Probing the sQGP in A-A collisions

by measuring energetic low-mass dileptons

Rudolf Baier

Department of Physics, University of Bielefeld

Analysis of measurements at RHIC: elliptic flow, viscosity, jet quenching,... indicate a strongly interacting plasma different from QGP at high T

Top physics story of 2005 is the RHIC discovery of the strongly interacting quark-gluon plasma (called sQGP), which behaves almost like a perfect fluid, with very low viscosity

[T. D. Lee]

How to get reasonably direct information about the properties of the thermal quanta of the plasma?

[A. H. Mueller]

point of view in this talk

how to measure effects/phenomena due to quasiparticles and cuts (weak coupling) versus quasinormal black hole/brane modes (strong coupling)?

quasinormal modes have complex frequencies - there is a discrete infinity of them in gravity: characteristic excited classical oscillations of (AdS-) black holes and black branes responding to generic perturbations - approach to thermal equilibrium

[review by Berti, Cardoso and Starinets (2009)]

illustrative example:

electromagnetic processes - retarded (R-) current-current correlators/Green's functions at high temperature

However, so far it is difficult to calculate the time dependent properties of thermal QCD in the strong coupling limit, i.e. QCD-NONEQUILIBRIUM DYNAMICS

attractive alternative: gauge-gravity duality

canonical model: $\mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ SYM field theory in the large 't Hooft coupling limit $\lambda = g^2 N \to \infty$, fixed g << 1

holographically dual to AdS_5 classical black hole gravity

[Maldacena; Gubser et al.; Witten (1998)]

electromagnetic processes / *R*-current correlators

• heavy dileptons at rest: mass Q >> T

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d^4q}|_{SYM} \simeq \frac{\alpha^2 N^2}{32\pi^4} n_B(Q/T)$$

independent on the coupling λ : pairs escape with a thermal spectrum essentially independent of the type of plasma!

[Huot et al. (2006)]

• energetic low-mass dileptons: $q^0 >> Q$, Q < T

$$R_{pQCD} = \frac{\Gamma^{(1)}}{\Gamma^{(0)}} \simeq \frac{4\pi\alpha_s}{3} \frac{T^2}{Q^2} \ln \frac{const}{\alpha_s}$$

 $\Gamma^{(1)} \equiv$ Hard Thermal Loop real photon rate: dynamical screening of quark exchange by Landau damping

[Altherr and Ruuskanen (1992)]

low mass pairs $q^0 = \omega >> T$, cont.

strong coupling limit $\lambda \to \infty$:

$$q^{0} \frac{d\Gamma_{ll}}{dM^{2}d^{3}q} |_{SYM} \simeq \frac{\alpha^{2}}{4\pi^{2}Q^{2}} \underbrace{\frac{N^{2}T^{2}}{\Gamma^{2}(1/3)} (\frac{\omega}{6\pi T})^{2/3}}_{RB}(q^{0})$$

to note that this rate is related to the thermal (space-like) structure function $F_1(x = \frac{-Q^2}{2\omega T} \le x_s \sim \frac{T}{\sqrt{-Q^2}}, Q^2 < 0)$

[Hatta, lancu and Mueller (2007)]

[Huot et al. (2006)]

remark: calculation based on Kroll-Wada formula (1955)

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\Gamma_{ll}}{d^4q} = q^0 \frac{d\Gamma_{ll}}{dM^2 d^3q} \simeq \frac{\alpha}{3\pi Q^2} q^0 \frac{d\Gamma_{\gamma}}{d^3q} , m_l = 0, \ M = Q$$

low mass pairs $q^0 = \omega >> T$, cont.

Equivalently consider the ratio:

$$R(\lambda)|_{SYM} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda \to \infty)}{\Gamma(\lambda \to 0)} \simeq \frac{12\pi^2}{\Gamma^2(1/3)} \frac{1}{\lambda \ln(1/\lambda)} \left(\frac{\omega}{6\pi T}\right)^{2/3}$$

could indeed be large for $\omega >> T$,

showing a characteristic energy dependence in the strong coupling limit effectively due to quasinormal modes

cf.: RHIC data by PHENIX Collab.

mass distribution of e^+e^- pairs



Au - Au data show a large enhancement at low mass, large p_T versus the pp cocktail

[Y. Akiba for PHENIX Collab. (2009)]

quasinormal modes

photon rates are proportional to the imaginary part of the retarded Green function $G_R(q^0 = \omega, \vec{q}), \ Q^2 = \omega^2 - q^2$

for discussion explicit analytical results are obtained in AdS_3 , e.g. in D = 1 + 1 dimensions in the strong coupling limit of SYM (only ingoing waves near the horizon):

$$G_R(\hat{q}_+ = \frac{\omega + q}{2\pi T}, \hat{q}_- = \frac{\omega - q}{2\pi T}) \simeq \frac{Q^2}{T^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\hat{q}_+}{\hat{q}_+ + 2in} + \frac{\hat{q}_-}{\hat{q}_- + 2in}\right)$$

showing explicitly the quasinormal, even highly damped modes,

 $\omega = \pm q - 4\pi i T n$, overtone number $n = 1, 2, \dots, n$

i.e. discrete set of complex ω , independent of the coupling [Birmingham (2001); Son and Starinets (2002)] with a smooth imaginary part:

$$\Im m G_R \simeq \frac{Q^2}{T^2} [\coth(\hat{q}_+/2) + \coth(\hat{q}_-/2)]$$

for fixed Q^2 and $\omega >> Q$:

$$\Im m G_R \simeq \frac{\omega}{T}$$

whereas for q = 0

$$\Im m G_R(x = \frac{\omega}{4\pi T}, q = 0) \simeq x^2 \operatorname{coth} x$$

showing no quasiparticle peaks, as in the case for AdS_5

AdS_5

quasinormal poles at $\omega = 2\pi T(\pm n - in), n = 1, 2, ...$ $\Im m G_R(\omega, \vec{q} = 0)$ in AdS_5 plotted versus $\omega/2\pi T$:



