account current international plans and existing domestic facility infrastructure. In preparing its report, the committee will address the role that such a facility could play in the future of nuclear physics, considering the field broadly, but placing emphasis on its potential scientific impact on quantum chromodynamics.

In particular, the committee will address the following questions:

- What is the merit and significance of the science that could be addressed by an electron ion collider facility and what is its importance in the overall context of research in nuclear physics and the physical sciences in general?
- What are the capabilities of other facilities, existing and planned, domestic and abroad, to address the science opportunities afforded by an electron-ion collider?
- What unique scientific role could be played by a domestic electron ion collider facility that is complementary to existing and planned facilities at home and elsewhere?
- What are the benefits to U.S. leadership in nuclear physics if a domestic electron ion collider were constructed?
- What are the benefits to other fields of science and to society of establishing such a facility in the United States?

EIC Science Endorsed Unanimously by the NAS



The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

CONSENSUS STUDY REPORT

AN ASSESSMENT OF U.S.-BASED ELECTRON-ION COLLIDER SCIENCE



A consensus report July 26, 2018

Developed by US QCD community over two decades

Developed by NAS with broad science perspective

EIC science and required luminosity



The US Electron Ion Collider Project: Abhay Deshpande

Con

In order to definitively answer the compelling scientific questions elaborated in Chapter 2, including the origin of the mass and spin of the nucleon and probing the role of gluons in nuclei, a new accelerator facility is required, an electron-ion collider (EIC) with unprecedented capabilities beyond previous electron scattering programs. An EIC must enable the following:

- Extensive center-of-mass energy range, from ~20-~100 GeV, upgradable to ~140 GeV, to map the transition in nuclear properties from a dilute gas of quarks and gluons to saturated gluonic matter.
- Ion beams from deuterons to the heaviest stable nuclei.
- Luminosity on the order of 100 to 1,000 times higher than the earlier electron-proton collider Hadron-Electron Ring Accelerator (HERA) at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), to allow unprecedented three-dimensional (3D) imaging of the gluon and sea quark distributions in nucleons and nuclei.
- Spin-polarized (~70 percent at a minimum) electron and proton/light-ion beams to explore the correlations of gluon and sea quark distributions with the overall nucleon spin. Polarized colliding beams have been achieved before only at HERA (with electrons and positrons only) and Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC; with protons only).







NAS Study endorses machine parameters suggested by the 2012 White Paper and

2015 NSAC Long Range Plan

Uniqueness of EIC among all DIS Facilities



Uniqueness of EIC among all DIS Facilities



Uniqueness of EIC among all DIS Facilities



All DIS facilities in the world.

However, if we ask for:

- high luminosity & wide reach in \sqrt{s}
- polarized lepton & hadron beams
- nuclear beams

EIC stands out as unique facility ...



Consensus Study Report on the US based Electron Ion Collider

Summary:

The science questions that an EIC will answer are central to completing an understanding of atoms as well as being integral to the agenda of nuclear physics today. In addition, the development of an EIC would advance accelerator science and technology in nuclear science; it would as well benefit other fields of accelerator based science and society, from medicine through materials science to elementary particle physics

Critical Decision Process DOE

	PROJECT ACQUISITION PROCESS AND CRITICAL DECISIONS											
	Project Planning Phase				Project Execution Phase					Mission		
F (1	Preconceptual Planning		Conceptual Design		Preliminary Design		Final Design	Cons	Construction		ations	Technical
Expected Soon (2019)		i CD-0 C		i CD	i i -1 CD-2 CD-3)-3	i 3 CD-4			feasibility (~2029)
	Mis		Approve Appro ssion Need Prelimin Baseline I		ve Approve Approve Sta nary Performance Construct Range Baseline			uction	art of Approve Start of tion Operations or Project Closeout			
CD-0			CD	-1		CD)-2		CD-	3		CD-4
CD-0 Actions Autho	orize	d by C	CD- Critic	-1 al Dec	cision Ap	CD oprova	D-2 al		CD-	3		CD-4

The EIC Machines: eRHIC and JLEIC Two designs

January 11th, 2019

pCDR eRHIC Design Concept



♦Hadron Beam

♦ entirely re-uses injection chain and one of RHIC rings (Yellow ring)
♦ partially re-uses components of other ion RHIC ring
♦ A \$2.5B investment in RHIC is reused

