

Non-linear Electrodynamics for astrophysical plasmas

Marcelo Rubio

Instituto de Astronomía Teórica y Experimental
CONICET
Observatorio Astronómico de Córdoba
Córdoba, Argentina

SMFNS 2019, Varadero, Cuba
May 10th, 2019

Introduction and Motivations

- ▶ **Non-linear Electrodynamics (NLED)** turns out to be relevant in several contexts:
 - ▶ **QCD**: Light-light scattering leads to non-linear effects due to vacuum polarization (Euler-Heisenberg Lagrangian);
 - ▶ **Condensed matter**: Interaction between the molecules of some dielectrics and crystals and external electromagnetic fields (typically observed at very high light intensities);
 - ▶ **Cosmology and Astrophysics**: non-linearities may play important roles in the description of the dark sector of the Universe, avoidance of singularities, physics of charged black holes, ...
- ▶ In a covariant framework, NLED theories are described from a Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}(F, G)$$

where $F = F^{ab}F_{ab}$ and $G = *F^{ab}F_{ab}$ are the electromagnetic invariants.

- ▶ Maxwell's theory $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L} = -F/4$, which leads to **linear** EOM.

Well-posedness of NLED theories?

- ▶ A crucial aspect to address when considering extensions of well-known theories is the corresponding **Initial-Value problem**. Why?
 - ▶ **Predictability power** of the theory;
 - ▶ Tell us about the **propagation speeds** of info;
 - ▶ **Numerical implementation**: avoidance of mathematical instabilities;
 - ▶ energy estimates
- ▶ **Well-posedness** of a theory implies:
 - ▶ **Existence** (at least for a short time interval);
 - ▶ **Uniqueness** (predictability power);
 - ▶ **Stability** (continuous dependence on the initial data):

$$\|u(t, x)\| \leq Ke^{\alpha t} \|u(0, x)\|$$

- ▶ **Hyperbolicity analysis** of the EOM: set of algebraic conditions that the **principal symbol** of the system must satisfy in order to guarantee a well-posed theory [**Hadamard, Friedrichs, Kreiss, Geroch, ...**]
 - ▶ NLED has **constraint equations**, and thus the evolution equations are generally not uniquely defined. The distinction of an evolution system over the others generally implies a choice of coordinates, and **covariance breaks down**.

Force-Free Electrodynamics

- ▶ Evolution of the electromagnetic field in the presence of a **magnetically dominated plasma**, which are believed to play a key role in the physics of **pulsars** and **AGN's**.
- ▶ In those regimes, the electromagnetic field **dominates** over the matter interactions and its dynamics **decouples** from the matter degrees of freedom, obeying a modified (**non-linear**) version of Maxwell's equations.
- ▶ **Conditions for the FF approximation:**
 - ▶ Justify the presence of the plasma on the surroundings of the central object;
 - ▶ the plasma mass density is **much lower** (by orders of magnitude) than the electromagnetic field energy density.

Force-Free Electrodynamics

- ▶ **Goldreich-Julian:** *“gravitationally induced electric field along magnetic lines of a spinning NS with a dipolar magnetic field is strong enough as to being capable of pulling charges into the surrounding space, thus generating a plasma”* (1969)
- ▶ **Wald** \rightsquigarrow exact solution for vacuum electrodynamics on a stationary and axisymmetric spacetime “immersed” on a uniform magnetic field aligned with the rotation axis (1974)
 - ▶ It also possesses non-zero $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$ near the black hole horizon!
- ▶ **Blandford-Znajek**
 - ▶ vacuum solutions are unstable to a pair production cascade under typical astrophysical situations;
 - ▶ a force-free magnetosphere (with a magnetized accretion disk) would be produced near a rotating black hole (1977)

Force-Free Electrodynamics

- ▶ **Plasma dynamics** is described by the laws of (ideal) Hydrodynamics:

$$\nabla_a N^a = 0, \quad \nabla_a T^{ab} = 0$$

where $T^{ab} = T_{EM}^{ab} + T_{MAT}^{ab}$ and

$$T_{EM}^{ab} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(F^a_c F^{bc} - \frac{1}{4} g^{ab} F_{cd} F^{cd} \right),$$

coupled to (linear) Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla_a F^{ab} = 4\pi J^b, \quad \nabla_{[a} F_{bc]} = 0$$

