Anomalies in B decays and charged Higgs search in LHC

Syuhei Iguro (Nagoya-U)

Based on

work in progress w/ Y. Omura(KMI), M. Takeuchi(IPMU)

Nucl.Phys. B925 (2017) 560-606 w/ K. Tobe(KMI,Nagoya-U),

Let me introduce myself in 2 min

- Name: Syuhei Iguro (井黒　就平)
- Position: D1 student
- Birth place: Japan, Tokyo
- Interests: Flavor, Collider, Dark Matter, Neutrino…
- Ambition: 10 papers by 24/7/2020
- I love football,
  most aggressive student in theoretical group (E-lab)



Syuhei Iguro

Name in full (Japanese) : Syuhei Iguro (井黒　就平)
e-mail: iguro@eken.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp
Affiliation: Department of Physics, Nagoya University Theoretical Particle Physics Group (E-ken) :Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya Aichi 464-8602, Japan
Present status: D1 student
Sex: Male
Nationality: Japan
Date of Birth: July 17, 1992
Birth place: Japan, Tokyo
Interests: Flavor, Collider, Dark Matter, Neutrino.....
Ambition: 10 papers, then get PhD in 2Yrs.

Publication

Link to InspreHEP
With Yuji Omura, Yoshihiro Shigekami, Yu Muramatu 1804.07478 :"Flavor physics in the multi-Higgs doublet models induced by the left-right symmetry"
With Yuji Omura 1802.01732, published in JHEP 1805 (2018) 173 :"Status of the semileptonic B decays and muon g-2 in general 2HDMs with right-handed neutrinos"
With Kazuhiro Tobe 1708.07176, publiched in Nucl.Phys. B925 (2017) 560-606 :"R(D^(*)) in a general two Higgs doublet model"

Presentation

Japan Physics Society Meeting 2018@Noda,2017@Osaka
KMI Dark Matter School 2018@Nagoya
KEK-PH 2018
Flavor Physics Workshop 2017@Miura, 2016@Nigata
Workshop on Beyond Standard Model and the Early Universe 2017@Tohoku
PPP@Kyoto 2017
YONUPA Summer School 2017@Tokyo, 2016@Nagano
High Energy Spring School 2017@Siga

Awards

Best postor award @KMI Dark Matter School 2018
Dean's award for the Master course @Graduate School of Science of Nagoya University 2018

Education

March 2016 Bachelor of Science in Physics Nagoya University, Nagoya Japan
March 2018 Master of Science in Physics Nagoya University, Nagoya Japan

Experience of Teaching Assistant

Study councellor for undergradurate students 2016~2017
Adviser of seminor on General Relativity for B1 students 2017
Tutor of "Electromagnetism I" for B1 students 2017~2018
Study councellor for undergradurate students 2018~2019

- For more info: http://www.eken.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp/~iguro/IGURO.html

The easiest way to find me

The easiest way to find me

Key point: sportswear and vivid color

What I do today

I interplay $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{D}^{(*)}\right)$ anomaly and τν resonance search in LHC within a General Two Higgs Doublet Model (G2HDM)

Current status of $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{D}^{(*)}\right)$ anomaly

3.9σ discrepancy

$$\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{D}^{(*)}\right) \equiv \frac{BR\left(B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu\right)}{BR\left(B \to D^{(*)} l\nu\right)}, \quad l = \mu, \mathrm{e}$$



ICHEP 2018

No new result but minor change from last year

$\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D}^*)_{SM} = 0.252$

↓

$\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D}^*)_{SM} = 0.258$

Recently by taking into account the phase space in D* → Dπ, the mode a D* is observed, $R(D^*)_{SM} = 0.272$. This is consistent with Belle and LHCb. J. E. Chavez-Saab et al. 1806.06997

4 body decay

Updating their result, $R(D^*)_{SM} = 0.278$

$R\left(D^{(*)}\right)$ is almost OK?