Electron Accelerator added inside the existing RHIC tunnel:

- ♦ 5-18 GeV Storage Ring
- ♦ On-energy injector: 18 GeV Rapid Cycling Synchrotron
- ♦ Polarized electron source & 400 MeV injector LINAC: 10nC, 1 Hz



 \Rightarrow Hadron cooling system required for $L=10^{34}cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ Without cooling the peak luminosity reaches 4.4 $10^{33}cm^{-2}s^{-1}$

♦ Wide Center of mass energy: 29-140 GeV

Large acceptance detectors integrated in the accelerator IR for forward particle detectors

♦ Polarized e, p, D and ³He beams planned for the physics program

eRHIC – IR layout

IR design requirements:

- Small β* for high luminosity
- Limited IR chromaticity contributions
- Large final focus quadrupole aperture
- Large detector acceptance
 - → Large quadrupole aperture, limited beam divergence
- Accommodate spectrometer in the low- β optics
- No accelerator magnets +/-4.5 m
- 25 mrad crossing angle, crab crossing, crab cavities 90° from IP
- Avoid synchrotron radiation:
 - no electron bends on the forward side
 - absorb SR far from IP
 - need mask against backscattered SR photons
- Accommodate spin rotators, spin matching
- Space for luminosity monitor, neutron detector, "Roman Pots"
 - → Design meets all requirements
 - → Very constrained systems, requires novel types of magnets in the IR

C. Montag talk at EIC Collab meeting, Oct 2018



• Multi-stage separation:

- Electrons from protons
- Protons from neutrons
- Electrons from Bethe-Heitler photons (luminosity monitor)

eRHIC Design Luminosity

Peak Luminosity vs CME green: Nominal design (with cooling) blue: Risk mitigation (no hadron cooling)



Path to the high luminosity: ♦ High beam currents ♦Many bunches (up to 1320) ♦Large beam-beam tune-shift ♦Flat beams \diamond Short hadron bunches (5-7 cm) 25 mrad crossing angle with crab cavities ♦Strong hadron cooling for highest luminosity (10^{34}) Luminosity limiting factors are based on

experience from previous and present colliders (HERA, RHIC, B-factories, LHC)

January 11th, 2019

eRHIC pCDR has been completed in July 2018

(Submitted to DOE as requested by them)

The **eRHIC Pre-Conceptual Design Report has been finalized** in the end of July 2018. The detailed document (~770 pages)

- Presents accelerator design which fully satisfies physics requirements
- Summarizes results of accelerator physics studies which validates reaching goal luminosity and high polarization
- Includes sufficiently deep description of accelerator systems, providing good basis for ongoing cost estimate work
- Evaluates required improvements in BNL/RHIC infrastructure

Presently available for eRHIC designers and collaborators. The public release is being coordinated with the Lab Management and DOE.



January 11th, 2019

JLEIC electron-ion collider design – built up on CEBAF

- CEBAF 12 GeV : JLEIC injector
 - -Fast fill of collider ring
 - -Full energy
 - -~90% polarization
 - -Enables top-off
- New operation mode but no hardware modifications

Up to 12 GeV to JLEIC

> Electron complex: CEBAF as a full energy injector, Electron collider ring

Ion complex: Ion source, SRF linac, Booster, Ion collider ring





JLEIC Interaction Region

- Integrated detector region design developed satisfying requirements of detection, beam dynamics and geometric match
- GEANT4 detector model developed, simulations in progress



JLEIC luminosity curves



JLEIC e-p average luminosity for the 65 GeV CM optimized design JLEIC e-p average luminosity for 100 GeV CM collider design and its 140 GeV CM upgrade. Work-in-progress.

Current EIC General Purpose Detector Concepts



The EIC Users Group: <u>EICUG.ORG</u>

Formally established in 2016 837 Ph.D. Members from 30 countries, 177 institutions (Significant International interest ~32% Europe. ~17% Asia)





EICUG Structures in place and active.

EIC UG Steering Committee (w/ European Representative) EIC UG Institutional Board EIC UG Speaker's Committee (w/European Rep.)