- ▶ **Force-Free approximation** neglects the matter contribution with respect to the electromagnetic counterpart:

$$T^{ab} \simeq T_{EM}^{ab},$$

which implies, from the local conservation,

$$0 = \nabla_a T^{ab} = \nabla_a T_{EM}^{ab} \propto F^b_c J^c \rightsquigarrow \boxed{F^a_b J^b = 0}$$

Force-Free Electrodynamics

- ▶ From the degeneracy condition $F^a{}_b J^b = 0$ and Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla_a F^{ab} = 4\pi J^b, \quad \nabla_{[a} F_{bc]} = 0,$$

we obtain the **Force-Free equations**

$$F^a{}_b \nabla_c F^{bc} = 0, \quad \nabla_{[a} F_{bc]} = 0$$

- ▶ Algebraic consequences of $F^a{}_b J^b = 0$.
 - ▶ F^{ab} is degenerate $\rightsquigarrow G = 0$
 - ▶ $F > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ Magnetically dominated system!
 - ▶ $\text{Ker}(F^a{}_b)$ is a 2-dimensional integrable surface.
 - ▶ F_{ab} is algebraically simple $\rightsquigarrow F_{ab} = 2\ell^1_{[a}\ell^2_{b]}$.
- ▶ Well-posedness (F. Carrasco, O. Reula [16']) of FF equations is guaranteed when considering F^{ab} as the dynamical variables.
 - ▶ Numerical simulations of truly stationary jets;
 - ▶ Both the aligned and misaligned cases exhibit a collimated Poynting flux along the direction of the asymptotic magnetic field.

Alternative formulation: Euler Potentials

- ▶ **Degeneracy** of F^{ab} and **integrability** of $\text{Ker}(F^a{}_b)$ implies the existence of two scalar functions ϕ_1, ϕ_2 such that

$$F_{ab} = \nabla_a \phi_1 \nabla_b \phi_2 - \nabla_b \phi_1 \nabla_a \phi_2$$

- ▶ ϕ_i are called “**Euler Potentials**”. Using them as dynamical variables, the non-trivial Force-Free equations reduce to

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_a \phi_1 \nabla_c (\nabla^a \phi_1 \nabla^c \phi_2 - \nabla^a \phi_2 \nabla^c \phi_1) = 0 \\ \nabla_a \phi_2 \nabla_c (\nabla^a \phi_1 \nabla^c \phi_2 - \nabla^a \phi_2 \nabla^c \phi_1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Advantages:**

- ▶ There are only two scalar dynamical variables!
- ▶ Suitable for a numerical implementation

Issue:

Does FFE in Euler potentials admit a well-posed initial-value formulation?

- ▶ **Strategy:** analyze the hyperbolicity of an equivalent first-order reduction.

First-order systems

Constant-coefficient case: Consider the system

$$(*) \begin{cases} u_t &= A^i \partial_i u =: P(\partial) u \\ u(x, 0) &= f(x) \end{cases}$$

$u = u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^s$; $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ coordinates; $A^i \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s}$.

► If the initial profile has the form

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\omega \cdot x} \hat{f}(\omega) d^n \omega, \quad \hat{f} \text{ comp. supp.}$$

there is a unique solution, given by

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\omega \cdot x} e^{P(i\omega)t} \hat{f}(\omega) d^n \omega,$$

where $P(i\omega)$ is obtained by identifying $\partial/\partial x_j \leftrightarrow i\omega_j$.

Definition

System $(*)$ is **well posed** if there are constants $K > 0$ and α such that, for $t \geq 0$,

$$|e^{P(i\omega)t}| \leq Ke^{\alpha t}, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}^s.$$

First-order systems

$$\text{W-P} \leftrightarrow |e^{P(i\omega)t}| \leq Ke^{\alpha t} \leftrightarrow |e^{(P(i\omega)-\alpha I)t}| \leq K$$

- ▶ **Issue:** Characterize all matrices A such that $|e^{At}| \leq K$, with K independent of A !
- ▶ **Theorem** (Kreiss, '89). If F is a set of matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \exists K_1 \text{ such that } |e^{At}| \leq K_1 \quad \forall A \in F & \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} & \forall A \in F, s \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} s > 0, \\ & A - sI \text{ is non singular and} \\ & \exists K_2 \text{ such that} \\ & |(A - sI)^{-1}| \leq \frac{K_2}{\operatorname{Re} s} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For general first-order systems, the algebraic characterization for hyperbolicity requires smoothness in the coefficients.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Strang, '66). If the system

$$u_t = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_\alpha(x) D^\alpha u,$$

is well-posed, then the system that results by evaluating A_α on x_0 is also well-posed.