Naively, H^- is a good candidate.

$$R(D^{(*)}) = \frac{BR(B \to D^{(*)} \tau \nu)}{BR(B \to D^{(*)} l \nu)}$$







Phys. Rev. D 82, 034027 (2010) M.Tanaka, et.al
Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 054014 A. Crivellin, et al.
Nucl.Phys. B925 (2017) 560-606 SI, K. Tobe

Motivation

Why I work on Higgs physics?

Guiding principles

- Simplicity of the model.
- Electroweak precision test
- Extending Higgs sector keeps the gauge anomaly-free condition

General Two Higgs Doublet Model (G2HDM)

- SM Higgs exist!
- Simple extension of scalar sector
- STU parameter is controllable
- Flavor violating Yukawa could exist

Rich flavor phenomenology

Motivation

Guiding princi
- Simplicity of
- Electroweak
- Extending Hi condition

may explain the discrepancies in flavor physics

- $R(D^{(*)})=BR(B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu)/BR(B \to D^{(*)}l\nu)$ today
- muon g-2 Omura, Senaha, Tobe: **JHEP 1505 (2015) 028**
- P'_5 : angular observable in $B \to K^{*}\mu\mu$ If time allows
- $R(K^{(*)})=BR(B \to K^{(*)}\mu\mu)/BR(B \to K^{(*)}ee)$

for a combination of them, see **JHEP 1805 (2018) 173** SI, Y. Omura

- SM Higgs exist!
- Simple extension of scalar sector
- STU parameter is controllable
- Flavor violating Yukawa could exist

Rich flavor phenomenology

Our Model

Particle set in G2HDM



Neutral Scalar

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\rho_f^{ij}\mathrm{H}\overline{f_L^i}f_R^j \quad (f = u, d, e, \nu)$$



Charged Scalar

$$(\mathrm{V_{CKM}}\rho_d)^{ij}\mathrm{H}^-\overline{u_L^i}d_R^j + (V_{CKM}^\dagger\rho_u)^{ij}\mathrm{H}^-\overline{d_L^i}u_R^j$$



Model: G2HDM

Yukawa couplings between a neutral scalar and fermions



$$\approx i(y^f_{\Phi ij}\, P_R + y^{f*}_{\Phi ji}\, P_L)$$

$$y^f_{hij} = \frac{m^i_f}{v} s_{\beta\alpha}\delta_{ij} + \frac{\rho^{ij}_f}{\sqrt{2}} c_{\beta\alpha},$$

$$y^f_{Aij} = \begin{cases} -\frac{i\rho^{ij}_f}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{for } f = u \\ +\frac{i\rho^{ij}_f}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{for } f = d, e, \end{cases}$$

$$y^f_{Hij} = \frac{m^i_f}{v} c_{\beta\alpha}\delta_{ij} - \frac{\rho^{ij}_f}{\sqrt{2}} s_{\beta\alpha}$$

Yukawa interactions relevant to $R(D^{(*)})$



$$\propto (V_{CKM}\rho_d P_R - \rho_u^\dagger V_{CKM} P_L)^{cb} \approx \rho_d^{sb} P_R - \rho_u^{tc*} P_L$$





$$\propto (V^{ki*}_{MNS}\rho^{k\tau}_e)$$

Yukawa interactions relevant to $R(D^{(*)})$
$(\rho^{tc}_u, \rho^{sb}_d) \times (\rho^{e\tau}_e, \rho^{\mu\tau}_e, \rho^{\tau\tau}_e)$

Yukawa couplings



Without discrete symmetry like Z_2 symmetry,
G2HDM has flavor violating interactions at tree level.

Experimentally, Yukawa couplings to use are limited

e.g. Stringent bounds come from
- meson mixing
- b→sγ
- B→τν .....

$\rho_d^{sb} << 1, but$

ρ_u^{tc} can be O(1)

We turn others off for simplicity and clarify how G2HDM can explain $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D}^{(*)})$ anomalies

For the top down approach of this model e.g. Cheng et al. 1507.04354

 Iguro et al. 1804.07478

Stringent bound from BR($B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu}$)

Diagram for $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D}^{(*)})$ automatically contributes to ($B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu}$)





- $L_{eff} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb}[(\bar{\tau}\gamma_\mu P_L \nu)(\bar{c}\gamma^\mu P_L b) + S_L(\bar{\tau}P_L\nu)(\bar{c}P_L b) + S_R(\bar{\tau}P_L\nu)(\bar{c}P_R b)]$+ h.c.