Task forces on:

- -- Beam polarimetry
- -- Luminosity measurement
- -- Background studies
- -- IR Design

Annual meetings: Stony Brook (2014), Berkeley (2015), ANL (2016), Trieste (2017), CAU (2018), Paris (2019)

January 11th, 2019

EIC support, outreach and other news

European High Energy Physics Strategic Planning:

Rolf Ent (Jlab), Rik Yoshida(Jlab) and Abhay Deshpande (SBU/BNL) went to CERN in October 2018 to meet with Eckhard Elsen (CERN's Research and Technology Director)

- Very well informed about the US EIC status, very supportive, suggested strong involvement and input on EIC in the European High Energy Strategy Planning activity (ongoing now)
- EICUG presenting a science paper (led by its European contingent), and an accelerator design paper led by BNL and Jlab together
- EIC at the Plenary session of ECFA meeting November 2018

Recent success in funding of EIC as part of the Hadron studies (Hadron2020) in European Nuclear Physics \$Eu 12M (Saclay, INFN, Warsaw-group and others) over 3 years

A Consortium of 5 California Universities and 3 national labs supported by UC Chancellor's office for EIC in addition to direct monies forwarded by the States of New York and Virginia.

Summary: US EIC has momentum...

- The US EIC project has significant momentum on all fronts right now:
 - National Academy's positive evaluation → EIC science compelling, fundamental and timely
 - EICUG is energized, active and enthusiast: organized
 - EICUG led working groups on polarimetry, luminosity measurement, IR design evolving
 - Funding agencies taking note of the momentum: not just in the US but also internationally
- The science of EIC, technical designs (eRHIC and JLEIC) moving forward
 - Pre-CDRs prepared by BNL (eRHIC) and Jlab (under preparation) for the machine designs
 - CFNS, EIC² Centers established in the US to help EIC Users
- CD0 for the EIC project very near. We are waiting...



2+1 Dimensional Structure of the Proton





2+1 D partonic image of the proton with the EIC

Spin-dependent 3D momentum space images from semi-inclusive scattering

Transverse Momentum Distributions





Spin-dependent 2D coordinate space (transverse) + 1D (longitudinal momentum) images from exclusive scattering

Transverse Position Distributions



EIC physics with nuclei













At Qs

gluon

emission

000000 000000



EIC: Kinematic reach & properties



For e-A collisions at the EIC: ✓ Wide range in nuclei

- ✓ Lum. per nucleon same as e-p
- ✓ Variable center of mass energy
- ✓ Wide x range (evolution)
- Wide x region (reach high gluon densities)

For e-N collisions at the EIC:

- ✓ **Polarized** beams: e, p, d/³He
- ✓ Variable center of mass energy
- ✓ Wide Q² range → evolution
- ✓ Wide x range → spanning valence to low-x physics



The US Electron Ion Coll

eRHIC and JLEIC key parameters at maximum Luminosity points

design	eRH	IC	JLEIC		
parameter	proton	electron	proton	electron	
center-of-mass energy [GeV]	10	5	44.7		
energy [GeV]	275	10	100	5	
number of bunches	132	20	3228		
particles per bunch $[10^{10}]$	6.0	15.1	0.98	3.7	
beam current [A]	1.0	2.5	0.75	2.8	
horizontal emittance [nm]	9.2	20.0	4.7	5.5	
vertical emittance [nm]	1.3	1.0	0.94	1.1	
β_x^* [cm]	90	42	6	5.1	
β_{y}^{*} [cm]	4.0	5.0	1.2	1	
tunes (Q_x, Q_y)	.315/.305	.08/.06	.081/.132	.53/.567	
hor. beam-beam parameter	0.013	0.064	0.015	0.068	
vert. beam-beam parameter	0.007	0.1	0.015	0.068	
IBS growth time hor./long. [min]	126/120	n/a	0.7/2.3	n/a	
synchrotron radiation power [MW]	n/a	9.2	n/a	2.7	
bunch length [cm]	5	1.9	1	1	
hourglass and crab reduction factor	0.8	37	0.87		
peak luminosity $[10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$	1.0	5	2.1		
integrated luminosity/week [fb ⁻¹]	4.5	1	9.0		

Assumptions on integrated luminosity: Accelerator is 75% of the time in colliding beam mode; 25% of the time is needed for injection, acceleration, run preparation as well as maintenance, machine development, and failures. The average luminosity within a luminosity run is very close to the peak luminosity (> 95%) due to strong hadron cooling.

January 11th, 2019