T = 0 contribution subtracted, oscillation around the T = 0 result:



 $\Im m G_R - \Im m G_R|_{T=0} \sim N^2 \omega^2 \exp(-\omega/2T) \cos \frac{\omega}{2T}, \ \omega > T$

[Myers et al. (2006)]

HTL-soft dileptons



remarkable structure of the dilepton rate (at rest) in the QCD-HTL approximation due to quasiparticle transitions (pole-pole contributions) and due collision processes involving hard quarks and gluons (cuts in the HTL quark propagator)

longitudinal field fluctuations - AdS_5 --4 -2 2 4 Х -1 ٠ -2 -3 -4 -5 V

quasinormal spectrum in the plane of complex $\omega/2\pi T$ and spatial momentum $q/2\pi T = 1$. The pole marked with a large dot is purely imaginary and is a manifestation of the diffusive relaxation of the R-charge density fluctuations ($\omega, q \ll T$):

 $\omega = -iD_R q^2 + O(q^4), \ D_R = \frac{1}{2\pi T}$

[Núñez and Starinets (2003)]

interpretation

the interpretation of the non-diffusive and non-hydrodynamic quasinormal modes is obscured by the fact that still very little is known about thermal correlation functions at strong coupling. Poles associated with the quasinormal sequence, e.g.

$$\omega = 2\pi T(\pm n - in), \ n = 1, 2, \dots$$

cannot be interpreted as quasiparticles of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, since their imaginary part is large!

at weak coupling the singularities of thermal correlators appear to be cuts - Landau damping - rather than poles with large imaginary frequencies

euclidean current-current correlator



the difference between the free ($\lambda = 0$) and interacting ($\lambda \to \infty$) $G_{JJ}(\tau, \vec{q} = 0), \ 0 \le \tau \le \beta = 1/T$, is at most 20 % how to differentiate strong versus weak coupling?

[Teaney (2006)]

sum rules

current-current correlator $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM spectral densities $\rho_{JJ} = -\Im m G_R / \pi$ at vanishing three momentum ($\vec{q} = 0$): [taken from Teaney (2006) and Myers et al. (2006), resp.]

 $\delta \rho(\omega) \equiv \rho_{JJ}(\omega) - \rho_{JJ}(\omega)|_{T=0}$

• strong coupling case $(\lambda \to \infty)$:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \delta\rho(\omega) = 0$$

(c.f. sum rule for hydrodynamic mode [Romatschke and Son (2009)])

• free - one loop case ($\lambda = 0$):

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \delta\rho(\omega) = \frac{N^2 T^2}{12}$$

with contributions only from the quasiparticles

questions

• at finite temperature the analytic structure of the frequency space propagator in perturbative field theory is qualitatively different to the strong coupling results obtained from perturbations about AdS black brane spacetimes:

quasiparticle poles and branch cuts (due to Landau damping) rather than towers of quasinormal poles

- is there a critical 't Hooft coupling? [Hartnoll and Kumar (2005)]
- how to measure more directly these different structures?

• identify generic qualitative differences between weak and strong coupling \rightarrow better signatures for novel plasma states?

Happy Birthday, AI !



I am very grateful to your deep kindness during our collaboration, which started in 1995, together with different coworkers and friends during these couple of years

still I hope that we may find further possibilities and interesting problems to continue our common discussions

EXTRAS

$$pp \to \mu^+ \mu^- X$$

low-mass pairs at large p_T - using Kroll-Wada formula



UA1 data at $\sqrt{s} = 630 \ GeV$, $M(\mu^+\mu^-) \le 2.5 \ GeV$, y = 0 successfully compared with prompt (isolated) large p_T photon calculations (curves)

[Aurenche, Baier and Fontannaz (1988)] [also: Kang, Qiu and Vogelsang (2009)]

current-current correlator



finite temperature spectral density (zero temperature result subtracted)

[Teaney (2006)]

equation of state of QCD - $n_f = 0$ massless flavours



"a perilous comparison" with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM:

 $\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_{free}} = 0.88, \ \lambda = 5.5$ (dashed green); $\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_{free}} = 0.77, \ \lambda = 6\pi$ (dashed blue)

[A. Bazavov et al. (2009); Gubser (2009)]

hydrodynamic modes



quasinormal spectrum of black brane gravitational fluctuations in the shear and sound channels at fixed spatial momentum as a function of complex frequency

[Kovtun and Starinets (2005)]

hydrodynamic modes are marked by full dots, e.g. $\omega = -i\frac{\eta}{Ts}q^2 + O(q^3) = -i\frac{1}{4\pi T}q^2 + O(q^3)$

[Kovtun, Son and Starinets (2005)]

momentum sum rules

for hydrodynamic excitations -

e.g. sum rule for the momentum correlator at $\vec{q} = 0$:

• interacting case $(\lambda \to \infty)$:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \delta \rho^{yxyx}(\omega) = \frac{3\pi^2}{40} \ N^2 T^4 = \frac{\epsilon}{5}$$

[Romatschke and Son (2009)]

• free - one loop case ($\lambda = 0$):

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \delta\rho^{yxyx}(\omega) = \frac{17\pi^2}{120} \ N^2 T^4 = \frac{17\epsilon_{free}}{60}$$