Stringent bound from $\mathrm{BR}(B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu})$



- $L_{eff} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb}[(\bar{\tau}\gamma_\mu P_L \nu)(\bar{c}\gamma^\mu P_L b) + S_L(\bar{\tau}P_L\nu)(\bar{c}P_L b) + S_R(\bar{\tau}P_L\nu)(\bar{c}P_R b)]$ + h.c.

Scalar operators have a large coefficient

≈ 4

$\mathrm{BR}(B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu})$=

$$\mathrm{BR}(B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu})_{SM} \times \left| 1 + \frac{m_{Bc}^2}{m_\tau(m_b + m_c)}(S_R - S_L) \right|^2$$

$$\mathrm{BR}(B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu})_{SM} = 2\%$$

Indirect upper bounds on BR($B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu}$)

BR($B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu}$) =1-Br(Bc the other decay) $< 30\%$ R.Alonso et al. 1611.06676

↑

Substituting SM calculation

Combining LEP data with inputs obtained in LHCb $< 10\%$

A.G.Akeroyd.et al. 1708.04072

LEP has an upper limit on $\mathrm{B_c} \to \tau\bar{\nu} + \mathrm{B} \to \tau\bar{\nu}$. Combining recent result of LHCb, they got an upper limit on BR($B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu}$).

comment: they used BR($B_c \to J/\psi l \nu)_{SM}$ as an input.

Stringent bound from BR($B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu}$)

R.Alonso et al. 1611.06676, A.G.Akeroyd.et al. 1708.04072

Diagram for $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D}^{(*)})$ automatically contributes to Br($B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu}$)







Still explain only R(D).

Implications for LHC



Enhancing $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D}^{(*)})$ needs a large effective coupling $\bar{c}b\bar{\tau}\nu$ meditated by charged Higgs and generates an energetic tau lepton as a final state in LHC. (A.Soni, et al. arXiv:1704.06659)





This process looks promising, but not measured yet

Interplay between Collider and Flavor is important!

Any direct limit from collider experiment right now?

$\tau\nu$ resonance search

$\tau\nu$ resonance search in CMS can give a stringent limit.
But, the limit is for W'. CMS-PAS-EXO-17-008





Need to reinterpret this limit for H^-.

We calculated an acceptance for H^- and obtained the limit.

⬇

Upper bounds on

$\sigma \times \mathrm{Br}$

Experiment:arXiv	$\sqrt{s}$[TeV]	L[fb^{-1}]	Range $M_{W'}$[TeV]
CMS:1508.04308	7,8	19.7	0.3–4
CMS:CMS-PAS-EXO-16-006	13	2.3	1–5.8
ATLAS:1801.06992	13	36.1	0.5–5
CMS:CMS-PAS-EXO-17-008	13	35.9	0.4–4

$\tau\nu$ resonance search

our signal



Why no study so far?

- H^- in Type II 2HDM mainly couple to $bt, \tau\nu$.
- No top quark in proton PDF.

↓

H^- is produced with top quark





Exotic process for H^-

We assume our H^- interacts with bc or τν for simplicity in the following.



Production



depending on H^- mass

$\sigma = X_{H^-} |Y_1|^2$

Branching Ratio



$$BR(H^- \to \tau\nu) \approx \frac{|Y_2|^2}{3|Y_1|^2 + |Y_2|^2}$$

$$\sigma \times BR = \frac{X_{H^-} |Y_1|^2 \, |Y_2|^2}{3|Y_1|^2 + |Y_2|^2}$$

To fit $R(D^{(*)})$ data,
$Y_1 Y_2 \equiv \alpha$ is sizable.

We set $|Y_1|, |Y_2| < 1$: narrow resonance $\tau\nu$ search.