Failure of Kreiss criterion

- ▶ Fourier transformed equations

$$-\varepsilon^{ij} G_{kj} k_0^2 \varphi_i + \varepsilon^{ij} \left[|\vec{k}|^2 G_{kj} - (\vec{\ell}_k \cdot \vec{k})(\vec{\ell}_j \cdot \vec{k}) \right] \varphi_i = 0$$

- ▶ Defining now the variables

$$u_i = k_0 \varphi_i ; \quad v_i = |\vec{k}| \varphi_i$$

the system is equivalent to

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} k_0 & 0 & -\frac{k_1^2+k_3^2}{|\vec{k}|} & -\frac{(\vec{\ell}_1 \cdot \vec{k})(\vec{\ell}_2 \cdot \vec{k})}{|\vec{k}| G_{22}} \\ 0 & k_0 & -\frac{(\vec{\ell}_1 \cdot \vec{k})(\vec{\ell}_2 \cdot \vec{k})}{|\vec{k}| G_{11}} & -\frac{k_2^2+k_3^2}{|\vec{k}|} \\ |\vec{k}| & 0 & -k_0 & 0 \\ 0 & |\vec{k}| & 0 & -k_0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

- ▶ \mathbb{A} is **not diagonalizable** if $k_0 = k_3 = 0!$

Failure of Kreiss criterion

- ▶ For $k_0 = k_3 = 0$, choose $k_1 = k_2 = \sqrt{2}\kappa$, $0 < \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $y, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\mathbb{A} - sI = \begin{pmatrix} -s & 0 & -\kappa & -\alpha\kappa \\ 0 & -s & -\kappa/\alpha & -\kappa \\ 2\kappa & 0 & -s & 0 \\ 0 & 2\kappa & 0 & -s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha := \frac{|\ell_1|}{|\ell_2|} = \text{const.} > 0,$$

- ▶ The inverse was found to be

$$(\mathbb{A} - sI)^{-1} = \frac{1}{s^2(s^2 + 4\kappa^2)} \times \begin{pmatrix} -s(s^2 + 2\kappa^2) & -2\alpha\kappa^2 s & \kappa s^2 & -\alpha\kappa s^2 \\ \frac{2\kappa^2 s}{\alpha} & s(s^2 + 2\kappa^2) & \frac{\kappa s^2}{\alpha} & -\kappa s^2 \\ -2\kappa(s^2 + 2\kappa^2) & -4\alpha\kappa^3 & -s(s^2 + 2\kappa^2) & -2\alpha\kappa^2 \\ \frac{4\kappa^3}{\alpha} & 2\kappa(2\kappa^2 - s^2) & \frac{2\kappa^2 s}{\alpha} & s(s^2 + 2\kappa^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ There are matrix elements such that **do not satisfy Kreiss's bound** for well-posedness!
- ▶ Force-Free Electrodynamics in Euler Potentials **does not have a well-posed initial value formulation.**

Final remarks

- ▶ We studied in detail the initial value problem of Force-Free Electrodynamics using Euler Potentials as the unique dynamical variables of the theory.
- ▶ Unlike the case in which F^{ab} is evolved, this alternative formulation is weakly-hyperbolic, meaning that there are physical modes that grow in a way that cannot be bounded by the corresponding initial data.
- ▶ We conclude that this alternative formulation is not convenient in order to implement the Force-Free equations numerically.
- ▶ As future work, there is the intention to see how does the instabilities of the FFE with Euler Potentials behave, in order to have a better understanding of its dynamical evolution.
- ▶ Using the primitive FFE's, it is possible to simulate astrophysical jets emerging from compact objects, as black holes, neutron stars, pulsars and magnetars.