Γ/m_{H^-}<0.1

$$\sigma \times \mathrm{BR} = \frac{X_{H^-} |Y_1|^2 |Y_2|^2}{3|Y_1|^2 + |Y_2|^2}$$

Combination 1 :$Y_1 = 1$, maximizing denominator.

weaker constraint.

Combination 2 :$Y_2 = \sqrt{3} Y_1$, minimizing denominator.

severe constraint.

Result



Combination 1
solid

Combination 2
dotted

Comparable or more stringent constraint than $B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu}$

Result

Heavier H^-, more severe constraint.

preliminary heavier lighter



Result

Heavier H^-, more severe constraint.

preliminary heavier lighter



Experiment:arXiv	$\sqrt{s}$[TeV]	L[fb^{-1}]	Range $M_{W'}$[TeV]
CMS:1508.04308	7,8	19.7	0.3–4
CMS:CMS-PAS-EXO-16-006	13	2.3	1–5.8
ATLAS:1801.06992	13	36.1	0.5–5
CMS:CMS-PAS-EXO-17-008	13	35.9	0.4–4

Summary

G2HDM can still explain R(D).

τν resonance search can test it.

τν resonance give more stringent constraints than Br($B_c^- \to \tau\bar{\nu}$)

An interplay between flavor physics and collider physics is important.

Our paper will appear on arXiv very soon. Stay tuned!

Back up

Menu

- W' case
- P'_5 anomaly and H^-
- ……

Constraint for W’

M. Abdullah, et al.1805.01869

Vector (couple to left handed or right handed quarks)

We assume following operators.

A. Celis,et al. 1604.03088
G. Isidori,et al. 1506.01705....

$$L_{eff} = -2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cb}\left((\delta_{l\tau} + C^l_{v1})O^l_{v1} + C^l_{v2}O^l_{v2}\right)$$



$$O^l_{V1} = (\bar{c}\gamma^\mu P_L b)(\bar{l}\gamma_\mu P_L \nu)$$
$$O^l_{V2} = (\bar{c}\gamma^\mu P_R b)(\bar{l}\gamma_\mu P_L \nu)$$

left handed case

$$\frac{R(D)}{R(D)_{SM}} = \frac{R(D^*)}{R(D^*)_{SM}} = 1 + 2Re\{C^\tau_{v1}\} + |C^\tau_{v1}|^2 + |C^\mu_{v1}|^2 + |C^e_{v1}|^2$$

Left handed vector charged current

$$\frac{R(D)}{R(D)_{SM}} = \frac{R(D^*)}{R(D^*)_{SM}} = 1 + 2Re\{C_{v1}^{\tau}\} + |C_{v1}^{\tau}|^2 + |C_{v1}^{\mu}|^2 + |C_{v1}^{e}|^2$$

Right handed vector charged current

$$\frac{R(D)}{R(D)_{SM}} = 1 + 2Re\{C_{v1}^{\tau}\} + |C_{v1}^{\tau}|^2 + |C_{v1}^{\mu}|^2 + |C_{v1}^{e}|^2$$
$$\frac{R(D^*)}{R(D^*)_{SM}} = 1 + |C_{v1}^{\tau}|^2 + |C_{v1}^{\mu}|^2 + |C_{v1}^{e}|^2$$

This behavior stems from meson properties

D: pseudo scalar, D*:vector meson.



Result

the heavier W', the more severe constraint.

preliminary heavier

lighter



discussion

W': difficulty for building models



SM like flavor structure is not favored. See left fig.

V_{cb}=0.04 suppression exists and requires large g'

T-parameter requires Z' with $m_{W'} \approx m_{Z'}$.

Then, there should be V_{cb} unsuppressed
pp→bb→Z' →ττ A.Greljo,et al:1609.07138

500GeV > $m_{W'}$ $R(D^{(*)})$ at that time.

We need extended gauge bosons with
an exotic flavor structure and lighter mass.

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = C^V_{LL}(\overline{b_L}\gamma_\mu c_L)(\overline{\nu_L}\gamma^\mu\tau_L) + C^V_{RL}(\overline{b_R}\gamma_\mu c_R)(\overline{\nu_L}\gamma^\mu\tau_L)$$
$$+C^V_{LR}(\overline{b_L}\gamma_\mu c_L)(\overline{\nu_R}\gamma^\mu\tau_R) + C^V_{RR}(\overline{b_R}\gamma_\mu c_R)(\overline{\nu_R}\gamma^\mu\tau_R)$$
$$+\underline{C^S_L}(\overline{b_R}c_L)(\overline{\nu_L}\tau_R) + \underline{C^S_R}(\overline{b_L}c_R)(\overline{\nu_L}\tau_R) + h.c..$$

$$R(D) \simeq R(D)_{SM}\left\{|1 + C^V_{LL} + C^V_{RL}|^2 + |C^V_{RR} + C^V_{LR}|^2 + 0.99|\underline{C^S_L + C^S_R}|^2 + 1.47\mathrm{Re}\left[(1 + \mathrm{C^V_{LL}} + \mathrm{C^V_{RL}})(\underline{\mathrm{C^{S*}_L} + \mathrm{C^{S*}_R}})\right]\right\},$$

$$R(D^*) \simeq R(D^*)_{SM}\left\{|1 + C^V_{LL}|^2 + |C^V_{RL}|^2 + |C^V_{RR}|^2 + |C^V_{LR}|^2 + 0.02|\underline{C^S_L - C^S_R}|^2 - 1.77\mathrm{Re}\left[(1 + C^V_{LL})(C^{V*}_{RL}) + (C^V_{RR})(C^{V*}_{LR})\right] + 0.09\mathrm{Re}\left[(1 + \mathrm{C^V_{LL}} - \mathrm{C^V_{RL}})(\underline{\mathrm{C^{S*}_L} - \mathrm{C^{S*}_R}})\right]\right\}$$

P. Asadi ,et at.1804.04135

$\mathrm{P'}_5$ anomaly in G2HDM

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{d}\Gamma/\mathrm{d}q^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^4\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_\ell\,\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_K\,\mathrm{d}\phi\,\mathrm{d}q^2}=\frac{9}{32\pi}\Big[\frac{3}{4}(1-F_L)\sin^2\theta_K+F_L\cos^2\theta_K$$
$$+\frac{1}{4}(1-F_L)\sin^2\theta_K\cos 2\theta_\ell$$
$$-F_L\cos^2\theta_K\cos 2\theta_\ell+S_3\sin^2\theta_K\sin^2\theta_\ell\cos 2\phi$$
$$+S_4\sin 2\theta_K\sin 2\theta_\ell\cos\phi+S_5\sin 2\theta_K\sin\theta_\ell\cos\phi$$
$$+S_6\sin^2\theta_K\cos\theta_\ell+S_7\sin 2\theta_K\sin\theta_\ell\sin\phi$$
$$+S_8\sin 2\theta_K\sin 2\theta_\ell\sin\phi+S_9\sin^2\theta_K\sin^2\theta_\ell\sin 2\phi\Big]$$



Optimized observable

$$P'_{i=4,5,6,8}=\frac{S_{j=4,5,7,8}}{\sqrt{F_L(1-F_L)}},$$

b→s transition

P'_5 : angular observable

in $\mathrm{B}\to\mathrm{K}^*\mu\mu$



Talk by C. Langenbruch (RWTH)@ Moriond EW 2018

P'_5 anomalies

$$\mathcal{H}_{B_s} = -g_{\rm SM}\left\{C_9^l(\overline{s_L}\gamma_\mu b_L)(\bar{l}\gamma^\mu l) + C_{10}^l(\overline{s_L}\gamma_\mu b_L)(\bar{l}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 l) + h.c.\right\},$$

$$g_{\rm SM} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\frac{e^2}{16\pi^2}.$$

ρ_u^{tc} generates charm rotating diagrams : u_i =c

This γ penguin contribution has a dimensionless log $\frac{m_c}{m_{H^-}}$ enhancement

P'_5

$\Delta C_9^\mu \approx -1$ or $\Delta C_9^\mu = -\Delta C_{10}^\mu \approx -0.5$

is favored G. D' Amico et al. 1704.05438





Other prediction

ρ_u^{tc} which generates a large contribution to C_9^l via γ penguin diagram, do not change $\mathrm{Br}(B_s \to \mu\mu)$.

$$\frac{\mathrm{Br}(B_s \to \mu\mu)}{\mathrm{Br}(B_s \to \mu\mu)_{SM}} = |1 - 0.24 C_{10}^{\mu}|^2$$





grey: flavor constraint

Collider signal

Same sign top is most striking





$$\sigma(pp \to tt + \overline{t}\overline{t}) = 4.23 \times 10^{-3} |\rho_u^{tc}|^4$$
$$\sigma(pp \to tt\bar{c} + \overline{t}\overline{t}c) = 4.13 \times 10^{-1} |\rho_u^{tc}|^4$$
$$\sigma(pp \to tt\bar{c}\bar{c} + \overline{t}\overline{t}cc) = 1.14 \times 10^{-1} |\rho_u^{tc}|^4$$

for $(m_A, m_H) = (200{,}250)$ GeV

Upper bound on σ(same sign top)=1.2 [Pb] CMS:1704.07323 is still weak

G2HDM

We take so called Higgs base : a doublet acquires VEV

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ \frac{v+\Phi_1+iG}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix},\ H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ \frac{\Phi_2+iA}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

G^+,G: N-G boson, H^+ :charged Higgs, A : CP odd Higgs

Linear transformation to mass base of CP even scalars

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{\beta\alpha} & \sin\theta_{\beta\alpha} \\ -\sin\theta_{\beta\alpha} & \cos\theta_{\beta\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H \\ h \end{pmatrix}$$

Yukawa terms

$$\begin{aligned} L_{CC} = & -\sum_{f=u,d,e}\sum_{\Phi=h,H,A} y^f_{\Phi ij}\overline{f_{Li}}\,\Phi f_{Rj} + \text{h.c.} \\ & - \overline{\nu_{Li}}(V^\dagger_{MNS}\rho_e)^{ij}H^+ e_{Rj} + \text{h.c.} \\ & - \bar{u}_i(V_{CKM}\rho_d P_R - \rho_u^\dagger V_{CKM} P_L)^{ij} H^+ d_j + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned}$$

$$y^f_{hij} = \frac{m^i_f}{v} s_{\beta\alpha}\delta_{ij} + \frac{\rho^{ij}_f}{\sqrt{2}} c_{\beta\alpha}, \quad y^f_{Aij} = \begin{cases} -\frac{i\rho^{ij}_f}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{for } f = u \\ +\frac{i\rho^{ij}_f}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{for } f = d, e, \end{cases} \quad y^f_{Hij} = \frac{m^i_f}{v} c_{\beta\alpha}\delta_{ij} - \frac{\rho^{ij}_f}{\sqrt{2}} s_{\beta\alpha}$$

$\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D}^{(*)})$ Type II 2HDM can not explain this anomaly



BaBar :1303.0571

Extension of Higgs sector w/o flavor violation can not explain this anomaly



R(D) SI, Tobe:1708.08176

We need more parameters
to fit the data

$R(D^{(*)})$ Type II 2HDM can not explain this anomaly





Same plot as on slide 11, but extended m_A range.

Jan Stark for the ATLAS collaboration Moriond EW -- March 10-17, 2018

of Higgs sector w/o flavor violation

xplain this anomaly



SI, Tobe:1708.08176

We need more parameters
to fit the data



SI, Tobe:1708.08176

Result2 compatibility

	$R(K^{(*)})$	P_5'	$R(D)$	$R(D^*)$	δa_μ
(B) $\rho_e \neq 0,\ \rho_\nu = 0$					
ρ_u^{tt}	×	×	×	×	○
ρ_u^{tc}	×	○	○	×	×
ρ_u^{ct}	×	×	×	×	○

○: within 1σ

or X X O X O

SI, Y. Omura